This is a reproduction of a library book that was digitized by Google as part of an ongoing effort to preserve the information in books and make it universally accessible.



https://books.google.com



8º BS. L. 110.



•

SERMONS

PREACHED BEFORE

THE UNIVERSITY OF OXFORD,

AND NOW PUBLISHED IN TWO VOLUMES,

WITH

ALTERATIONS AND ADDITIONS.

BY

JOHN EVELEIGH, D. D.

PROVOST OF ORIEL COLLEGE, AND PREBENDARY OF ROCHESTER.

VOL. I.

THE THIRD EDITION.

OXFORD:

AT THE UNIVERSITY PRESS FOR THE AUTHOR.

Sold by J. Cooke, and J. PARKER; and by F. C. and J. RIVINGTON, London.

1815.

DVERIENCE

If he is your house of the construction of the Christian Reignstein of the center of the Christian Reignstein Reignstein Objects of the Christian Reignstein Reignstein

The have comming Scions of are early played on Dortainal and Critical and Critical and Critical and Critical and Critical and Critical and constant and the Dockman of the constant and the Dockman of the constant and the Texts of Zuchamah colorest and lattly, to propose that are restant as the Translation in the State feether.

ADVERTISEMENT.

THE eight first Sermons of this Volume were preached at the Bampton Lecture in 1792, at a time when the most zealous attempts were making in our own country, no less than throughout the Continent of Europe, to subvert all the great principles of Christianity; and before the appearance of Dr. Paley's "Evidences," and consequently of all the subsequent defences of the Christian Religion, which were so triumphantly enforced by different Members of our Church.

The Four remaining Sermons of it are employed on Doctrinal and Critical Subjects, being defigned—to deduce regularly from Scripture the Doctrine of the Holy Trinity—to defend our Bible Translation of certain most important Texts of Zechariah concerning this Doctrine—and lastly, to propose some corrections of this Translation in the 87th Psalm.

Such

٩.,

Such however is the subject of them; that it cannot but be suited, at all times, to Students in Theology, and especially to the junior Members of the University.

*** The Bampton Lectures contained in this volume are published also in a separate volume for the benefit more especially of the junior Members of the University.

Same and the second of the sec

14 /0 11 11 12

of agenticular and a substitution of the desired and the Court of the substitution of

W 2000 11 12 -

The General Revenue of the control of the the Returnation to the properties of the control of the particle of the control of t

SERVICK V

The Arguments of property of the horse of a ducible in Proof of the Trails of our Robe con-

The main in the property of the desired to the contract of the

SERMON I.

Substance of our Religion, in historical order, from the most remote circumstances any where revealed in Scripture to the Publication of the Gospel after the Ascension of Christ.

SERMON II.

The History of our Religion, from the Publication of it after the Ascension of Christ to its Establishment by Constantine.

SERMON III.

The same History, from its Establishment by Constantine to the Reformation.

SERMON IV.

The same, from the commencement of the Reformation to the present times, or, as this part is limited, to the present state of our own Church.

SERMON V.

The Arguments in general, which are adducible in Proof of the Truth of our Religion.

ξυ : · <u>\</u>

to which is first in the real of the real

The same subject continued. Develope s'you

our Religion.

SERMON VIII.

The particular Objections advanced against it from the pretensions of Philosophy.

These Sermons, being intended to exhibit conjointly a general View and Vindication of Christianity, as professed by our Church, have all, on that account, the same text prefixed to them, namely, 1 PRT. iii. 15.

SERMON IX. AND X.

The Scripture account first of the Son, secondly of the Holy Ghost, and thirdly of the one Godhead of the Father, Son, and Holy Ghost.

Per. ift. 15.

Be ready always to give an answer to every man that asketh you a reason of the hope that is in you.

SERMON XI.

A Vindication of certain Texts of Zechariah relative to the Doctrine of the Holy Trinity;

to which is subjoined, An Answer to Dr. Blayney's Observations on this Vindication.

ZECH. ii. 8-11.

For thus saith the Lord of hosts; After the glory hath, he sent me unto the nations which spoiled you: for he that toucheth you toucheth the apple of his eye.

For, behold, I will shake mine hand upon them, and they shall be a spoil to their servants: and ye shall know that the Lord of hosts hath sent me.

Sing and rejoice, O daughter of Zion: for, lo, I come, and I will dwell in the midst of thee, saith the Lord.

And many nations shall be joined to the Lord in that day, and shall be my people: and I will dwell in the midst of thee, and thou shalt know that the Lord of hosts hath sent me unto thee.

SERMON XII.

A new Translation of various parts of the 87th Psalm, with an explanation of the whole.

Ps. lxxxvii. 7.

As well the singers as the players on instruments shall be there: all my springs are in thee.

· 12 20 4 4 2 1 3

A Viridical term a containing of Zechariah relative to the Dechare of the Holy Trinity; to

•

.

SERMON I.

1 Per. iii. 15.

Be ready always to give an answer to every man, that asketh you a reason of the Hope that is in you.

IT is a distinguishing property of Christianity, that it not only admits of a rational inquiry into its truth, but also incites its professors to this inquiry in the most forcible manner, making it a part of their religious duty. We are commanded in the text " to be ready al-" ways to give an answer to every man, that "asketh us a reason of the Hope that is in " us:" or, in other words, we are commanded to satisfy ourselves universally, of the truth of our Religion, so as to be prepared on all occasions to assign our reasons for believing in it.

Our Religion may be confidered with regard to its fubstance, with regard to its history, with regard to the arguments by which it is confirmed.

firmed, and with regard to the objections by which it is opposed. These four great topics include the principal circumstances which affect our Christian Faith: under them we have ample means of satisfying both ourselves and others concerning the Hope that is in us.

And many are the reasons, which ought to induce us, on occasions like the present, to prepare ourselves by a general discussion of these great topics to comply with the command of the text.

In an age when the real fubstance of our Religion is misrepresented by men of the most opposite characters and intentions;—when the manner, in which it has been received and conveyed down to us, is traduced by the most infidious and unsupported infinuations; --- when the arguments in its defence are studiously disjoined from each other, and frittered away by fuccessive detractions of many of their most convincing parts; -and when the whole body of old objections against it is recalled, and enforced by new ones which are every day fuggested by an adventurous and sceptical philofophy; -in fuch an age it is conspicuously our duty to fortify our minds by a view of the information, comprehended under the general topics of Christian Theology which I have above enumerated.

Dif-

Discourses also on such general topics may well be interspersed among others on the particular parts of our religious profession, which the founder of this Lecture has wisely marked out, as subjects for our discussion. Thus interspersed, they will conduce, on an extended scale, to similar good purposes with those reslections at large on any human science; which, in the progress of disquisitions on particular branches of it, suggest to us from time to time such conceptions of the whole, as are found to be essential to a full comprehension of the instructions which are communicated even on its most detached and minute divisions.

Such general information moreover cannot fail of being peculiarly ferviceable to the younger part of my audience, for whose benefit this Institution was more immediately calculated. Since without it early improvements in religious science will be so desultory and imperfect, as to afford little reason to expect, either that Theological students will be furnished with that connected knowledge of the different parts of their Religion, which may qualify them in future life to teach others with advantage; or that young and unexperienced minds will themselves be effectually guarded against that most extensive species of infidelity, which is founded on B 2 ignorance

*ignorance of the general nature and circumstances of our Religion, and is constantly betraying itself by infignificant and impertinent objections against its truth, and by the senseless blasphemy which so often shocks the ears of good men in private conversation.

Truth indeed has on all occasions so much force, that, when clearly proposed, it must command attention and respect: but the truths of Christianity are farther so admirably calculated to satisfy the hopes of the best men and to allay the penitential sears of the worst, that, wherever they are early and systematically understood, prejudice, instead of exerting itself against them, must cooperate with reason in their favour, and secure for them such instuence on an uncorrupted heart as the opposition of ignorance will in vain attempt to destroy.

Since then a general discussion of the great topics, under which I have distributed the consideration of our Religion, and which are so comprehensive as to enable us to comply with the command of the text, is well suited

ta

a "From the feveral conversations, which it has been "my chance to have with unbelievers, I have learned that "ignorance of the nature of our Religion, and a disin-"clination to study both it and its evidences, are to be reckoned among the chief causes of insidelity." Beattie's Evidences, vol. i. p. 6.

to the present times,—is extensively useful at proper intervals amidst the particular subjects prescribed for this Lecture,—and is likely to be of peculiar service to the younger part of my audience;—I shall confine myself to it in the following discourses, and, without any apology for calling your attention to truths of which you must often before have heard the greater part, or any more extended introduction, I shall propose it as my design to state compendiously, what Christianity is, what the manner in which it has been received and conveyed down to us, what the arguments by which its truth is defended, and what the objections with which it is assailed.

Or, to propose my design with its particular comprehension and limitations, I shall endeavour,-First, to state in historical order the fubstance of our Religion, as it extends from the most remote circumstance any where revealed in Scripture to the publication of the Gospel after the ascension of Christ; -Secondly, to give, a sketch of the history of our religion from this publication of the Gospel to the present times; confining however this sketch, towards the conclusion, to the particular history of our own Church; -Thirdly, to state in a fummary manner the arguments in general which are adducible in proof of the truth of Christianity; -And Fourthly, to point out the в 3.

the general fources of objection against it, and to shew that a forcible removal of these offences by divine interposition would be inconsistent with the doctrines themselves of Revelation; concluding the whole with a particular account of those objections which are advanced against Christianity from the pretensions of philosophy.

To begin then with stating in historical order the substance of our Religion, as it extends from the most remote circumstance any where revealed in Scripture to the publication of the Gospel after the ascension of Christ:—The declarations of Scripture, which form the substance of our Religion, ascend to the remotest subject from which it is possible that any information should commence: they inform us, that from 'everlasting, from a duration which numbers have no powers to express and the mind of man no faculties to comprehend, was God: that he is a 'Spirit, is 'possessed of life in himself, and is infinite in perfection, but incomprehensible in his nature; and moreover,

that

e Pf. xc. 2. d John iv. 24.

e "Jehovah" and "I am," the appropriate names of God in Scripture, are immediately derived from his inherent life. And by an oath, referring to this distinguishing property of the Godhead, the Almighty was often pleased to confirm his promises: "As I live, saith the Lord." Num. xiv. 21. Rom. xiv. 11.

that through his own free goodness he originally created, and continually preserves, whatever else besides himself has existence both in heaven and in earth.

The first intelligent beings, created by him, are sometimes in Scripture called Spirits from the refined constitution of their nature; at other times they are called Angels from their ministration in the divine economy. Various are the 'passages of holy writ, which assure us that they were created upright; whilst at the same time the fall of some among them most unhappily demonstrates, that they were created also free agents and capable of sin. Why they should be permitted thus to fall, and why when fallen they should afterwards be permitted to tempt other creatures to involve themselves in a similar sate, are circumstances lest among the secret things of God,

Nor less unresolved by Revelation are the questions, "whether any part of matter were cre" ated at so early a period as the first intelligent
" creatures; and whether those creatures were
" clothed with material bodies?" Revelation,
while it draws arguments for our instruction
from the conduct, condition, and agency of
superior beings, informs us indeed concerning
particular circumstances of angelic history;

John viii. 44. Jude 6.

B 4

but,

but, to the utter disappointment of vain curiosity, it says nothing professedly with regard to this history.

Destined for human use, it confines itself to human concerns. After a full, but indefinite, affertion, that "" in the beginning God " created the heaven and the earth," it confines its professed information concerning the material creation to fuch circumstances, as particularly relate to man and the fystem which he inhabits: instructing us, that all the various óbjects, which we perceive and admire around us, were originally produced and have fince been preserved by the all-persect God; and alfo, that, among the productions of the material world, this all-perfect Being, having formed man's body from the dust of the earth, was pleased in a distinguishing manner to breathe into his noffrils the breath of life. Thus animated with a spirit of heavenly extraction, man was faid to be formed in the Image of God, was conftituted fovereign of this lower world and was invested with the good things of it.

At the same time to prove his grateful obedience under these blessings, and to sit him, as hath been inferred, for greater in another and

eternal

⁵ Gen. i. 1.

h See Bishop Bull concerning the first covenant and the state of man before the fall, in the third volume of his Sermons and Discourses, 8vo. p. 1079, 1091, &c.

eternal state, conditions of trial were imposed upon him. Like the angels, man was created upright and a free agent. By the wildom of God obedience to a positive precept was enjoined him; and by the same wisdom the fallen angels were permitted to fuggest temptations to the contrary. His own choice led him to disobedience, and to death, the predicted consequence. And this consequence, it might be feared, would contain under it not merely a privation of animal-life here, called temporal death; but, as in the regular course of things must be expected to follow from the guilt of free and corrupted agents, that privation also of the enjoyments of eternal life hereafter, which is called the fecond or eternal death.

But, the universal progenitor of mankind having thus fallen through the temptation of superior and malicious beings, and having entailed upon his posterity a depraved and infirm nature; man was not left doomed to those endless evils which may be dreaded as the unavoidable punishment of voluntary and unexpiated sin. His great Creator graciously and immediately interposed to provide a remedy for his fall.

So much also did it cost to redeem his soul, that the price must assonish every rational creature.

creature. The 'eternal Son of God (whose co-existence in nature with the Father forms part of the incomprehensibility of the Godhead) was in process of time to take upon him the nature of man. In that nature, united with his own in the same Person, he was to give mankind supernatural 'instruction, and, by his meritorious sufferings even unto death, he was also to make 'atonement for them, and provide most extensive means for their deliverance from those dreadful consequences of their corruption which threatened to involve them in death eternal; with means, "as extensive as the influence of the first man's fall. "As by "one man's disobedience "many were made

finners,

i Isaiah ix. 6. Zech. xiii. 7. Rom. viii. 32. Heb. ii. 16.

been fully convinced, that, when the Messiah came, "he flould tell them all things." John iv. 25.

¹ See, concerning the atonement made for us, Acts xx. 28. Rev. i. 5. Rom. iii. 23—26, and the whole of the 53d chapter of Isaiah, and of the 9th and 10th chapters of the Epistle to the Hebrews.

[&]quot; "God promised our first parents immediately upon "the fall that the seed of the woman should bruise the "ferpent's head: and by virtue of this Promise all truly "good men were saved by Christ from the beginning." Sherlock on Providence, p. 225, 226.

n Rom. v. 19—" οἱ πολλοι" in both parts of this verse ought to be rendered " the many" or " mankind in ge- " neral."

"finners, so by the obedience of one many were to be made righteous:" "Christ was "to taste death for every man:" "He was "to give himself a ransom," or propitiatory sacrifice, "for all."

It is not however declared in Scripture to be necessary that all men should be made acquainted with the circumstances of this Redemption to qualify them for a participation of its blessings. Millions have partaken of corruption through Adam in different ages and degrees, without knowing the source of their corruption. And millions may partake of Redemption through Christ in equally different ages and degrees, notwithstanding their ignorance of him in this life. God, we are expressly told, is "the Saviour of all men;" though, as we are told at the same time, he is so "especially of those that believe."

These merciful designs indeed were not all revealed at once to any body of men: they are collected from different parts of Scripture and from the completion of the whole. The intimation of a redemption, which was given to the original transgressors, was sufficient to encourage hope; and more appears not to have been intended by it.

But,

[•] Heb. ii. 9. P 1 Tim. ii. 6.

⁹ See Butler's Analogy, note, p. 296, 8vo. edit.

¹ 1 Tim. iv. 10.

But, from the declaration that 'the feed of the woman should bruife the serpent's head to the triumphant ascension of the Prince of life. the same merciful designs were pursued with an uniform direction to their great and final Indeed we find on this occasion completion. fo uniform and wonderful a whole, that infidelity might be tempted to suspect some preconcerted plan of human contrivance; were it not that many of the 'inspired penmen appear not to have understood their own predictions on the subject, and much less to have had a -connected knowledge of the different parts of the edifice which they were contributing to erect.

At the same time, whatever deliverance from future evil might be designed for man, no sooner had he forfeited his innocence, than he was reduced by the wisdom of his Creator to a state of "laborious activity, well calculated for sinful creatures. In this state he was left amidst toil and pain to ward off for a season temporal death; and by his virtue, here to be exercised in various trials, hereafter to be accepted through his great Redeemer, he was left

s Gen iii. 15.

Dan. xii. 8. 1 Pet. i. 10, 11, 12. 2 Pet. i. 20, 21. See on this fubject Burgh's Scripture Confutation, 2d edit. 8vo. p. 33, 34.

u Gen. iii. 19.

to secure for himself an inheritance in life

The various parts of the material world, the various operations of the human mind, were thenceforth to suggest to him, according to the determination of his own free will, means of purification or of farther debasement. General hopes of Redemption and general rules of conduct were given to him, and without doubt general affistance of the Holy Spirit, (that third Person in the incomprehensible Godhead, whose operations were from the beginning to be among the principal means provided for man's recovery,) was given also, to effect in honest minds an acceptable obedience.

But the depravity of man's heart foon became destructive of his virtue. His descendants increased and their vices also increased. Neither the laws of conscience nor the more express commands of the Deity were effectual to restrain their headstrong passions. Every imagination of their heart was evil: and violence overspread the face of the whole earth. To no effect was Enoch, who had walked with God, translated to the regions of heavenly bliss with a design to convince his brethren that

the

x Gen. iii. 15.

² Gen. vi. 3. Pf. li. 12.

y Gen. iv. 7.

a Gen. vi. 5.

the road to happiness in a better world was still open to religious obedience. To no effect was Noah raised up to be a preacher of righteousness, and a btime limited for the reformation or destruction of mankind. So great and so general was the vengeance which their profligacy called down upon them, that all the inhabitants of the earth, save eight persons, were swept away by an universal deluge.

But the promises of God concerning the Redemption of man were unalterable. Heaven and earth might pass away, but his word could not pass away.

Immediately after this fatal event the Almighty fmelled a fweet favour from that facrifice, which had been inftituted as a type of the great Redeemer, and determined that he would not any more fmite every living thing: moreover he was graciously pleased to provide mankind with anew laws for their direction. To these laws we may refer both the foundation of the different Religions professed in the Heathen world, and the articles of Faith prescribed to the Jewish Proselytes of the Gate.

These laws however were not the only means which appear to have been graciously used by the Almighty to hinder the future corruption

. b Gen. vii. 3. c Gen. viii. 21. d Gen. ix.

of

of mankind. He immediately shortened the lives of Noah's descendants, with a merciful intention, as we may conclude, to render them more obedient and sooner to remove pernicious examples from the earth. And, on the prefumptuous erection of the tower of Babel, the same great moral Governor interfered in a more conspicuous manner to restrain the licentiousness of those upon whom he had promifed not to inflict a fecond general destruction: he distributed them into efeparate nations by a confusion of tongues; thus guarding against an uniformity of corruption among them, and providing, in the ordinary course of his moral government, means to punish their wickedness by the instrumentality of each other.

But, notwithstanding these divine injunctions and interpositions, soon did the depravity of the human heart again widely extend its influence: soon did the descendants of Noah, from impersect observations and groundless conjectures concerning the motions and natures of the heavenly bodies, form for themselves, sirst perhaps, (under the traditions which they might recollect, or under the in-

fluence

[•] Gen. xi. 8.

f Of what fort the original corruption of divine worship was, we may infer from Acts vii. 42, 43.

fluence of what their own sunworthiness might suggest concerning the necessity of a mediator,) a race of tutelar gods or intercessors with the most High; and afterwards, as their borruptions increased, Gods of a supreme and independent nature.

That mankind therefore, forgetful of the commands enjoined to Noah and his posterity, might not be involved a second time in an universal alienation from the living God; and also that they might not be unprepared to receive the promised Redemption; it pleased the Almighty to select kone from the faithful then left, to impart to him a particular knowledge

of

g Secker's Lectures, 8vo. vol. ii. p. 145.

h We shall easily conceive to what degree religious traditions may be corrupted from the following remarkable fact; "Some Saxon Monks, who had formerly introduced "the Gospel into Rugia, dedicated a Church there to their "patron St. Vitus. The inhabitants afterwards relapsed "into Paganism, forgot the true God, and, when they "were converted again about the year 1170, they were found to be given up to the worship of the idol Suan-"tovit which they had derived from "St. Vitus." Jortin's Remarks on Eccl. Hist. vol. v. p. 232. Ist edit.

i What Mr. Hume afferts in his natural history of Religion, to prove that Polytheifin was the primary Religion of mankind, has the fame kind of foundation with those political systems, which admit of no original communications from the Deity, and derive all government from the free and uninfluenced choice of the People.

k Gen. xii. 1, 2, 3.

of his duty, and, by confining the Redeemer to his 'descendants, to interest them more immediately in the preservation and publication of those prophecies concerning this great Personage which were to be entrusted to their care.

Thus, that the fervice of the living God might not be left without advocates among men, fome true worshippers were at different times, by traditions derived from them and miracles wrought among them, to convey minstruction to the idolatrous Heathen, and occafionally to dispel their gross darkness even before the Day-spring from on high should visit them. And that there might be some guardians worthy to be entrusted with the sacred oracles, some country sitted to receive the Sun of righteousness, it was ordained that there should be "a pchosen generation, a royal priesthood, an holy nation."

Such were the important defigns of the Jewish dispensation.

of

¹ Gen. xxii. 18.

m See Jenkin's Reasonableness of the Christian Religion, vol. i. p. 73, &c.

n Rom. iii. 2. o Mal. iv. 2.

P 1 Pet. ii. 9. Exod. xix. 5, 6.

In the term "Jew," which is the appropriate denomination of the descendants of Judah, soon included under it the Benjamites, who joined themselves to the tribe of Judah on the revolt of the other ten tribes from the house

The promises made to the posterity of Abraham, through Isaac and Jacob, and the miracles wrought in their preservation, increase, and deliverance from Egyptian bondage, are every where urged as arguments against idolatry and types of universal Redemption. After this deliverance, as if occasional interpositions were inadequate to his gracious purposes, the Deity vouchsafed by a continuation of miracles to take immediately upon himself their temporal government.

Nor can the human mind conceive a scene more awful, or more impressive of religious obedience, than that which ushered in the laws and ordinances of this new Kingdom. While the visible interposition of the divine Majesty conferred on it a solemnity and dignity, which no 'language but that of Inspi-

of David. After the Babylonish captivity, when many individuals of these ten tribes returned with the men of Judah and Benjamin to rebuild Jerusalem, the same term was made to include them also. From hence not only all the Israelites of future times have been called Jews; but farther all the descendants of Jacob are so called by us at present from the very beginning of their history; and we speak even of their original dispensation, as the Jewish dispensation.

r Some of the most beautiful and sublime passages of sacred poetry consist of allusions to the wonderful scene which was exhibited on mount Sinai. See Lowth de sacra Poesi Heb. 3d edit. p. 113.

ration

ration can describe; the 'public display of this wonderful condescension was calculated to preclude all possible suspicion of imposture, and to convey the most durable instruction to posterity.

At the same time the precaution also, with which the divine commands were delivered, served to prove their great and lasting importance. For, to prevent, as much as possible, that intermixture of human error which might arise from the contracted duration of men's lives, the Mosaical instructions were not, like former Revelations, left to the conveyance of tradition, but 'written and engraven by the finger of God.

Well are they worthy of our attention on account of their excellent morality; but we ought particularly to observe the manner, in which they are calculated to consecrate a peculiar people to the service of the true God, by the prohibition of intercourse and intermarriage between them and their idolatrous neighbours, (that fatal cause of the corruption of the old world, when the "sons of God went in to the daughters of men,) and by number-less precepts, which were designed to create

See Exod. xix.—.

Exod. xxxi. 18. Ib. xxxii. 16.

[&]quot; Gep. vi. 3.

in them a settled abhorrence of the *advocates for Heathen superstition, and which to some refined moralists savour too little of universal charity. How effectually these injunctions operated, under the immediate appointment of the Almighty, to form the Jews into a separate body from the rest of mankind, is exceedingly remarkable. To this very day, like the well-cemented ruins of some old fortress, they exhibit proofs of the most durable contexture; and, however their original use be superseded, adhere together with undiminished force.

* That the Jewish laws are fingularly favourable to ftrangers, as fuch, is abundantly evident from Lev. xix. 34.—xxiii. 22.—xxiv. 22.—xxv. 35.—Num. xv. 15, 16.— Deut. i. 16.—x. 17—19.—xxiv. 14—17.—xxvii. 19. Had Mr. Gibbon been acquainted with these and many other fuch parts of the Jewish law, he would not have afferted in the most unqualified manner, on account of some punishments said to be inslicted in the Old Testament, the reafons of which he perhaps did not understand, "that the " moral attributes of Jehovah may not eafily be reconciled "with the standard of human virtue." History of the Decline and Fall of the Roman Empire, vol. v. p. 202. But, whatever fuch men may prefume to affert, we know. on the authority of one wifer and better acquainted with the fubject, "that no nation had statutes and judgments " fo righteous as all the law which was fet before the "Jews." Deut. iv. 8. And, whatever might have happened on particular occasions and for particular reasons, we are affured in general with regard to the princes of the house

Nor did it contribute in an ordinary degree to promote the great ends of the Mosaical dispensation, that the rewards and punishments of it were immediately distributed; and that the Jews were left to discover, by yother means, the doctrine of a future state. how could this people be fo ftrongly guarded against the temptations to idolatry which were ever foliciting their fenses from present objects, as by the contrary affurance of present gratifications? Or by what other fo effectual a method could they be made to look forward with interested confidence to superior blesfings from that great Prophet, whom Mofes himself zcommands them to obey?—Under such influence if the Law did not, by its spiritual design, as a *school-master bring them to Christ; their zealous attention from temporal motives to every particular relative

house of Israel from the confession of their enemies that they were merciful kings. See I Kings xx. 31.

J With regard to the doctrine of a future state, both the Jews and the Gentiles appear universally to have derived traditional information on this important subject from some original Revelation, communicated to mankind in the early ages of their history: that however of the Jews continued very much more pure in consequence of the instruction, conveyed to them by the descriptions of God and the promises of Redemption which are every where found in their Scriptures.

² Deut. xviii. 15-19.

² Gal. iii. 24.

c 3

· to

to him would make them instruments of universal salvation.

Exclusively indeed of such considerations, had the Mosaical covenant proposed to its partakers suture and eternal rewards without full-information that these were not to be obtained through works of the Law, unless sanctified by Faith in their promised Redeemer; it would apparently have superseded the necessity of this Redeemer. And since the Divine Mercy did not think sit to propose explicitly the conditions of our eternal life before the great sacrifice for sin had been actually offered; we cannot enough admire the wisdom, by which the necessary temporality of the Mosaical sanctions was employed to prepare the way for a better covenant.

At the same time that the promised descent of the Redeemer might not lose of its influence by being common to too many, it is very remarkable how it is limited: first to 'Isaac, then to 'Jacob, then to 'Judah, and afterwards to 'David and his posterity. Nor was it thus limited without some concomitant and immediate token of divine favour. Each of these patriarchs was soon distinguished by his riches and power.

- ² Gen. xxi. 12. Heb. xi. 18.
- b Gen. xxxv. 12. Mal. i. 2, 3.
- Gen. xlix. 12. d Acts ii. 30.

Care

Care having been thus taken to preserve in some part of the world the knowledge of the true God, and to prepare a facred repository for the prophecies, which were not only to authenticate the Redeemer on his arrival, but also to prepare mankind for his reception; we every where find these reasons of the Jewish dispensation insisted upon and enforced. It was not for their own virtue that the Jews were made particular objects of divine care; but because Jehovah loved their foresathers, who amidst an idolatrous generation had remained uncorrupted; and for the glory of his name, to be displayed in the universal Redemption of mankind.

'This their great Lawgiver and their prophets fully declare, while they upbraid the Jews with unworthiness of divine favour. And though the history of this people for many ages immediately after the promulgation of their law is one continued relation of perverse obstinacy and disobedience; yet the merciful Providence of God abandoned not these rebellious children, but at different times raifed up holy prophets to reprove them, and almost to force them back to their duty.—So much was the universal and eternal interest of

mankind

c Compare Deut. ix. 7. with Deut. x. 15, 16. And see the 48th and 49th chapters of Isaiah. C 4

mankind concerned in the preservation of that seed, through which all the nations of the earth were to be blessed!

And while by alluring promises every day fulfilled before their eyes, by grievous threats, and even by the severest temporal punishments, they were reduced at 'length to some partial obedience; the great work of man's salvation was accelerated. Those prophets, who denounced, through the Holy Spirit, the most oppressive bondage on this rebellious nation, foretold also with increasing clearness, through the same Spirit, the suture deliverance of mankind and the eternal blessings of Messiah's Kingdom.

Nor is the precision, with which they pointed out the great Saviour, unworthy of the most particular attention. Almost every circumstance relative to him was marked out with minute detail.

His miraculous geonception; his birth, with the particular time and place of it; the befeurity of his outward appearance; his fasting during forty days; the footless purity of his life; the greatness of his miracles; his tri-

f The Jews were never guilty of idolatry after the Babylonish captivity.

g If. vii. 14.

h Dan. ix. 25.

i Mic. v. 2.

l If. liii. 2.

l Prefigured by Moses and Elijah.

m If. liii. 9, 11. n If xxxv. 5, 6. e Zech. ix. 9. umphant,

umphant, though humble, entry into Jerufalem; his being petrayed for thirty pieces of filver: his execution with common amalefactors; his patience under all manner of infult and torture previous to his propitiatory death, while his back was given to the fmiters, his 'hands and feet were pierced, and the parching thirst occasioned by his agony could procure no other assuaging potion than "vinegar mingled with gall; his making of his grave "with the rich; his refurrection on the third day; his 'triumphant ascension; and the flocking together of the Gentiles to his enfign; all these and many more circumstances, relative to him, were prefigured and foretold with a precision eminently characteriftical of Omniscience.

Perverse and invincible must the scepticism be, which remains uninfluenced by it in any situation. No wonder therefore that it should have left without the possibility of excuse that obstinacy which refused assent to this prophetic evidence, when it was afterwards drawn together and presented by the Apostles to the Jewish nation, with a display of miracles correspondent to those of the great Redeemer

```
P Zech. xi. 12. 9 If. liii. 12. r If. liii. 7.

5 If. l. 6. t Pf. xxii. 16. u If. lxix. 21.

W If. liii. 9. z Jonah ii. 10. y Pf. xxiv.
```

himself.

² If. lx. 3, &c.

himself, and with a force peculiar to the accomplishment of writings which long had been regarded by this nation as their distinguishing glory. But, like the 'insidel lord who would not believe the Prophet Elisha's gracious prediction, they were to see the great promises of God fulfilled before their eyes and not to taste of them.

Indeed, to verify incontrovertibly the reafons here affigned for the Jewish dispensation, and to preclude all fupposition of predilection in the Almighty for the posterity of Jacob, his beloved, for their own fake exclusively, ten of the twelve tribes of Ifrael, rendered no longer useful in the grand scheme of man's falvation by their obstinate idolatry and the limitation of the Messiah to the tribe of Judah. were many hundred years before his coming dispersed and removed from the peculiar protection of the Almighty; a punishment, which was in a conspicuous degree to await their brethren also, and which was only deferred till the great purposes of their separate and national establishment had been fully accomplished.

In the mean time the other nations of the earth were exercifing, under various circumstances and in various degrees, that reason,

2 Kings vii. 2.

b Mal. i. 2.

which.

which, however perversely applied, was defigned to promote their own 'happiness and the glory of their Creator.—After their dispersion at Babel they formed themselves into societies, established 'empires, cultivated science. But they 'corrupted the Religion, given to Noah and his descendants, by Polytheism, as hath been declared, and by idolatry; they corrupted the promises and commands of God, and became continually more and more involved in ignorance both of his all-holy nature and of their own duty to him.

Their temporal fuccess however puffed up their vain imaginations, often tempted the true worshippers to desert the living Jehovah, often called down denunciations and inflictions of divine vengeance upon the Gentile, no less than upon the Jewish, idolater.

Human reason indeed did not succeed even

- c "Parvulos nobis dedit igniculos, quos celeriter malis moribus opinionibusque depravatis sic restinguimus, ut nusquam naturæ lumen appareat. Sunt enim ingeniis nostris semina innata virtutum, quæ si adolescere liceret, ipsa nos ad beatam vitam natura perduceret." Cic. Tusc. Quæst. l. iii. c. 1.
 - d Shuckford's Connect. vol. ii. p. 67. 2d edit.

1. 1. 1. 1.

e When Cicero describes the Causes of the corruption of mankind, he mentions the poets first and with greater propriety than he was himself aware of; since these contributed, by debasing divine traditions, to the general corruption very much more than could ever be known to the Roman philosopher. Tasc. Quæst. l. iii. c. 2.

thus

thus far every where. In countries distantly removed from the seat of Revelation, by degrees the most debasing superstition usurped the place of Religion, the powers of the mind were left uncultivated, and the foolish heart of man appears to have become 'reprobate, and darkened, with regard to things temporal no less than eternal.

But though God was pleased so far to wink at these times of ignorance, as not immediately to interfere either to reform or to extirpate the offenders; and though he caused his sun alike to shine upon the just and the unjust; yet no part of mankind was left unregarded by their great moral Governor: they were all going on with that state of probation, to which they had been reduced by their original parents, or rather to which they had been restored by the mercy of God; and, according to their conduct in this probation, they were, under the Atonement of their great Redeemer, to receive their portion in another life.

The Gentiles, having a power, as Revelation sufficiently declares, to do by nature the

things

f Rom, i. 28.

s See Rom. i. 19, 20. Ibid. ii. 14. Befides, we must here recollect, what has above been declared, that fome affistance of the holy Spirit appears from the beginning to have been extended universally to the infirmities of human nature. See Gen. vi. 3. &c.

things contained in the Law, might render themselves sit objects of divine favour by living agreeably to its injunctions: and if they sinned without Law, they were to perish without Law, not less hassuredly, than they, who sinned in the Law, were to be judged by the Law; those, who had no other written Law given them, having a Law written in their hearts, their consciences bearing them witness.

The Gentiles, however, instead of striving through divine assistance to extricate themselves from the curse of sin, had not only proved unworthy of the food and gladness with which their hearts were silled, and consequently much more unworthy of the eternal blessings to which they might have aspired; but the Jews also, as we have seen, could with difficulty be restrained within bounds of religious duty. Even when this chosen people had ceased after the Babylonish captivity to profane their Religion by idolatry, they made it, as far as in them lay, of none *effect by their traditions.

But the perverseness of the Gentiles and Jews had abundantly evinced the universal, and, by themselves, irremediable, depravity of

mankind;

h Rom. ii. 12.

¹ Rom. it. 14, 15.

Mark vii. 13.

mankind; and 'thus had been preparing the way for the great Redeemer.

Conducively also to the same important end, though the Gentiles had demonstrated the insufficiency of man's most cultivated reason to retrace, after long obliteration, the great duties of a religious life; yet the maxims, occasionally inculcated, or oftentatiously displayed, by their philosophers, had illustrated the admirable fitness of such a morality, as Christianity was to enjoin:—whilst at the same time the Jews, amidst all their disobedience, had preserved and made mknown the prophecies which were to authenticate the Redeemer; and, by abhorrence of Idolatry after their return from Babylon, had been brought to recommend the worship of the invisible God.

Preparation had by these and other means been made for the Redemption and perfect instruction of mankind. Preparation had been made: and the fulness of time came. After the Jewish Scriptures had been closed for an awful period of nearly four hundred years, the birth of the great Saviour was announced by a multitude of the heavenly host. And well

might

¹ Rom. iii. 9, 25.

m These prophecies were known not only to all the Jews, but also to the Heathens, as it appears from Virgil and other Heathen writers.

might the important message be declared to contain tidings of great joy to all people.

From the first fall of mankind sin had reigned in their mortal bodies; and the wages of it had been continued misery. Of this the Heathen philosophers were so far sensible, that they recommended perfect "virtue, as the only solid foundation of happiness. But as they could "no where find this virtue, they were at a loss where to find their happy man.

By requisitions, better suited to human infirmity, Revelation, from the first introduction of human misery, had been preparing a renovation of happiness for mankind: obscurely and typically often in the beginning; but not so, when Christianity was finally proposed as the completion of all former revelations.

The Christian covenant began not with the most distant requisition of Stoical apathy or unattainable persection. The voice of him, that cried in the wilderness, required no such qualifications in mankind. It paddressed itself to them, as sinful creatures, and exhorted them to repent. With the same exhortation the Redeemer also himself qentered upon the pub-

a See the fifth book of Cicero's Tusculan Questions.

o "Nemo fine vitiis nascitur" is a truth universally admitted by the wisest Heathens.

P Mat. iii. 2. 9 Mat. iv, 17. Mark i. 15.

lication of his Religion; and the same was the introductory doctrine of his 'disciples and destined successors. The first step towards the restoration of mankind to divine favour was repeatedly pointed out in an humble confession of their own unworthiness.

Nor were the subsequent means of counteracting the bad effects of their depravity left either to be discovered, or to be regulated, by themselves. By instructions, delivered in his own and his Father's 'name from his mouth who spake as never man spake, and by his all-perfect example and "atonement, who was tempted in all points like as we are, yet without sin, such provision was made for our affistance and acceptance in the performance of our duty towards God, our neighbour, and ourselves, as at once is calculated to restore our nature to its proper perfection and to proportion to our abilities the obedience required from us.

During

والمحال والمال

¹ Mark vi. 12.

See more concerning this inftruction in the fifth Sermon, where it is urged, under the internal evidences of our Religion, as an argument in its favour.

^t Mat. 5th, 6th and 7th chapters, John v. 43. Ib. vii. 16, 17.

^u 2 Cor. v. 21. See also the parts of Scripture above referred to concerning this Atonement.

w Matt. xxv. 14-23.

During the ministry upon earth of this wonderful. Personage, he was engaged either in thus instructing his followers with regard to their duty, and in providing the merciful means of their present and future happiness; or in evincing, by displays of the most astonishing miracles and by completions of prophecies, the reality of his pretensions and divine mission. During his ministry upon earth, whatsoever had been written in the Law, or in the Prophets, concerning the office and character, concerning the birth, life, propitiatory death, resurrection, and ascension of the great Redeemer received in Jesus of Nazareth its persect accomplishment.

From the moment that the glorified Jesus, having arisen from the dead, was invested with his office of Intercessor for mankind and was openly announced as their future judge, their promised Redemption was completed. A new covenant between God and man commenced; a covenant, into which all *nations were to be admitted by Baptism, and in which they were to be supported and perfected at the Lord's Supper, by commemorations of the great sacrifice which had been offered for their sins; a covenant, which, though comprehensive in its efficacy of the first man and *all his descendants,

Matt. xxviii. 19. J. Luke xxii. 19.

² See concerning the universality of Christianity the passages

disclosed itself with various degrees of light, and shone not forth in all its splendour before the ascension of its great mediator.

From that time God was pleased explicitly to inform mankind concerning their state of trial in this life, and concerning the conditions of their future judgment:—that, though they must here remain subject to temptation from the world, the flesh, and the Devil, and at length to death itself; they were provided with abundant means of escaping that misery hereafter, which is to be the certain and dreadful consequence of wilful perseverance in Irreligion and which is called death eternal:that, through the infinite atonement made for them by his bleffed Son, he would affift their infirmities by the preventing and continued influence of his Holy Spirit, would pardon their fins, and reward them with peace here and eternal glory hereafter; provided, on their part, that they would embrace these his gracious offers with that determined Faith in the doctrines revealed by him, which proceeds, under the evidences of their truth, from humble reliance on his infinite perfections; and with that obedience to his precepts, which

passages of Scripture above cited, and also what is said on the subject in the sixth Sermon.

demon-

^a This information may be collected from the universal tenor of the Apostolical writings.

demonstrates a fincere and universal desire to obey them.

The Apostles and others were appointed to announce this covenant; whilst the persons to whom it was announced, being in possession of the free will, which their original parents, and their more immediate ancestors, the Gentiles and Jews, fo obstinately perverted, had full power to accept or reject it. It was foretold that preachers should continue in future ages to propose the same terms, and that mankind should continue to be invested with the same powers of acceptance or rejection. in Christianity every offer of divine mercy was to be completed. No farther covenant was ever to be proposed; nor this withdrawn. If even an angel from heaven were to teach any other doctrine, he was to be baccurfed; whilst at the same time all the 'scoffs of the latter days and the dgates of hell itself were never to prevail to the eradication of this holy Religion.

Such is the substance of our Religion, as it extends from the most remote circumstance any where revealed in Scripture to the publication of the Gospel after the ascension of Christ. Such therefore our Religion was at the time of its original completion; and such

b Gal. i. 8. c 2 Pet. iii. 3. b Matt. xvi. 18.

D 2 also

also it continues to be to this day: the grand scheme of man's restoration to divine favour, having been fully perfected by the acceptance of the atonement made for him by his great Redeemer, and admitting not in itself of any variation from subsequent circumstances of time or place.

As to the display of miraculous powers with which our religion was afterwards published, and the infallible manner, in which it was so recorded for the permanent use of mankind, as to enable them with certainty to collect for themselves in every age those conditions of their future and eternal happiness which have been above stated; these are circumstances of which the relation will fall under the next head.

SERMON II.

1 Рет. ііі. 15.

Be ready always to give an answer to every man, that asketh you a reason of the Hope that is in you.

HAVING stated in historical order the substance of our Religion, as it extends from the most remote circumstance any where revealed in Scripture to the publication of the Gospel after the ascension of Christ, I am now to give a sketch of the history of our Religion from this publication of the Gospel to the present times; and thus to point out the answer, which we may give concerning the hope that is in us, as far as relates to the manner in which our Religion has been received and conveyed through successive periods down to our own times.

This head is so extensive, that, compendiously discussed, it will furnish matter for three Discourses: the first will carry us from the D 3 publipublication of our religion after the ascension of Christ to the establishment of it by Constantine; the second from its establishment by Constantine to the commencement of the Reformation; and the third from the commencement of the Reformation to the present times, or (as I have limited this part of my subject) to the present state of our own Church.

To begin then with the first of these periods:—the Apostles, who were commissioned by our blessed Saviour to publish his Religion, were men destitute of worldly advantages; but they were men, who had been 'with him from the beginning, and, together with their great persecutor and future associate, were distinguished by an ingenuousness of mind, which in all ages has been required, as a necessary preparation for the reception of divine Truth. St. Peter's repentance, St. Thomas's confession, and St. Paul's conversion, are eminent displays of this disposition.

At first the Apostles, like their great Mafter, confined their instruction to that nation which had hitherto been the more immediate object of divine Revelation. They soon however learned, that the God, whom they preached, was not the God of the Jews only, but

c John xv. 27.

of

of the Gentiles also. The miraculous effusion of the Holy Spirit by degrees opened and enlarged their understandings on this important subject; and his various gifts raised their feeble powers to the ability of executing the task of preaching the Gospel to the whole world, to which they had been commissioned by their great Master.

It was impossible that our Saviour should himself in his human capacity be every where present before his sufferings to preach his Gospel: and, as the Jewish nation had been particularly calculated for his reception and Judæa was to be the theatre of his mighty works, it was 'necessary that the Gospel should be first preached unto the Jews. But, from the commencement of this falvation, the Gentiles were not unapprifed that they also were to partake of its bleffings. No fooner did the Saviour of mankind appear in the flesh, than the wife men of the East were conducted by the leading of a star to the habitation of this king of Israel. And no sooner again was the falvation of mankind effected, than the partition between the Jewish and Gentile worshippers was removed; the veil of the temple was immediately rent in twain from the top to the bottom. St. Paul also

f A&s xiii. 46.

D 4

was

was foon after called to be the Apostle of the Gentiles: Cornelius's prayer was heard: the Holy Ghost fell upon him: and that Gospel, which had hitherto been the peculiar glory of Israel, became a light to lighten the Gentiles.

In the Acts of the Apostles we have a concise account of the incessant ardour, with which the preachers of Christianity went on, after the communication of the Gospel to the Gentiles, to make known to the whole world the glad tidings of their ministry. Their zeal carried them by different routes into distant countries: supernatural powers every where raised for them the attention of mankind: and the sanctity of their lives and doctrines improved this attention to the advantage of their new Religion.

How far they actually extended their progress has been the subject of curious and often of sceptical inquiry. It is perhaps impossible, it certainly is unnecessary, to determine this question in the present age. The natural blessings of any country are essaced by indolence or disaster; and both the advantage and remembrance of religious improvements are done away by the neglect, or the perversion, of religious obligations. But, notwithstanding every infinuation to the contrary, we may rest assured from good authority that the first preachers

of Christianity not only svisited all the distinguished countries of the old world; but also that their zeal carried them beyond the regions, into which either ambition or avarice had in those days furnished means of extending the geographical discoveries of Roman science.—The prediction was fully accomplished, which declared that before the destruction of Jerusalem "the Gospel should be preached in all the world for a witness to all nations;" and St. Paul had the joyful opportunity of assuring the Colossians, that "the Gospel was come unto them, as it was "also in all the world."

5 See Stillingsleet's Orig. Britan. p. 37, 38.

h Tertullian says "Britannorum inaccessa Romanis, loca, Christo vero subdita"—adversus Judæos, c. 7.

Eusebius also says concerning our Saviour's original disciples, "Κηρυτθειν δε (αυτους) εις σαντας το του Ιησε ονομα, και τας παραδοξους σραξεις αυτου κατα τε αγρες και κατα σολιν διδασκειν, και τους μεν αυτων την Ρωμαιων αρχην και αυτην τε την βασιλικωτατην σολιν νειμασθαι, τες δε την Περσων, τους δε την Αρμενιων, έτερους δε το Παρθων εθνος, και αυ παλιν το Σκυθων, τινας δε ηδη και επ' αυτα της οικεμενης ελθειν τα ακρα, επι τε την Ινδων φθασαι χωραν, και έτερους ύπερ τον ωκεανον σαρελθων επι τας καλουμενας Βρετθανικας νησους." Euseb. Demons. Evangel. l. iii. p. 112. edit. Par. 1628.

i Matt. xxiv. 14. k Col. i. 6.

¹ See Origen against Celsus, l. i. c. 7. and l. ii. c. 13. Paris 1733.

Correspondently with this propagation of our Religion Arnobius says, "Quod si falsa, ut dicitis, historia illa re"rum est, unde tam brevi tempore mundus ista religione
"com-

The Apostles in their first preaching of Christianity contented themselves with delivering by oral communication the great and simple truths of their Religion. The extent of their personal industry was the extent also of their instruction. This industry however was indefatigable: and its success was soon followed by other means both of disseminating and supporting the truth.

Within a "fhort time after the descent of the Holy Spirit it pleased divine Providence that St. Matthew should publish an account

"completus?" Adv. Gentes, l. i. p. 33. Lugd. Bat. 1651.

m "Postea enim quam surrexit Dominus noster a mor"tuis et induti sunt supervenientis Spiritus Sancti virtu"tem ex alto, de omnibus adimpleti sunt et habuerunt
"persectam agnitionem, ut exierunt in sines terræ ea quæ
"a Deo nobis bona sunt evangelizantes, et cœlestem pa"cem hominibus annunciantes, qui quidem omnes pariter
"et singuli eorum habentes Evangelium Dei. Ita Mat"thæus scripturam edidit Evangelii," &c. Irenæus adv.
Hær. l. iii. c. i. edit. Massuet.

I might mention the opinions of Lardner and others concerning the time when the Gospels were written: but, for the sake of precision, I shall mention that of Townson only, who is among the latest approved writers on the subject. He supposes, with Cosmas of Alexandria, that St. Matthew wrote his Gospel about the time of the persecution upon St. Stephen's death; Discourses on the Gospels, p. 25. And again he says p. 113. "we may rest fecure that St. Matthew's Gospel was written by the year 37."

of the life, doctrines, death, refurrection, and ascension of his great Master. Thus was a foundation laid for fimilar and supplemental writings, which enfued in their respective seafons. About twenty years after the publication of St. Matthew's Gospel, "St. Mark, the disciple of St. Peter, abridged, as it appears, in many instances the Gospel of St. Matthew, and by the addition of some particular circumstances adapted it more fully to general use. A farther interval of a few 'years fucceeded, before St. Luke, the beloved companion of St. Paul, undertook to write on the fame fubject and finished his Gospel to the particular advantage of the Gentile world; putting into it the doctrines preached by St. Paul.

In the mean time the more distinguished among the Apostles were labouring incessantly in the great work of converting mankind: and being ordained, like the Evangelists, to teach men of every country and every age, they were perpetuating in common with them the

various

n Townson says that St. Mark's Gospel was written about the end of the year 56, or of the year 60. Discourses, p. 168.

[•] With regard to St. Luke's Gospel, Townson says only, that it was written after St. Matthew's and St. Mark's, and that St. Luke had seen those Gospels, p. 200.

P See Irenæus advers. Hær. l. iii. c. 14. See also Bp. Horsley's Tracts, 8vo. 1789. p. 326.

various instructions by which they were to build up the Chorch of God. In the Acts of the Apostles, written by St. Luke, and in the various Epistles still extant, and written by St. Paul, St. James, St. Peter, St. Jude, and St. John, we may admire the contempt of danger with which these Holy men attested the truth of what they had heard and seen; we may admire the zeal with which they went on to improve their disciples; we may admire also the Mercy of God, who has furnished posterity through their means with the most perfect instructions in righteousness.

But there is one circumstance, which regards the writings of the New Testament and which particularly calls for our grateful admiration. It was appointed by the Providence of God that these writings should be submitted to general examination, and be left to make their different impressions on good and bad men, before the destined finisher of them was removed from the world. At an interval of not so little as 'fifty years after the publica-

^{4 &}quot;As far as ecclefiastical history can ascertain us of it, "all the Apostles but John (and that to make good the "prediction of Christ) suffered violent deaths by the "hands of those that persecuted them merely for their "doctrines." Stillingsseet's Orig. Sac. p. 275. 4to. edit. 1680.

Townson says, p. 109, that St. John's Gospel was published after the taking of Jerusalem: And again, p.

tion of St. Matthew's Gospel, St. John is said to have fanctioned by his 'approbation the three first Gospels, to have supplied their 'deficiencies in his own, and to have resuted in it some "heretical opinions which had arisen concerning the person and dignity of his Saviour.

In the same manner the Epistles had been generally received and had produced their effect, before he addressed to the seven Churches of Asia those exhortations, and most remarkable predictions which close the sacred volume. Long was the beloved disciple preserved in this life, that by his concurrence at a distant period he might give the strongest

211, that the feveral circumstances as to the writing of it are strongly in favour of its late publication.

s Eusebius says of St. John, "τελος δε επι την γραφην ελ" Θειν τοιασδε χαριν αιτιας" των προαναγραφεντών τριών εις παν" τας ηδη και εις αυτον διαδεδομενών αποδεξαθαι μεν φασι, αλη" Θειαν αυτοις επιμαρτυρησαντα." Hist. Eccl. l. iii. c. 25.
Reading's Ed. See also Cave's Hist. Liter. vol. i. p. 16.

t Jortin fays, "St. John had feen the three first Gospels, "for he wrote his own as a supplement to them." Remarks on Eccl. Hist. vol. i. p. 46. 1st edit.

u That St. John's Gospel was written against the Nicolaitans and Cerinthus is afferted by Iræneus, l. iii. c. 11. p. 188.

Jortin fays, "the Ebionites denied the Divinity, and "the Docetæ the Humanity of Christ; and St. John "feems to have had them both in view." Remarks on Eccl. Hist. vol. ii. p. 266.

confir-

confirmation to the veracity of the other Evangelists and Apostles, and that he might supply whatever could be wanted either to edify or to console the Christian believer till the consummation of all things: thus before he was admitted to the joy of his Lord, he was to facilitate the progress of others to the same happiness.

Various and decifive are the arguments, by which these Scriptures of the New Testament, as "Eusebius has ascertained their number and as we have them at present, come recommended to our 'acceptance. A correspondence between our text and the citations and versions of the earliest ages establishes beyond a possibility of doubt the general authenticity of our modern copies. That the writings themselves were also given by Inspiration ought not to be doubted by any one, who admits the truth of the doctrines contained in them.

The Canonical books are supposed not to have been finally and authoritatively fixed before the Council of Laodicea, towards the end of the fourth century. But Lardner says that the Canon may justly be said to have been settled before that time.—Works, 8vo. 1788. vol. vi. p. 29.

For

w Hist. Eccl. l. iii. c. 25.

^{*} Mosheim says that the books of the New Testament were the greatest part of them received in the Church before the middle of the second century—vol. i. p. 108.— English Translation, 8vo. 1782.

For if the Holy Spirit was to direct the Apostles in their addresses to the yadversaries of our Religion; we cannot suspect that his affiftance would be withholden, when they were addressing themselves to the persons, for whose sake those adversaries were to be refuted, and that too in words, which, whether it were known immediately or not, were to be recorded for the inftruction of all ages.

In the same manner if the Holy Spirit interfered to controul and direct the Apostles with regard to the 'places where they were to preach, and the Gospel which they preached was not taught them but by the Revelation of Jesus Christ; we may rest assured that they were not left to their own unaffisted reafon in delivering those among their doctrines. which were to be of the most extensive and lasting importance. And besides, if it was foretold that the Holy Spirit should b teach the Apostles all things, and bring all things to their remembrance, whatfoever Christ had faid unto them, and expressly that he should 'guide them to all truth; doubtless, we have abundant reason to presume that their writ-

ings

y Luke xxi. 15. Z Acts xiii. 2. Ibid. xxi. 9, 7. b John xiv. 26.

^{*} Gal. i. 12.

c John xvi. 13.

ings must have been delivered under his infallible direction.

With regard to the first part of the sacred writings, which is contained in the Jewish Scriptures, our blessed Saviour declares concerning the Law, that it was easier for Heaven and Earth to pass away than that one tittle of it should fail: we are informed also on the authority of the New Testament, that prophecy came not in old time by the will of man, but holy men of God spake as they were moved by the Holy Ghost; and besides, that David spake by the Holy Ghost.

And fince this is the case with regard to the different harts of the Jewish Scriptures; what shall we say concerning the Scriptures of the new and eternal covenant? What shall

we

d It is perhaps worthy of observation, that on the very day, which has always been celebrated by the Jews in remembrance of the wonderful delivery of the Law on mount Sinai, the Holy Ghost descended to direct and illuminate the minds of the Apostles.

c Luke xvi. 17. f 2 Pet. i. 21.

g Mark xii. 36.

h A particular account of the books of the Old Testament is given by Eusebius from Josephus, and from Origen. See in Eusebius's Eccl. Hist the account from Josephus, l. iii. c. 10; and from Origen, l. vi. c. 25. These books of the Old Testament were divided into the Law, the Prophets, and the Hagiographa: the last of these three divisions includes the Psalms, Proverbs, &c.

we say concerning those discourses of our bleffed Saviour recorded in the Gospels, of which he himself speaks more forcibly than of the Law, declaring, in that Heaven and "Earth shall pass away, but his word shall " not pass away?" What shall we say concerning those passages of the Epistles which are expressly faid to have been spoken by the Lord? And what concerning numberless other passages both in the Gospels and Epistles, which are undistinguished from the rest, and foretell things to come, or relate things past, with a precision, for which it is impossible to account, except by afcribing it to that Divine Spirit who was to teach the Apostles and bring to their remembrance remote occurrences ?

But we must not confine ourselves to particular passages of the New Testament. St. Paul *asserts, that "all Scripture was given by "Inspiration." St. Peter 'classes St. Paul's writings among the Scriptures. And as the promised assistance of the Holy Spirit was not confined to the Apostles, and "men could be found, among the early Christians, even for inferior employments, who were full of his divine influence; doubtless, we cannot wonder, not

i Mark xiii. 31.

¹ 2 Pet. iii. 16.

k 2 Tim. iii. 16.

m Acts 6.

only that the writings of all the Apostles, but that those also of their constant attendants, St. Mark and St. Luke, should have been classed from the beginning by the Church of Christ among the same inspired Scriptures. How shall we then not say of all these writings, which St. Paul himself, on a particular occasion, says of his own, "that they are the commandments of the Lord?" And what exceptions dare we make to the universal Inspiration of the subject-matter, at least, of compositions, delivered down to us under such circumstances?

On this immoveable proundation of truth, and divine Inspiration, Christianity erected itself and went on to increase. Communities of Christians were formed; regulations were

instituted

n 1 Cor. xiv. 37.

o That the writers themselves made no such exceptions, see Horbery's 8th Sermon, 1774.

P From Ignatius's Epistle to the Philadelphians (c. 7.) it even appears, that the extraordinary Inspiration of the Holy Spirit in the first ages of Christianity was not confined to the writers of the New Testament exclusively. He says, when exhorting them to adhere to their Bishops, their body of Presbyters, and their Deacons, "Oi ωτεσαντες (forsitan ὑποπτευσαντες) με ώς προειδοτα τον μερισμον τινων λεγεν ταυτα' μαρτυς δε μοι εν ώ δεδεμαι ότι απο σαρχος αν-βρωπινης ουχ εγνων. Το δε ωνευμα εχηρυσσε λεγων ταδε χ. τ. λ." In the same manner he says to the Ephesians (Epist. c. 20.) that he would instruct them in some points, "μαλιςα εαν ὁ Κυριος μοι αποκαλυψη."

inflituted for their religious worship and government; and Bishops immediately appoint-

9 That forms of prayer were not only used by the primitive Christians, but also such as correspond in many instances with our own, and especially in the part which relates to the sacrament of the Lord's Supper, see Cave's Prim. Christ. vol. i. c. 11: and that rules of Faith were admitted by them, see Tertullian against Praxeas, chapter the 2d.

τ Clemens Romanus, the Fellow-labourer of St. Paul, fays "Και οἱ αποςολοι ἡμων εγνωσαν δια του Κυριου ἡμων Ιησου " Χριστου ότι ερις ες αι επι του ονοματος της επισκοπης. Δια ταυ- " την ουν την αιτιαν προγνωσιν ειληφοτες τελειαν κατεςησαν τους " προειρημενους (scilicet επισκοπους και διακονους) και μεταξυ επινομην δεδωκασιν, όπως, εαν κοιμηθωσιν, διαδεξωνται έτεροι δεδοκιμασμενοι ανδρες την λειτουργιαν αυτων." Epist. 1^{ma}. ad Cor. c. 44.

An account of the nature of the epifcopal office in the first century may be seen in Mosheim's Eccl. Hist. vol. i. p. 105, &c. Engl. Edit. 1782.

Various passages might be cited from Ignatius's genuine Epistles to prove the great stress which this Disciple of St. John lays on the Episcopal authority. Indeed, Mosheim says of these Epistles, "nulla forte lis plerisque" Ignatianarum Epistolarum mota suisset, nisi qui pro divimo origine et antiquitate gubernationis episcopalis pugmant, causam suam ex his sulcire potuissent." De rebus Christianorum ante Constant. p. 160.

Hooker goes fo far as to challenge the fectaries of his time "to find out but one Church upon the face of the "whole earth, that hath been ordered by their discipline, "or that hath not been ordered by ours, that is to say by episcopal regiment sithence the time of the blessed Apofiles were here conversant." Pref. to Eccl. Pol. p. 10.
Edit. 1666.

See also on this subject Stillingsleet's Orig. Britan. p. E 2 74-83.

ed, and provision made for a succession of others, to superintend and enforce these regulations. In the history of the Apostles we read of Churches in Asia and other parts: and among their Epistles we find some, which make express mention of 'Bishops, Deacons, and Elders, and which were purposely written to instruct and confirm the new Bishops.

That these Bishops also were distinguished from the Elders or Presbyters, before, or very

74—83. Cave's Histor. Liter. vol. i. p. 42. Heylyn's Reformation justified, p. 202 and 251. Collier's Eccl. Hist. vol. ii. p. 617. and Bingham's Antiquities of the Christian Church, b. ii. c. 1. Fol. Edit. 1726. What Lord Chancellor King says on episcopal Government in his "Enquiry into the constitution, &c. of the Primitive "Church" is well answered by a Presbyter of the Church of England in a book, entitled "an original Draught of "the Primitive Church," and published in the year 1717. An answer to it may also be found in Bishop Smalridge's Sermons, Fol. p. 107—112.

s I Tim. chapters 3d. and 5th.

t Chillingworth informs us in his "Apostolical Institution of Episcopacy demonstrated" (which is comprised in four pages) that Petrus Molinæus in a book, purposely written in defence of the Presbyterian government, acknowledgeth "that presently after the Apostles' times, "or even in their time (as ecclesiastical history witnesseth) "it was ordained that in every city one of the Presbytery should be called a Bishop, who should have Preeminence over his colleagues to avoid confusion which oft times ariseth out of equality. And truly this form of government all Churches every where received." Chillingworth adds that another great defender of the foon "after, the death of St. John, the most zealous friends of the Presbyterian form of Church government allow. And were we even to concede that this distinction was not always made antecedently to the expiration of the Apostolical age; no reason can be affigued for an universal prevalence of it immediately after that period, which is not derived from decisions and injunctions, "delivered down by

Presbytery Theodorus Beza confesseth in essect the same thing. He also subjoins in a Note the concessions of two other writers from Geneva: referring his readers at length for fuller proofs to Dr. Hammond's Dissertations against Blondel, which he says "were never answered and never "will." See Chillingworth's Sase-Way, &c.—P. 321. 4th Edit. London 1674.

Walo Messalinus de Episcopis et Presbyteris (8vo. p. 253. Lugd. Bat. 1641.) says that the distinction arose about the beginning or middle of the second century. And Blondel in his Apol. pro sententia Hieronymi (Preface, p. 11. Amstelodami 1646) makes it commence about the year 135.

W During the life-time of the Apostles, presbyters, as Dr. Bentley insists, were called επισκοποι, or overseers, just as superintendents in the Heathen world were at that time so denominated on different occasions. But the Apostles having appointed their successors in the several cities and communities and being themselves removed from this world, the holy men thus appointed, though evidently the successors of the Apostles and not of the first επισκοποι, or overseers, were too modest to assume the title of Apostles and contented themselves with that of Bishops: and "from that time it was agreed over Christendom at once in the very next generation after the

the Apostles themselves, concerning their successors in the pastoral care and government of the Church.

The early Bishops fell little short of the Apostles in zeal and integrity. These holy men, having extended to the utmost of their abilities the knowledge of the truth, taught their converts by their own Example to live according to the doctrines of their profession, and by the same persuasive motive made them rejoice and triumph, when they were called upon to crown a well-spent life by a death of pious fortitude.

How far indeed the fuccessors of the Apositles in the superintendence of the Church succeeded them also in equal or even in similar powers of supernatural agency, is a question, which the injudicious affertions of the friends, and the artful infinuations of the enemies of Christianity, render it very *difficult for the present age to determine. But (blessed be the great Contriver and Perfecter of our Salva-

tion!)

[&]quot;Apostles to assign and appropriate to them the word "επισχοπος, or Bishop." See Phileleutherus Lipsiensis, Remark 35.

^{*} Jortin fays, "I would not engage for the truth of "the miracles after the year 107: but I wish to be classed "with the doubters, not the deniers," Rem. on Eccl. Hist. vol. ii. p. 71. He must however be supposed here to except the miracle on Julian's attempt to rebuild the temple of Jerusalem. See Notes on the 6th Sermon.

Faith. Already had the Almighty set his seal to the truth of Christianity. Already had those miracles of our great Redeemer and his original disciples, which are recorded in holy Writ and which admit of proof in every age, laid deep the foundation of that Religion against which the gates of Hell were never to prevail.

It appears to have been necessary that we should have the means of proving that our blessed Saviour authenticated his pretensions by supernatural actions. It was perhaps necessary that we should have means of proving also that the Apostles, who were the witnesses of his resurrection and ascension, attracted the respect and commanded the belief of mankind by the power with which they were endued from on high. But no reason has ever been

affigned,

Τ Chrysostom says, "Μη τοινυν το με γινεσθαι νυν σημεια "τεχμηφιον σοιου του μη γεγενησθαι συτε και γαρ τοτε χρησι- "μως εγενετε, και νυν χρησιμως ου γινεται." And again, "νυν απο των θειων γραφων και των τοτε σημειων την σιςιν ών λε- "γομεν παρεχομεθα." Hom. 6. in 1 Cor. 2. p. 276. tom. iii. Eton.

So likewise St. Augustin says, "Accepimus majores "nostros eo gradu sidei, quo a temporalibus ad æterna "conscenditur, visibilia miracula (nec enim aliter po- terant) secutos esse: per quos id actum est ut necessaria "non essent posteris." De vera Religione, c. 25. Paris 1679.

affigned, why we should be enabled to prove that miracles were performed by Christians in any succeeding age, which might not encourage us to hope for similar interpositions in our own.

Not that it is my intention to question any authentic facts of this nature which are left us upon record; much less to give up, as fictions of pious fraud, all the relations of subsequent miracles. It is my intention merely to affert that these miracles are not at present "essential to the vindication of Christianity: and if we have not sufficient arguments to establish the credit of those among them which are genuine; it ought to be referred to the expiration of the period for which they were defigned. They were defigned to make up for the want of general information concerning the nature and evidences of our Religion, which must have been in an eminent degree the irremediable misfortune of numberless individuals in its early ages: they were defigned also to comfort and support the Christians of those ages under their peculiar circumstances

^{2 &}quot;With any other miracles" (than those of Christ and his Apostles) "however numerous, however considently afferted or plausibly set forth, we have nothing to do. There may have been ten thousand impostures of this fort." Hurd's Lincoln's Inn Sermons, vol. ii. P. 79.

of diffress. Being to us therefore in both those respects unnecessary, they may well be supposed for that reason to have descended with a less decisive weight of evidence.

But, whatever were the affistances afforded to the early Pastors of the Church, they appear to have made an active use of their powers, whether natural or inspired. They converted great numbers *every where to the

^a Justin Martyr tells Trypho, " ουδε έν γαρ όλως εςι το " γενος ανθρωπων, ειτε βαρδαρων, ειτε Έλληνων, ειτε άπλως ώτι-" νιουν ονοματι προσαγορευομενών, η αμαξοδιών η ασικών καλου-" µSYWY, n EY THNYAIS HTNYOTPOOWY OINOUTTWY, EY OIS UN BIA TOU OYO-" ματος του ς αυρωθεντος Ιησου ευχαι και ευχαρις ιαι τω σατρι και " ωοιητη των όλων γινονται," p. 345. Edit. Par. 1615. An enumeration of the nations by which Christianity was received in Tertullian's time may be feen in his Treatife advers. Judæos, c. 7. which he concludes in this very remarkable manner: "Christi autem regnum et nomen "ubique porrigitur, ubique creditur, ab omnibus gen-"tibus fupernumeratis colitur, ubique regnat, ubique "adoratur, omnibus ubique tribuitur æqualiter: non "regis apud illum major gratia, non Barbari alicujus in-"ferior lætitia, non dignitatum aut natalium cujusquam "discreta merita, omnibus æqualis, omnibus rex, omnibus "judex, omnibus Deus et Dominus est." Eusebius says on the same subject that Christian Churches were erected " ουχ εν αδηλοις σου και αφανεσι τοποις, αλλ' εν ταις μαλιςα δια-"πρεπες αταις σολεσιν ίδρυν θεισαι επ' αυτης λεγω της 'Ρωμαιων " βασιλευουσης, επι τε της Αλεξανδριων, και Αντιοχεων, καθ " όλης τε της Αιγυπτου, και Λιθυης, Ευραπης τε και Ασιας, εν " τε κωμαίς τε και χωραίς, και σαντοιοίς εθνέσι." Evang. l. iii. p. 138.

See also the citation above made from p. 112. of the fame

Faith: and if the unaffected piety and zeal of fuch converts to Christianity in the three birst centuries, and the want of those qualities in its subsequent professors, be considered; it will perhaps not be thought a violation of charity to doubt whether there were not more Christian virtue in the world before the expiration of those centuries, than detractors from the number and integrity of the early professors of our Religion can prove to have existed at any future period.

But let not the suggestion of such a doubt be supposed to carry with it any infinuation that the early Christians were exempted from

fame work. Arnobius likewise says, "Vel hæc saltem "nobis faciant argumenta credendi quod jam per omnes "terras, in tam brevi tempore et parvo, immensi nominis "hujus sacramenta dissus sunt, quod nulla jam natio es "tam barbari moris quæ non ejus amore versa molliverit." Adv. Gentes, l. ii. p. 44.

With regard to Heathen authorities concerning the extensive propagation of Christianity in its early ages see the passages adduced by Dr. Powell from Suetonius, Dio, Julian, Tacitus, Pliny, &c. Sermon X, p. 154—162.

See moreover on this subject Stillingsleet's Orig. Britan. p. 54.

b See concerning the characters of the Christians in the first ages what Cave says in the fourth chapter of his Primitive Christianity;" and what he urges at large, in the remaining parts of this work, on the manner in which they discharged their Duty towards God, themselves, and their neighbours.

all

all the bad consequences of the depravity of human nature. Both the censures and the exhortations of the Apostolical Epistles prove the contrary, even with regard to the Apostles' own age. And the same appears to have been the case in an increasing and 'alarming degree, as we advance nearer to the reign of Constantine. However, it was by no means the case in any degree to be compared with the habitual profligacy, which has since prevailed among Christians and discovered itself by continued prevalences both of fraudulent superstition and of sceptical indifference.

Not were the early pastors less anxious to defend than to enlarge their care. On all sides grievous wolves entered in not sparing the flock. ^dPerverse brethren distracted the minds of the weak: and cruel adversaries from without assaulted not only the Faith, but also the lives, of those who called on the name of Christ. While however the common

enemy

c Eusebius says of the Christian Church about the year 300, " ως εκ της επι ωλεον ελευθεριας επι χαυνοτητα και νωθειαμ " τα καθ' ήμας μετηλλαττετο, αλλων αλλοις διαφθονουμενων και

[«] διαλοιδορουμενων και μονονουχι ήμων αυτων έαυτοις προσπολε-

[&]quot; μουντών οπλοις, ει ούτω τυχοι, και δορασι τοις δια λογων αρχον-

[&]quot; των τε αρχουσι ωροσρηγνυντων, και λαων επι λαους καταςασι" αζοντων." Eccl. Hift. l. viii. c. 1.

d See Euseb. Hist. Eccl. l. iv. c. 22.

See also in the same Hist. l. v. c. 20. an account of Irenæus' zeal in refuting heresies.

enemy was ready to destroy every professor of Christianity; it was not probable that there should be many pretended converts to this Religion: and among its sincere members less was to be feared from dissension of opinion. Though therefore the spiritual pastor was not exempt from much anxious labour in securing his slock from internal heresy; it must at this early period have been his principal care to guard it against the foreign enemy.

Accordingly, attempts were made at different times to obviate the flander, and to differ the malice, of the Heathen world by translations of the Scriptures, and by representations, in numerous 'apologies, of the true principles of Christianity. These exertions did indeed at particular times produce the desired effect: but the cessation of persecution, which they produced, was usually of very short continuance.

e Quadratus and Aristides wrote apologies for the Christian Religion and addressed them to Adrian. Euseb. Hist. Eccl. 1. iv. c. 3.

Various other apologies were written at different times on the same subject. Those of Athenagoras and Melito compared with the apologies of Tertullian and Justin Martyr have greatly the advantage. Jortin says the latter are the performances of very clowns compared with the former—Note on his Rem. on Eccl. Hist. vol. ii. p. 85.

It was 'inferred before the commencement of the fifth century from figurative and fanciful explanations of Scripture that ten general perfecutions were to befall the Church. Hence perfecutions have been magnified or extenuated, as might best serve to mark out with distinction the number which was to be completed. And hence also modern Sceptics have been led to question the reality of every such extraordinary persecution. But the conse-

f Sulpicius Severus, who died about the beginning of the fifth century, fays "Etenim facris vocibus decem plagis mundum afficiendum pronunciatum est." Sac. Hist. 1. ii. c. 48. p. 370. 8vo. Ed. 1665.

Augustine and Orosius, according to the opinions of their times, refer for Scriptural prefigurations on the subject to the ten plagues of Egypt, and the ten oppositions which Moses encountered from the Egyptians. gustine enumerates the dates of ten persecutions of the Christians before the time of Constantine and says: "pla-"gas enim Ægyptiorum, quoniam decem fuerunt ante-" quam inde exire inciperet populus Dei, putant ad hunc " intellectum esse referendas, ut novissima Antichristi per-" secutio similis videatur undecimæ plagæ qua Ægyptii, "dum hostiliter sequerentur Hebræos in mari rubro,-" perierunt." De Civitat. Dei, l. xviii. c. 52. Orosius recounts the ten perfecutions after Augustine and says explicitly, "Decem ibi contradictiones adversus Moysen, hic "decem edicia adversus Christum," 1. vii. c. 27. p. 533. Ed. Havercampi.

Besides the part of Scripture, thus referred to by Augustine and Orosius, others refer, but without better soundation, to Rev. xvii. 12—14. See on this subject Mosheim, vol. i. p. 72.

quences,

quences, which have enfued from injudicious inferences drawn by the profesfors of our Religion in its degenerate days, cannot justify us in rejecting the true history of its best and most g disinterested members; or in giving up the argument, which arises in its favour from the extensive sufferings of its early professors.

That there were general and grieveus persecutions of the early Christians the best-informed writers declare. These persecutions also continued in a greater or less degree from their first commencement to the civil establishment of Christianity. Intolerance, once raised among Heathen nations to the extravagance of inflicting the most cruel death on the

5 S. Severus lays, p. 368. "Certatim in gloriofa certa-" mina ruebatur, multoque avidius tum martyria gloriofis "mortibus quærebantur, quam nunc Episcopatus pravis " ambitionibus appetuntur."

h "The wonderful behaviour of the ancient Christians may juftly be accounted a proof of the truth of our Reli-" gion; and we should deserve to be blamed and despised, sif we parted with it and gave it up tamely on account " of a few objections." Jortin's Remarks on Eccl. Hist. vol. ii. p. 149.

1 Tacitus, Suetonius, Pliny, aud others, whose names may be feen in the Prolegomena to Cave's Hift. Liter. p. 38. See also on this subject at large Euseb. Hist. Eccl. 1. viii. c. 1-14. and Cave's Primitive Christianity, vol. i. p. 195. 3d Ed.

1 The perfecutions of Christians commenced under

Nero-S. Severus, p. 350.

peaceable

peaceable professors of a Religion, the most pure, and the most determined in requiring its sollowers to abstain from the rites of idolatrous worshippers and in endeavouring by every means to convert them to the service of the living God, could not be expected to subside for any considerable time, while the civil power continued in opposition to the truth and the same difference of religious opinion was zealously maintained.

It might indeed at times be restrained by the interposition of humanity or the justice of public authority. But superstition, being always ready to crush its enemies by force, in proportion as it is unable to defend itself by reason, would eagerly embrace every opportunity of returning to persecution. Accordingly, we find that it was not sufficient for the Roman Emperours on particular occasions to repeal the laws enacted against Christians; they were compelled 'farther to decree severe penalties against their accusers.—So forward was the zeal of Polytheism to bring to punishment the deserters or the despisers of its public institutions!

But it was only for short intervals that the accusation of an innocent Christian was deem-

ed

¹ This was done by Adrian and Antoninus Pius. Euf. Hist. Eccl. 1. iv. c. 9. 13. See also S. Severus, p. 365.

ed criminal. During the reign of some of the most celebrated Emperours it was regarded as highly meritorious. And though, from the extraordinary blaze of particular persecutions, some countenance may be given to a numerical partition of them; yet it may safely be afferted that Christianity was in a mental state of persecution from the days of Nero to those of Constantine, and that this cruelty was rather remitted at particular times, than the toleration of our Religion disturbed by any number of temporary persecutions.

Nor shall we have any doubt that it may be safely thus afferted, when we consider the influence of large bodies of men, and that the Roman "Senate, as a body, was never favourable to Christianity.

The suspension of these persecutions, upon representations of the real principles of Christianity, proves that their violence was often owing to the malicious and ignorant slanders of its enemies; and, towards the conclusion, of none perhaps more than of Celsus.

But, however much we may deplore the distresses in which the early Christians were

involved

m See Stillingfleet's Orig. Britan. p. 56.

n "Marcus Aurelius and other Emperours not void of good qualities oppressed the Christians to gain the esteem of the Senate; who, as a body, were never fa"vourable to Christianity." Jortin's Rem. vol. ii. p. 172.

involved from the prevailing ignorance of their Religion; there were other evils derived from the fame fource, which ought not in the prefent times to be passed over less noticed or less lamented.

It has been infultingly asked by modern ounbelievers, whence it happened that so many of the wisest and most virtuous Heathens of those early ages remained unconverted to Christianity? These Heathens paid no serious attention to the evidences of our Religion. The Christian sect, as we are pinformed by an inhabitant of Rome, was every where spoken against; and from thence its pretensions were not fairly examined. "The religious tenets of the Galileans or Christians," even Mr. Gibbon declares, "were never made a subject of punishment nor of inquiry."

Moreover, he himself 'allows the Christians to be "the friends of mankind;" though Tacitus 'informs us that the Romans considered them in a diametrically opposite light; "that "the Romans condemned them not so much "for the crime of setting fire to the city, as "for their hatred of mankind." Tacitus therefore, according to Mr. Gibbon, at once supplies us with a proof of the ignorance of the

```
º See Gibbon's Hist. vol. i. p. 516.
```

P Acts xxxviii. 32.

⁹ History, vol. i. p. 537.

¹ Ibid. p. 537.

s Annal. l. xv. c. 44.

Romans with regard to the Christians, and with a presumptive proof also of such a prejudice in this people against them from their supposed hatred of mankind, as must effectually indispose all, who were influenced by it, for any proper inquiry into their Religion.

In reality the Jews and Christians were either confounded together at this period, or at most thought sects of the same Religion: and the charge of ignorance and superstition, with which the Jews had ever been branded, was indiscriminately applied to both. It was

t This appears from what Suetonius fays of the expulfion of the Jews (or Christians) from Rome: "Judæos, "impulsore Chresto, affidue tumultuantes Roma expulit." In Vita Claudii, c. 25.

The same appears to have been the case also with regard to the Jews and Egyptians. Mr. Hume afferts (Note on his Essays, vol. ii. p. 461.) "that ancient writers of the " greatest genius (Tacitus and Suetonius) were not able to " observe any difference between the Egyptian and the "Jewish Religion." If so, how miserably ignorant must they have been on the subject! And what opinion must Tacitus have had of the Christians, whom he probably did not separate from the Jews: when he joins the latter with the Egyptians and fays-" Actum et de facris Ægyptiis "Judaicifque pellendis; factumque patrum Confultum ut " quatuor millia libertini generis ea superstitione infecta, "queis idonea ætas, in infulam Sardiniam veherentur " coercendis illic latrociniis, et si ob gravitatem cœli inter-"iissent, vile damnum: Cæteri cederent Italia nisi cer-"tam ante diem profanos ritus exuissent?" Ann. l. ii. c. 85.

therefore

therefore thought needless by Heathen pride to examine into the evidences of a Religion, which it was every where taken for granted was false. And the same contemptuous "indifference with regard to the disputes of Jews and Christians about words, and names, and their law; which Gallio, 'Seneca's brother, openly professed when he was Deputy of Achaia, was the common method of treating Christianity among the philosophers of those days.

We have a remarkable proof of this in the younger Pliny's well-known Epistle to Trajan. No mention occurs throughout the whole of any inquiry which had been made into the foundation of the Christian Religion. Nothing farther had at all been inquired into, than the behaviour of its professors. Of this Pliny was called upon to take cognizance, as a civil magistrate. Even to have examined into the general pretensions of their Religion might have appeared to admit the possibility, at least, of its truth; a concession, humiliating to the pride of a philosopher, and dangerous to the interests of a dependant on a Heathen court. Without any such examination therefore he

F 2

pro-

u Acts xviii. 15. v Tacit. Annal. l. xv. c. 73.

[▼] See Bp. Warburton's Julian, p. 22.

professes at once "* he had not the least doubt, "whatever were their confession, that their subbornness and inflexible obstinacy ought certainly to be punished."

Indeed the very names, by which Heathen writers distinguish Christianity, afford abundant proof of their ignorance of it. Who, that knows any thing of the real nature of our Religion, can think when 'Pliny calls it "Su-" perstitio prava et immodica," Tacitus "ex-" itiabilis Superstitio," and Suetonius "Su-" perstitio nova et malesica;" that they had at all inquired into the Religion, which they thus malevolently and erroneously beharacterize? And what reasonable man can join with the unbelievers, above alluded to, in expecting that the miraculous appearances of nature recorded in the Scriptures of our Religion should, if true, be mentioned by such writers; any

more

^{* &}quot;Neque dubitabam, qualecunque esset quod faterentur, pervicaciam certe et inslexibilem obstinationem debere puniri."

^a In Nerone, c. 16.

b See concerning the different calumnies, which were thus propagated with regard to Christianity and its professors, the passages cited at large from the Apologists and various other early writers in the four first chapters of Cave's "Primitive Christianity."

[·] See Gibbon's Hist. v. i. p. 518.

more than that they should themselves become its converts?

Especially too, as we know that these are not the only remarkable circumstances of Eastern history which European writers have passed over in silence. We know that they say nothing even of the existence of cities of Syria, the most magnificent that imagination can conceive. But indeed what comparison can there ever be between the silence of

d The darkness at our Saviour's crucifixion appears to have been a circumstance confined to Eastern history. For the yn (Matt. xxvii. 45.) over which it was spread. does not necessarily include more than the land of Judæa. And there also it was by no means total; fince, at the time when the miracle was wrought, it did not hinder the persons around the cross from seeing our Saviour and each other distinctly. Bp. Warburton in his Julian obferves (p. 69, 70.) on a Jewish writer, who says that at the time of the defeat of Julian's attempt to rebuild the temple of Jerusalem "there was an earthquake over all "the Earth:" "The Historian's calling it an earthquake " over all the earth is in the language of the Jews, and "the same with that of the Evangelist, who tells us that "at the crucifixion there was darkness over all the " earth."

• Volney fays, "that Balbec is mentioned only by one "writer, John of Antioch, who attributes the building of "it to Antoninus Pius." Travels Engl. Edit. vol. ii. p. 245.

—And that the world had very confused ideas of the power and grandeur which Palmyra had possessed. "They "were scarcely even suspected in Europe till towards the end of the last century." Vol. ii. p. 277.

F 3 remote

remote and inattentive philosophers and the positive testimony of eye-witnesses?

If any actual inquiry into these and the other miracles of Christianity be supposed to have been made by the writers in question: their filence will in that case become a powerful argument in its favour. Surely, if they inquired into the miraculous proofs of our Religion; they either found those proofs fictitious or not. If fictitious; many reasons may be affigned why they should expose the fiction to the world, whether they were good But if on the contrary they did or bad men. not find them fictitious; if good men, they must themselves profess the Christian Faith, and thenceforth becoming its advocates expose their testimony to the imputation of partiality: or, if bad men, they would, to act most confiftently with worldly policy, pass the whole over in filence. For, to suppose that a good man should admit the truth of a miracle and not believe the doctrine which it confirms, or that a bad man should bear witness to a circumstance which condemns himself, is equally unreasonable. If therefore the filence of the unconverted philosopher operate at all with regard to our Religion; it must operate powerfully in its favour.

That before the civil establishment of Christianity

tianity many Heathen philosophers were distinguished by such a conversion to its belief, as might be expected from the inquiries of those good men among them who did not find its evidences sictitious, is sindisputable. Their inquiries also were often excited in a manner the most creditable to our Religion. In the schools of their celebrated Leaders these philosophers had been instructed concerning the excellency of virtue, and had heard of its superiority to all worldly affliction and even to death itself. But the schools, which they frequented, could supply them with the stheory only of this heroism.

When therefore they saw their admired speculations realized in Christian Martyrs; when they saw illiterate crowds press forward with eagerness to meet sufferings, which it would have been the most ardent wish of other men to avoid; when they saw also that stedsastness in suffering, which they had before thought to be more than human, display itself in women

F 4

Digitized by Google

f See Arnobius adv. Gentes, l. ii. p. 44; and Grotius de Verit. l. ii. c. 4.

Plato is supposed to have obscured his doctrines that he might not fall under the sentence of Socrates. And Aristotle is known to have fled from Athens for the same reason. See Origen against Celsus, l. i. c. 65.—Paris 1733.

h See Dodwell De fortitudine Martyrum, in his 12th differtation on St. Cyprian.

and children; they were divested of the common 'prejudices against the sufferers and 'led to inquire into the foundation of this unusual fortitude. And their inquiries, thus ultimately directed to the miraculous evidences of our Religion, often ended in a profession of the same Faith and a display of the same fortitude.—Such was the conversion of Tertullian; and such the conversion and glorious 'death also of Justin Martyr.

From some indeed of these converts arose evils of the most serious consequence to Christianity. The prejudices, incident to human nature, suffered not all the new and philosophical believers in our Religion to sacrifice the learning of their schools to its solemn, but simple, truths. Hence numerous sects of both

Gnostic

i Justin Martyr says, " Αυτος εγω τοις Πλατωνος χαιρων " διδαγμασι διαδαλλομενους ακουων Χριςιανους, όρων δε και αφο" βους προς θανατον και σαντα τα νομιζομενα φοδερα, ενενοουν
" αδυνατον ειναι εν κακια και φιληδονια ύπαρχειν αυτους τις γαρ
" φιληδονος η ακρατης και ανθρωπινων σαρκων βοραν ηγουμενος
" αγαθον, δυναιτ' αν θανατον ασπαζεσθαι όπως των αυτου αγα" θων ςερηθη, κ. τ. λ." Justin's 1st Apol. p. 50. edit. Par.
1615. See also Lactantius de Justit. l. v. c. 13.

k Tertullian fays of the fufferings of Christians, "Quis "enim non contemplatione ejus concutitur ad requiren"dum quid intus in re sit? Quis non, ubi requisivit,
"accedit? Ubi accessit, pati exoptet?" Apol. ad sinem.

¹ See an account of Justin Martyr's death in Euseb. Hist. Eccl. l. iv. c. 16.

m Gnostic and Platonic Christians, or of Christians who mingled human knowledge of different kinds with divine Revelation in their religious tenets: hence Revelation was wrested to support fanciful conjectures, "heresies were introduced, the peace of the infant Church disturbed, and a foundation laid for those numberless dissentions, which added (as was before remarked) to the anxiety of Christian Pastors during the time of persecution, and prevented them in suture ages from enjoying the happy effects of toleration and establishment.

That however a very confiderable proportion of the converts to Christianity during the three first centuries confisted of men of illiterate education can be no discredit to that Religion, of which it was a distinguishing mark, that "the poor had the Gospel preach-"ed unto them."

m Mr. Bingham fays that the term "Gnostic" was originally applied in a good sense to Christians, as to those who were possessed of the best knowledge; but that it was afterwards aped and abused by others. Antiquities, b. 1. c. 1. sect. 3.

n Jortin observes that most of the ancient heresies were a mixture of philosophy, Greek or Oriental, and Christianity—Remarks, vol. ii. p. 266. And again, "the phi"losophers who passed from Judaism and Paganism to
"Christianity corrupted the simplicity of the Gospel,
"turned it into a contentious Religion, and silled it with
"unedifying speculations." Vol. ii. p. 273.

The

The Apostles and their successors, in general, were men of this fort. They were, in general, remarkable neither for their learning nor for their eloquence. They express the most pious sentiments in the most simple language and the most important truths without ornament. But the piety of their sentiments and the truth of their doctrines were fufficient under Providence to make their Religion prevail: and, in spite of all opposition, it continued in fuccessive ages to prevail with the mass of mankind by the conviction of 'honest and humble attention; till those great men, -who were not to be allured by its own excellence, found it expedient from worldly motives to come over to its fide; being influenced in this most important of all concerns by the conduct of an illiterate multitude and not by the discoveries of their own superior wildom.

This is the great argument, which is inferred in favour of our Religion from its peculiar mode of propagation. The argument is not inferred from any number of wise or powerful men, who embraced Christianity in this or that place at any given time; but from the triumph which it obtained over the wisdom

and

o See the Introduction to Bp. Warburton's Julian, p. 26.

P See the fame, p. 25.

and power of the world by a process, contrary to what was ever observed in the success of any other institution: "the foolish things of "the world were chosen to consound the "wise, and the weak to consound the things "which are mighty."

But in reality the truth of Christianity, and the satisfaction resulting from a conviction of that truth, are very little concerned in the inquiries which at present form a considerable part of ecclefiaftical history during the first ages of our Religion; in inquiries concerning the miracles ascribed to the successors of the Apostles, concerning the extent of the early propagation of our Religion, the extent of the perfecution of its profesfors, and the reasons from the beginning why it was not generally received by men of learning, and why universal mention was not made by them of its miraculous proofs. These are subjects, on which the enemies of our Faith have been able to avail themselves of the errors, the omissions, and other imperfections, of its friends as well as foes. With them therefore these are favourite topics of declamation: and from thence they necessarily make a part of those subsequent observations on the history of our Religion which are intended to vindicate its truth.

9 I Cor. i. 27.

It is fufficient with regard to the original propagation of Christianity; at least indeed it is sufficient for our satisfaction, as far as the foundation of our Faith is concerned in this important part of its history; if we are informed—that the publication of our Religion was entrusted, after the ascension of its great Author, to poor and illiterate men who had been his Disciples and witnesses from the beginning:-that these men were enabled by supernatural means to confirm the truth of their doctrines and to preach the Gospel to all nations:—that their industry was proportioned to the importance of their commission and to their means of fuccess:-that numbers were converted by their preaching:-that, by the miracles performed before the expiration of the apostolical age, by the writings of the New in addition to those of the Old Testament, and by institutions appointed for the public profession of our Religion, provision was made for its regular and permanent maintenance among its converts; and for the conversion of men of every age to its belief, without the aid and in opposition to all the efforts of human power and human wifdom:-and that all those, who were thus employed at first to publish and confirm the doctrines of Christianity, having before given up every prospect in this world for its sake, at length, when

when brought to the 'trial, chearfully laid down their lives in attestation of its truth; leaving to latest posterity the most unequivocal assurance of the reality of the miraculous events, recorded by them in the New Testament, which had been the objects of their senses and the original foundation of their faith.

Few as these circumstances are, they comprehend in reality all the information concerning the first propagation of our Religion, which reasonable men can think necessary for the confirmation of its truth.

As to all other miracles besides those which are recorded in Holy Writ, they are not to be reckoned, as hath been fully declared, among the present proofs of our Religion. And though strong arguments may be drawn in its favour from the extent of its early propagation, and from the extent of the persecution which it overcame; even these are not to be considered as absolutely necessary to our cause.

The various errors, whether with regard to fictitious miracles or ill-founded representations of other forts, which were intermingled with the history of our Religion by its advocates,

and

r Every one of the Apostles was actually brought to the trial, except St. John. See the passage above cited from Stillingsleet's Orig. Sac. p. 275.

and the diversified opposition and contempt, which it encountered from its enemies, prove only, what we every day experience, that Christianity may be defended with little judgment, and that the most gracious offers may be rejected and insulted.

Such however, as they are above sketched, are the occurrences, whether they are all effential to the confirmation of our faith or not, which form the principal outlines of the Christian history from its publication after the resurrection of Christ to its establishment by Constantine. During a period of nearly 300 years, our Religion, having been openly professed under the institutions originally appointed for its maintenance, was exposed to all those calamities, which arise from the malice of powerful enemies and from imperfections of various kinds in misguided friends. But, under every disadvantage, its intrinsic

purity

See Jos. Mede's discourse concerning Churches: Works, Book 2d, Edit. 1672:—and Cave's "Primitive "Christianity."

[&]quot; Ecclefiastical history will shew us the amazing pro" gress of Christianity through the Roman empire,
" through the East and through the West, during the
" three first centuries: though the powers of this world
" strenuously opposed it; though poverty and infamy,
" distress and oppression, the loss of friends, property, li" berty, and life, were often the lot of its professors."

Jortin's 2d Charge, Sermons, vol. vii. p. 382.

purity and external evidences, aided by the influence of the Holy Spirit, made it go on and gain strength; till Constantine, encouraged and perhaps persuaded by the "number of his Christian subjects, proclaimed himself a convert and guardian of Christianity.

From his time, notwithstanding the apostasy of one succeeding Emperour and the profligacy of many more, we may affirm that Kings became its nursing fathers and Queens its nursing mothers. Having raised itself to the favourable attention of Princes by the possession, which it had before taken of their subjects' hearts, it has thenceforward received protection from them; and has itself in return protected both their persons and authority.

^u Cave proves that in the reign of Dioclesian there were above forty Basilicæ or Churches in Rome only. Cave's "Primitive Christianity," vol. i. p. 133.

And Dr. Powell fays, in opposition to many of our modern writers, that, "when Constantine ascended the "throne, the Christian party was equal, perhaps superior, "to their adversaries through the whole Empire." 10th Sermon, p. 165.

* If. xlix. 23.

SERMON

SERMON III.

1 Per. iii. 15.

Be ready always to give an answer to every man, that asketh you a reason of the Hope that is in you.

THE present discourse is to carry our obfervations on the history of our Religion from the establishment of it by Constantine to the commencement of the Reformation.

The establishment of our holy Religion by Constantine without doubt filled the minds of its sincere professors with the purest joy. Whoever considered the excellence of the Religion itself, and the protection which it was now to receive from the civil power, might well be led with pious fervour to imagine that the blessings, with which it was ushored in, were thenceforth to receive their accomplishment; that thenceforth 'glory would be given

Luke ii. 14.

G

to God in the highest, and that on earth there would be peace and good will towards men.

Indeed to this period bis referred the glorious triumph of the Church, celebrated in the feventh Chapter of the Revelation of St. John.

But the depravity of the human heart from the beginning opposed itself to its remedy, and infected not only the unlearned part of Christians, but the teachers of Christianity themselves; thus making way for general and permanent corruptions. Among the Apostles we have a Judas Iscariot. In the ages immediately subsequent, and among the rulers also of the Church, arose men of perverse minds and totally unworthy of their facred station. But the number of unworthy rulers, no lefs than the general number of infincere and unworthy professors of our Religion, was restrained in the early ages by persecution, and is small, when compared with the swarms of both, which under the future and peaceable flate of the Church were more zealous to partake of its 'emoluments than to promote in any respect its spiritual interests.

As foon as Bishops were delected by intrigues

b See Bp. Newton on the Prophecies, vol. iii. p. 74-77.

e S. Severus, p. 368. Stillingsleet's Orig. Britan. p. 178.

d This centure ought not to be equally applied to all the Bishops of this period. When Ammianus Marcellinus

and embarked in the fupport of factions, the qualifications for their high rank, and a proper demeanour in it, were no longer distinguishing parts of their character. Different Princes contended for temporal power; and different Bishops grasped as contentiously at each other's ecclesiastical jurisdiction. One pernicious consequence of these contests is to be lamented in the want of purity, which during the contention and in succeeding times prevailed throughout the Christian world.

The profession of Christianity was indeed extended. The conversion of many nations

linus fpeaks of the manner of obtaining Bishopricks, and of the luxury of Bishops; he says there was a very great difference between the Bishops of cities and those of provinces. "Neque ego abnuo ostentationem rerum con-" fiderans urbanarum, hujus rei cupidos ob impetrandum "quod appetunt omni contentione laterum jurgari de-" bere: quum id adepti futuri funt ita securi ut ditentur " oblationibus matronarum, procedantque vehiculis infi-"dentes, circumspecte vestiti, epulas curantes profusas, " adeo ut eorum convivia regales superant mensas. Qui " esse poterant beati revera, si, magnitudine urbis despecta "quam vitiis opponunt, ad imitationem antistitum quo-"rundam provincialium viverent; quos tenuitas edendi " potandique parcissime, vilitas etiam indumentorum et " supercilia humum spectantia perpetuo numini verisque " ejus cultoribus ut puros commendant et verecundos." C. xxvii. p. 458. edit. 1558.

e Eusebius mentions the contests, which prevailed in the Church, "αρχουτων αρχουσι" even before the reign of Constantine, Hist. Eccl. 1. viii. c. 1.

G 2

was

was begun long after the Christian establish-. ment by Constantine. Among many nations also, which had before partially professed the Christian Faith, much was done to render the profession of it universal. But, the fountains from which Christianity flowed being corrupt, we cannot wonder if the doctrines, which were propagated under its authority, should thenceforth be impure. Besides a deficiency in enforcing moral duties, even religious doctrines were perverted and made matter of party-violence. Herefies of the most pernicious tendency were propagated with cruel persecutions of the contrary Faith; whilst on the other hand glittle differences of opinion were maliciously construed into damnable herefies.

This spirit of contention in the rulers of the Church found no small encouragement and support in the learning of the times. It hath been before remarked that many philo-

See on this subject Sozomen, Hist. Eccl. l. vi. c. 18. and Socrates, l. iv. c. 16.

fophers

f Arianism was enforced in this manner under Confiantius, Valens, &c. And, as Bp. Sherlock observes, the great Arian controversy yielded as severe trials to Christians as they had ever before experienced. Sermons, vol. iii. p. 358.

g See the objections urged by Michael Cerularius against the Latin Church, Mosh. vol. ii. p. 556.

h See the preceding fermon.

fophers were found among the early converts to Christianity. More succeeded them in subsequent ages. In the doctrine of the Trinity, and in the doctrines of Revelation which relate to the fall of man and the operation of evil spirits, these philosophers found a 'resemblance to the tenets of their respective schools. When therefore they embraced Christianity, they did not think it necessary to relinquish the 'language of their former tenets; if indeed they relinquished 'all the tenets themselves.

From a studiousness to reconcile such lan-

i Philo, an Hellenistic Jew of Alexandria, who lived at the same time with the Apostles and who is said by some to have been an apostate Christian, prepared the way, by his ingenious but fanciful combinations of the Jewish Scriptures with the Platonic philosophy, for similar corruptions of Christianity. Photius says, that he was the writer "εξ οὐ και was ὁ αλληγορικος της γραφης εν εκκλησια "λογος εσχεν αρχην εισρυηναι."

See the part of Photius prefixed to the Paris Edit. of Philo.

See also what Bishop Bull says of Philo: English Works, vol. iii. p. 1126. 8vo.

k Bishop Horsley's Tracts, 8vo. 1789. p. 68.

1 See what Bishop Warburton says on this subject in the introduction to his "Julian," where he deduces the corruptions of our Religion in the dark ages "from the "adulterate ornaments which the successors of the Apo-"stolic fathers brought from the brothels of philosophy to adorn the sanctity of Religion," p. 24—34.

G 3

guage

guage with their new Religion much curious and typical reasoning was introduced. This reasoning at first served to shelter the prejudices of individuals. It was afterwards regarded as a creditable display of "literary attainments. And, as it had been adopted by men of known "attachment to Christianity, it was generally thought to detract nothing

m Clemens Alexandrinus composed his "Stromata" from the Scriptures and Heathen writers. His own words with regard to his work are very remarkable: " σεριεξουσι δε οι Στρωματεις αναμεμιγμένην την αληθείαν τοις " φιλοσοφιας δογμασι" μαλλον εγκεκαλυμμένην και επικεκρυμμέτ" νην, καθαπέρ τω λέπυρω το εδωλιμόν του καρύου," l. i. c. i.

Tertullian fays, "Ipsæ denique hæreses a philosophia "fubordinantur:" and again at the conclusion of the same chapter, "viderint qui Stoicum et Platonicum, et "Dialecticum Christianismum protulerint." Adv. Hær. c. 7.

In what manner the philosophers of the early ages studied Christianity may be inferred also from what Cave says of them at the Nicene Council, "ad hunc conventum venisse quosdam philosophos sive dialecticos de rebus theologicis subtiliter disputaturos." Hist. Liter. vol. i. p. 351.

n Such as Clemens Alexandrinus. Cyprian himself was so fond of mystical interpretation, that Cave calls it the argument which that good man produces as his warrant to knock down a controversy, when other arm guments were too weak to do it." Prim. Christ. vol. i. p. 350.

And even Ammonius, the master of Origen, is said to have lived and died a Christian. Stillingsleet's Orig. Sac. p. 501.

from

from the Christian character. This made way for more extensive "accommodations of our Religion to human systems, and for such explanations of its particular doctrines, as before its civil establishment had blended almost every tenet of it with the reveries of philosophical speculatists.

After its civil establishment these subjects of

o The Gnostic sect, which began in the days of the Apostles, was extended in the second century by Basilides. He (as Mosheim says, vol. i. p. 223.) has generally obtained the first place among the Egyptian Gnostics: and is called by Cave "Gnosticorum antesignanus." (Hist. Lit. vol. i. p. 49.) He was followed by Valentine, Theodotus the tanner, and many others; among whom Manes "was so adventurous" (to use the words of Mosheim) "as to attempt a coalition of the doctrine of the Magi with the Christian system or the explication of the one by the other." Vol. i. p. 296.

Nor did Origen, who was the leader of the platonizing Christians, derive his speculations from a master, who had been less adventurous in the corruption of Christianity than Manes himself. See Mosheim, vol. i. p. 169—174. Under Origen the platonizing Christians soon eclipsed their Gnostic brethren.

"A prodigious number of interpreters both in the third century and in the following times pursued the method of Origen; nor could the few, who explain-, ed the Scriptures with judgment and a true spirit of criticisin, oppose the torrent of Allegory which was voerflowing the Church"—" Origen illustrated the greatest part of the doctrines of Christianity, or to speak more properly disguised them under the lines of a vain philosophy." Mosheim, vol. i. p. 278, 279.

G 4

abuſe

abuse went on to pincrease. Philosophical Divinity was enlarged: and the study of philosophy, which was necessary before this Divinity could be understood, was for that reason thought essential to Christianity. And though the Platonic system, which it had been the successful aim of ages to incorporate with Christianity and which had obscured the philosophy adopted by the Gnostics, was dis-

P Mosheim says of the fourth century: "Origen was "the great model, whom the most eminent of the Christian doctors followed in their explications of the truths "of the Gospel, which were of consequence explained according to the rules of the Platonic philosophy, as it "was corrected and modelled by that learned father." Vol. i. p. 369.

Under this censure he includes Jerome, Hilary, Eusebius, Ephraim the Syrian, Chrysostom, Athanasius, and Didymus.

And so prevalent in these ages was the custom of difguising or secreting the doctrines of Christianity that Cave tells us, Chrysostom, in speaking of the mysterious parts of our Religion, uses the words " 150211 of \$\mu \text{pepun}\tau'' \mu \text{pepun}\tau'' at least fifty times in his writings. Prim. Christ, vol. i. p. 213. See also on this subject Bingham's Antiquities, b. x. c, 5. sect. 8.

In the following ages the most learned commentators did in general nothing more than transcribe the Divinity of their predecessors. "The greater part of them rea"foned and disputed concerning the truths of the Gospel,
"as the blind would argue about light and colours," &c. Mosh. vol. ii. p. 128. Accounts of them may be feen in different parts of Mosheim's second and third Volumes. See also Jortin's Remarks, vol. v. p. 152.

credited

credited by the condemnation of some of its principal advocates and was at length abolished by Justinian; yet it only made way for the Aristotelic, a philosophy better calculated to promote and regulate theological disputations.

The effects of the intermixture of philofophy with Christianity were not easily to be
done away. Men had not merely availed
themselves of the affistance of human science
in the explication of Christianity; they had
confounded both together, and had produced
a jargon of philosophical Divinity which
tended irresistibly to destroy all distinct ideas
of each, considered as a separate and independent study. Their minds had been called
off from a simple consideration of the doctrines
of Revelation: and, however their system
might be diverted from Plato to Aristotle,
and from Aristotle to St. Augustine, to Peter
Lombard, or to any other father or doctor of

the

As late as the middle of the 15th century there was a contest whether the doctrines of Plato or Aristotle should be preferred: and Jortin says, "I much fear it would be doing no wrong to the literati of those days to suppose they had no other esoteric Religion, than what they drew from Plato or Aristotle." Rem. vol. v. p. 490.

Mosh. Eccl. Hist. vol. ii. p. 424.

⁸ Dean Tucker in his letters to Dr. Kippis fays, "it is "an undoubted fact that Peter Lombard's fumma fenten-"tiarum was in fuch high vogue for feveral ages, as to "eclipfe the Scriptures themselves." P. 81.

the Church; their attention was with difficulty afterwards to be attached to the holy Scriptures, and to the pure Religion which they teach.

This certainly was an effential cause of those faults, which may be discovered in the venerable writings of the uninspired professors of our Religion in its early ages, and of the various herefies and general corruption of Christianity from the days of Ammonius and his scholar Origen down to that scholastic Theology, whose jargon did so much mischief in the Church during the latter part of the dark ages. The professors of Christianity forsook

t In the prolegomena to Cave's Hist. Lit. p. 2. we are informed "Sacrarum Scripturarum studium contemptui" habitum, neglectum, et in lingua vulgari prohibitum. "Biblia sacra raro visa, rarius tractata, adeo ut semel ite-"rumque testatur Erasmus se producere posse qui annum "egressi octogesimum tantum ætatis in hujusmodi tricis" (scilicet Theologia scholassica) perdiderint, nec unquam "contextum evangelicum evolverint: idque se propria" experientia quin et ipsis etiam fatentibus comperisse."

Stafford of Pembroke Hall in Cambridge is faid in 1524. to have been the first, who publicly read lectures out of the Scriptures, whereas before they read only the sentences. Strype's Memorials, vol. i. p. 48. Consistently with this Erasmus says, "Ante annos ferme triginta nihil "tradebatur in schola Cantabrigiensi præter Alexandrum, "parva logicalia (ut vocant) et vetera illa Aristotelis dictata, Scoticasque quæstiones."—Jortin's Life of Erasmus, p. 49, 50.

What books were at length used in common life instead the living fountains, and hewed out for themfelves cisterns which could hold no water. Had they consulted the Scriptures instead of the expositions of philosophers and the "perplexed reasonings of prejudiced men; it would have been impossible that the doctrines, which engaged the study of their lives, should have formed any part of their creed. But the men of authority in the Church (as I have above remarked) were contending for worldly preeminence, and in a manner which was not to be desended upon Gospel-principles.

It ought not therefore to be matter of wonder that they should rather *avail themselves

stead of the Scriptures may be inferred from hence. "En"glishmen" (we are told in No. 47. of the collection of
records, vol. ii. Collier's Eccl. Hist.) "have in their hands
"the holy Bible and the New Testament in the Mother
"Tongue instead of the old fabulous and phantastical
"books of the Table Round, Launcelot de Lake, Huou
"de Bourdeux, Besy of Hampton, Guy of Warwick, and
"other such; whose impure filth and vain fabulosity the
"light of God has abolished."

u Peter Abelard and his followers in the 12th century explained nothing, but obscured the clearest truths by distinctions and subdivisions. Jortin's Rem. vol. v. p. 262.

* Theophilus, Bishop of Alexandria, consecrated Synesius, a learned Platonic philosopher, Bishop of Ptolemais; though he declined the Bishoprick, declaring that he was a Platonist, and could not receive some of the doctrines of Christianity. Jortin's Rem. vol. iv. p. 243, 244.

of

of the learning of the times, than recall men of learning to the study of genuine Christianity: it ought not to be matter of wonder that they should not command philosophers to bring their discordant opinions to the test of infallible truth. On the contrary, it was certainly part of the artistice of the Romish Church to prohibit the use of the Scriptures in the vulgar tongue and the ordinary exposition of them; a satal artistice, in which, as well as in many others, it was equalled by the Greek Communion, which taught that the Patriarch of Constantinople and his brethren were alone authorised to explain the declarations of Scripture.

Human learning suffered little less in the end from this confusion of sacred and prosane knowledge, than the study of the Scriptures. Before the expiration of the sourth century it

was

y See in "Stillingfleet's council of Trent examined and "disproved," an account of the steps by which the use of the Scriptures in the vulgar tongue was denied to the people. Having been partially restrained by particular Popes it was publicly forbidden by the Lateran council under Innocent the Third, and at length finally and solemnly prohibited by the council of Trent, p. 51—58. And though Pius the Fourth afterwards permitted the use of the Scriptures in the vulgar tongue; yet this permission was soon recalled by Pope Clement the Eighth. See the preface to King James's Bible, p. 3.

z Mosheim's Eccl. Hist. vol. iv. p. 249.

was decreed in a public *council that Bishops were not to read the books of Heathen writers. In the fixth century the teaching of Philosophy at Athens was abolished by Justinian. And in process of time the purest Greek and Latin Classics were erased to furnish materials for transcribing legendary tales, made up of the most incongruous mixture of facred and profane speculations; till at length it was maintained that polite bliterature ought to be stigmatized and banished, as the fountain of all heresy.

To these internal causes of ignorance and corruption, which, though not universal, were at least general in their operation, if we add the accumulated weight of all those 'foreign

- a The 16th canon of the 4th council of Carthage, held in the year 398, decrees, "Epifcopus gentilium libros" non legat."
- b Erasmus says, "Lovanii quidam non semel publicitus "dixit apud populum linguas et politiores literas esse fon"tem omnium hæreseôn, et ob id earum prosessores fusti"bus ejiciendos ex academia;" and adds what is well worthy of our notice, "et tamen inter illos nullus erat qui "vel hisceret adversus Ecclesiæ decreta." Vol. ix. p. 531.
 Lugd. Bat. 1706.
- c Of the Goths, Vandals, and other barbarous conquerors Burnet declares, "These new conquerors being "rude, and ignorant, and wholly given to sensible things; and learning being universally extinguished; gross surpressions took place."—Hist. of Ref. vol. ii. p. 63.

And Jortin fays, that "an effect of the government of the

invasions, general conquests, and general importations of savage laws and savage manners; which rendered the times, of which I am speaking, the most unfortunate period of human existence; we shall not be surprised at sinding that the means of mental improvement were at length so extensively destroyed, as to leave several different monasteries or schools of learning in possession of no more than two or three books for their common use; and we shall easily be able to account for the worst evils of these times, and for the want of success which attended all the well-meant exertions that were made to disperse the impending cloud of ignorance and superstition.

These observations, joined to others on what may be considered as the effects of such a general state of the Christian world;—on the

The libraries of the religious houses in Syria are at prefent of nearly the same extent. Volney's Travels, vol. ii. p. 448. Engl. edit.

rife

[&]quot;the barbarians in the West was that the Bishops and the Clergy became hunters and fighters." Remarks, vol. v. p. 98.

c See the fecond differtation prefixed by Warton to his History of English Poetry. In it he says, that "one if single copy of the Bible, St. Jerome's Epistles, and "fome volumes of Ecclesiastical history and martyrology" often served several different monasteries."

d By Alfred, Charlemagne, William the Conqueror, &c.

rife and establishment of the pretensions of the Romish Church, of which we at length formed a dependent part, and which conspicuously preferved and transmitted the regular profession, whilst it corrupted in many instances the purity, of Christianity; -- on the Eastern Church, which was separated through these pretensions from Western Christendom and the influence of this separation on both communions; and on as much besides of the internal and external state of the Church, as is contained within a fhort view both of the doctrines of our Religion which it particularly defended or corrupted, and of the opposition which it encountered from foreign enemies; may perhaps give us an imperfect sketch of the religious history of this period: they certainly will contribute to free pure Christianity from censure, and enable us to give an answer to every man that asketh us a reason of the Hope that is in us; as far as this Hope is connected with the part of religious history under our present confideration.

That enormous aggregate of power, which was possessed by the Bishops of Rome in the times immediately preceding the Reformation, was the effect in a great degree of the causes above stated: but it was not the production of a single age, nor indeed the entire production of the ages which succeeded the time of

Constantine. It founded its presumptuous claims on the authority which St. Peter was supposed to possess and to transmit to his successors. Whatever be the meaning of the words, which our Saviour addressed to St. Peter when this Apostle confessed that he was the Son of God; as they proceeded from one who had declared that his Kingdom was not of this world, they could not mean to confer temporal power upon the Apostle, nor is it probable that they were designed to confer any spiritual superiority, much less any assurance of infallibility upon him.

Our bleffed Saviour, as if to caution his Disciples beforehand against the evils which would arise from disputes among the future rulers of his Church "who should be great-"est," expressly forbad such ambition among them; declaring that he who desired to be first should be last of all, and recommending to their imitation the unassuming simplicity of a little child. It is therefore exceedingly improbable, if not impossible, that he should set up one of his Disciples to be the spiritual head of all the others. He himself was to be the spiritual Master to whom they were to look: and the Holy Ghost was to compensate for

the

Matt. xvi. 18.

f Mark ix. 34, &c.

[&]amp; Matt. xxiii. 8.

the want of his immediate and personal appearance by extraordinary gifts in the first ages, and by the inspired writings which were an effect of those gifts and were to supply their place in future times.

Confistently with this, St. Peter appears to have had no precedence in the council of the Apostles at Jerusalem. St. Paul also declares of himself that " in nothing was he " behind the very chiefest Apostles:" and he withstood St. Peter to the face for a reason which destroys every idea of his infallibility, i" because he was to be blamed." Besides, St. Paul had the Gospel of the "uncircumcision committed to him in the same manner as that of the circumcision was to St. Peter: and both he and the other Apostles appear at all times to act independently of every other spiritual head than Christ.

But of whatever nature St. Peter's authority and preeminence might be, they ought in reality to confer nothing of either on the Bishops of Rome. 1" It is doubtful where

h 2 Cor. xii. 11.

Again when St. Paul mentions (Gal. ii. 8.) James, Cephas, and John, who feemed to be pillars, he makes no distinction in favour of Cephas (or Peter) nor does he mention him before the others.

i Gal. ii. 11. k Gal. ii. 7.

¹ Bp. Bull's Vindication of the Church of England, Svo. P. 139.

"ther St. Peter ever was at Rome; but that he fixed his chair there is a very idle and groundless imagination." Eusebius indeed fays that St. Peter came to Rome in the days of Claudius: but he feems to have received this from the authority of Papias, who was a credulous writer.

However in process of time, as the dignity no less than the opulence of cities attaches itself to the persons of those who fill important stations in them; and as the Church of Rome was the "only Western Church which aspired to the credit of Apostolical soundation; the Bishops of Rome began to be regarded with peculiar respect and a "titular kind of preeminence was tacitly allowed them.

Archbishop Cranmer also says it was not certain that St. Peter ever was at Rome. Burnet's Hist. of the Reformation, vol. i. p. 175.

Stillingfleet in his Orig. Britan. fpeaks nearly to the fame effect, concluding at length with an approbation of Lactantius's opinion that St. Peter certainly did not come to Rome till the reign of Nero nor long before his martyrdom, p. 45—48.

m Bp. Bull's vindication of the Church of England, p. 139. See concerning the credulity of Papias, Eufeb. Hift. Eccl. 1. iii. c. 39.

ⁿ See Waterland's Lady Moyer's Sermons, p. 326.

o Mosheim's Eccl. Hist. vol. i. p. 264. That this preeminence was only titular may be inferred from hence: it was declared by the general council at Nice, that "the "Patriarchs of Alexandria and Antioch had the same "autho-

St. Peter also, once presumed to have been the first Bishop of that See, was admitted in succeeding times to have been fo without contradiction. And as appeals were made in civil matters from different parts of the Empire to the Imperial city; fo they were also made in matters respecting Christianity. Probably too these latter may be traced more frequently in the early ages; because, notwithstanding the corrupt state of the Romish Church in subsequent times, it is notorious that she was p singularly free from the early herefies. The supposed succeffors of St. Peter foon availed themselves of the power, which these proofs of respect appeared to acknowledge in them; and proceeded to iffue directions and menaces to diftant parts of the Christian world.

"authority over the countries round them, that he of "Rome had over those that lay about that city." Burnet's Hist. of the Ref. vol. i. p. 138. And this titular preeminence the Greeks were to the last disposed to admit, viz. "papam ordine, non dignitate præcedere." Cave's Hist. Lit. vol. ii. Sæc. Synod. p. 234.

T. Smith also in his account of the Greek Church admits (p. 2.) a priority of Dignity in the See of Rome; though he afferts (p. 80.) the perfect equality of the Patriarch of Constantinople with the Pope.

P Sozomen, Hist. Eccl. l. iii. c. 6, and 13. and l. vi. c. 23. Reading's Edit.

Stillingfleet's Orig. Britan. p. 226.

Waterland's Lady Moyer's Sermons, p. 327.

Thefe

These interpositions were by no means received with approbation or with silent acquiescence. As early as in the second century they excited the resentment and censure both of Irenæus and Polycrates. And in the third century Cyprian opposed with great resolution the incroachments of the Bishops of Rome; refusing as Bishop of Carthage to defend himself in answer to an appeal which

9 Ειρηναιος ὁ Δυγδουνου της εν Γαλλια επισκοπος του Βικτορος δι' επισολης γενναιως κατεδραμεν, μεμψαμενος αυτε την θερμοτητα, κ. τ λ. Socrates, Hift. Eccl. l. v. c. 22. Reading's Edit.

Polycrates expressed a similar disapprobation of the conduct of the Bishop of Rome, and on the same occafion. Heylyn's Reform. justified, p. 270.

/ r Cyprian says on the subject, "Statutum sit omnibus "nobis et æquum sit pariter ac justum, ut unius cujusque "causa illic audiatur ubi est crimen admissum; et singulis "pastoribus portio gregis sit adscripta, quam regat unus-

" quisque et gubernet, rationem sui actus Domino redditurus: oportet utique eos quibus præsumus non circum-

" turus : oportet utique eos quibus prælumus non circum" cursare nec episcoporum concordiam cohærentem sua

"fubdola et fallaci temeritate collidere, sed agere illio causam suam, ubi et accusatores habere et testes sui

"criminis possent." Epist. 55. Edit. Paris. 1726. p. 86.

And again he says, "habet in ecclesse administratione "voluntatis suæ arbitrium liberum unusquisque præpositus, rationem actus sui Domino redditurus." Epist. 72. p. 129. ad sinem.

Hence Jortin fays of St. Cyprian, "if his authority be "any thing, the Pope's authority is nothing: he hath "cut it up from the root by establishing the parity of Bishops." 2d Charge, Sermons, vol. vii. p. 394.

had

had been received at Rome against him; and declaring that all Bishops were equal in power.

But remonstrances, and repeated decrees of early councils, were not sufficient to counteract a power which was sure of being supported by the passions and interests of mankind.

Even Athanasius, when obliged to leave Alexandria, 'retired to Rome and contributed to aggrandize the Bishop of that see by appealing to him. Indeed it may be remarked of both the "Eastern and the "African Clergy, that, whenever they were unable to support either themselves or their doctrines, they were always forward to appeal to the Roman Pontiff. Besides, after the civil establishment of Christianity the Bishops of Rome had not only the Imperial sanction for their titular preemi-

н 3

nence,

Of the fecond general council and that at Milevum—See Burnet's Hist. vol. i. p. 138—9. Collier's Eccl. Hist. vol. i. p. 27 and 128. Cave's Hist. Lit. vol. i. p. 560. And Bingham's Antiquities, b. ix. c. i. sect. 13.

t Sozomen fays in his Eccl. Hist. "αφικομενον δε ώς αυτους 6 Αθανασιον φιλοφρονως εδεξαντο και ωρος αυτους την κατ' αυτον 6 είλκον δικην." l. iii. c. 7. See also Socrates, Hist. Eccl. l. ii. c. 11.

u Socrates, Hist. Eccl. l. iv. c. 12.

w See a claim addressed in the 5th century to the African Clergy by a Bishop of Rome, in which he asserts his Right thus to receive appeals and to decide on all occasions. Cave's Hist. Lit. vol. i. p. 394.

^{*} Mosheim, vol. i. p. 351.

nence, and a full share of the legal 'power which the Church now derived from the state; they had also the most favourable opportunity of increasing this power by the removal of the residence of the Emperours to the Eastern parts of their Dominions.

The Papal incroachments found likewise from time to time protection in the disordered state of the Western world arising from the inundation of Barbarians; and precedent in the example of unlimited power conferred by the Celtic Barbarians on their "Arch-Druid. They had moreover not only ecclesiastical pretensions for their support: these, through the favours which they conferred by absolving the consciences of guilty Princes and by affishing them with anathemas against their enemies, procured besides in the eighth century for the Bishoprick of Rome large "donations of land,

Tone of the branches of this legal power, which contributed exceedingly in future ages to the temporal preeminence of the Clergy, was the right which Constantine gave persons, contending in law, to remove their causes out of the civil courts and to appeal to the judgment of the Bishops; whose sentence in this case was to have the same authority as if it had been decreed by the Emperour himself. Sozomen, Hist. Eccl. 1. i. c. 9. See some account of the subsequent effects of this concession in Father Paul's Hist. of the Council of Trent, translated by Brent, p. 312, 313.

² Jortin's Rem. vol. iv. p. 441.

^{*} From Pepin.

and raised it to the substantial power of a temporal Sovereignity.

During the progress of these circumstances, who can wonder that the Roman Pontiffs should affert their superiority to the civil power by withholding from the Emperour the usual tribute at their belection, and afterwards by 'disclaiming all dependance upon his authority: or that these Pontiss, having laid the foundation for a separation between the Eastern and Western Churches by dexcommunicating the Bishop of Constantinople, should both actually 'pronounce this separation when the Eastern Christians refused to join with them in the worship of images, and finally confummate the unhappy breach by new and unrecalled anathemas, as foon as their usurped dominion was endangered by the interference of the Greek Patriarch in 'parts of the Latin communion?

b This was done by Agatho in the 7th century.

c This happened in the eighth century under the Popedom of Gregory the Second and Third. Mosh. vol. ii. p. 262-3.

d Felix Bishop of Rome excommunicated Acacius Bishop of Constantinople about the end of the fifth century.

e See Mosh. vol. ii. p. 262-3.

f In Illyricum, Macedonia, Epirus, Achaia, Theffaly, and Sicily: and about the year 862. Mosh. vol ii. p. 352.

Cave calls it the controversia Bulgariana, and says of it—" Quantas turbas excitaverit controversia hæc Bulga-" riana historiæ hujus sæculi (scil. noni) non prorsus igna-

In the mean time also the watchful policy of the Romish Church failed not to grasp at all the different means, both internal and external, which offered themselves to secure the power that she claimed and to impose its decisions upon the Christian world. As if the general influence over the Western Church, which the Bishops of it had imperceptibly given to the See of Rome, was not a sufficient support for the Papal Dominion; no sooner had the fuccessors of those g pious Monks, who in times of persecution had fled from the habitations of fociety, or who in future times had withdrawn through erroneous notions of Christianity to a life of mortification, made themfelves confiderable by their numbers, their possessions, and the acquisition of all the learning of their times; than the Church of Rome immediately be detached them from the jurif-

diction

[&]quot;ris fatis constat. Hinc rupta deinceps penitus concerdia, "natumque schisma nullo forte sæculo extinguendum." Hist. Liter. vol. ii. p. 2.

⁸ See what is faid concerning the Monks of Egypt by Enfebius, Hist. Eccl. l. ii. c. 17.

See also Bingham's Antiquities, b. viii. c. 1. feet. 4.

h This happened in the seventh century, as Mosheim says, vol. ii. p. 172. See concerning it Collier's Eccl. Hist. vol. i. p. 388. And, concerning the original subjection of Monks to the Bishops of their own diocese, see Bingham's Antiquities, b. ii. c. 4. sect. 2. and b. vii. c. 3. sect. 17.

diction of their respective Prelates, and formed them into independent communities which were every where to obey the orders and enforce the authority of the supreme Pontiff.

But this was a less injurious device for the establishment of Papal power than presents itself to us in the fatal 'restraints imposed with regard to the use of the Scriptures in the common language; and in the dispensations and induspences; no less than the 'excommunications, penances, holy wars, and 'perfecutions, which, proceeding in the end to the most horrid extravagance, disfused lasting imposety, immorality, and cruelty through all the

-

i See note *, page 80.

It was a prevailing opinion that he who was excommunicated forfeited all the rights not only of a citizen, but also of a human creature. Jortin's 3d Charge, Sermons, vol. vii. p. 417.

Burnet fays, "The first instance of severity on men's bodies, which was not censured by the Church, was in the fifth" (rather the fixth) "century under Justin the First, who ordered the tongue of Severus (who had been Patriarch of Antioch, but did daily anathematise the "Council of Chalcedon) to be cut out: And that it was not before the twelfth and thirteenth centuries that such cruelties were raised to their utmost extravagance by being inslicted on numbers of simple and innocent persons. Hist. of the Ref. vol. i. p. 24.

As late as in the fixteenth century the Pope wished to introduce the inquisition into every country of Christendom, Burnet's Hist. vol. ii. p. 347.

different orders of fociety. But indeed what less was to be expected from the continued and almost uninterrupted advances, which the Papal power had for many ages been making; and from the profligacy of the Bishops by whom it was finally established?

The Prelates, who filled the Apostolical chair about the ninth and tenth centuries, have furnished the enemies of Christianity, no less than the enemies of the abuses of it, with inexhaustable matter of invective. They seem to have been permitted by Providence to prove the extreme folly, as well as blasphemy; of those pretensions to infallibility which have been made for the Bishops of that See. "There" was a fuccession," says Stillingsleet, "of not less than fifty Bishops so remarkable for their wickedness that Annas and Caiaphas (setting only aside their condemning Christ) were saints in comparison of them."

It must be confessed however, that among the Bishops of Rome after the commencement of the eleventh century there were many, who were distinguished by their zeal for the recovery of the discipline of the Church and the

honour

m Sermon 1st, vol. ii. 8vo. p. 49. Edit. 1697.

Jortin fays of this period, "The Prelates and Clergy were in general as ignorant and profligate as can be well conceived: and the Popes were not men but devils." Rem. vol. v. P. 13.

honour of the holy See. But such was the ultimate tendency of their zeal, that we may almost join with those "writers, who pronounce their virtues to have been more detrimental to mankind than the vices of their predecessors. They appear to have taken it for granted, that whatsoever authority had been either exercised or claimed by their predecessors belonged of right to their Church. And effectual means had been left them to persuade superstitious and illiterate ages of the justice of their pretensions by the "forgeries, which had been contrived to fanction all their temporal and spiritual claims and which were actually received for many ages as genuine productions.

And though Gregory the Seventh may be confidered as having carried the Papal power to its height, and as having substantiated in the ele-

- n Jortin: 3d Charge, Sermons, vol. vii. p. 421. Mr. Gibbon, &c.
- The forgeries of the donation of Constantine and the decretals of Isidorus.

Cardinal Cusanus himself says of the former, "reperi in "ipsa scriptura manifesta argumenta consistionis et falsitatis." Jewell's Works, p. 369.

The latter were supposed to contain the decrees of fixty Bishops from Clement to Siricius. Blondel has most fully proved the forgery of them and it is confessed by Steph. Baluzias—Cave's Hist. Lit. vol. ii. p. 21. It is even confessed by Baronius, Annal. ad an. 865.

These forgeries however were not fully detected before the Reformation.

venth

venth century the Papal right of interfering and deciding universally in both civil and religious concerns; yet Papal insolence seems to have extended itself under its successors in the following centuries, and was not carried to its extreme height till the Pope was explicitly declared to be superior to general councils, and his infallibility was publicly proposed and acknowledged in the sixteenth century.

P Mosheim gives some account of the Dictatus Hildebrandini, or what may be supposed to have been Gregory's principles of Papal Government, vol. ii. p. 491.

9 Particularly in the thirteenth century under Innocent

the Third, &c.

r See the arguments advanced on this subject by Laynes, General of the Jesuits, and supported by the other advocates for the Papal pretensions: Father Paul's Hist. of the Council of Trent, p. 570—5. See also Bp. Jewell's

Epist. de Concil. Trident. §. 25.

It may be thought strange that this offensive claim should be made at a time when the Papacy was so rudely attacked by the Reformers: but the Church of Rome acted about this time in the same imprudent manner with regard also to its other claims. "In the year 1534, Pope Clement the Seventh was not ashamed to grant to his nephew Hippolitus Cardinal de Medicis all the benessices of the world, secular and regular, dignities and personages, simple and with cure, being vacant, for six months to begin from the first day of his possession, with power to dispose of and convert to his use all the fruits"—Father Paul's Hist. p. 235. And in 1556 the Pope declared "he would change Kingdoms at his pleasure, that he had made Ireland a Kingdom, that all Princes were under his feet, and that he would allow no Prince to be "his

It would be easy to add more observations on the conduct of particular Bishops of Rome, by which the Papal power was established: but the truth with regard to those Bishops is, that all of them laboured to extend their jurifdiction and uniformly carried on the same One 'incroachment followed another; till at length, aided by the circumstances above mentioned and various others, they were enabled to oppress all Western Christendom, and to crush for many ages every attempt to revive a spirit of moderation in the rulers of the Church, and the true knowledge and practice of Christianity among its different members. The corruption and ignorance, which enfued, were in the end fuch, that, as we are 'told, " the world was possessed with a "conceit that there was a trick for faving

"his companion nor to be too familiar with him." Burnet's Hist. vol. ii. p. 342—3. Indeed Bp. Jewell states, that the proportion, afferted about this time to subsist between the Emperour's and the Pope's power, was as one to seventy-seven. Jewell's Apology for the Church of England, p. 73, and elsewhere.

And, to complete the whole, Cardinal Bellarmine afferts that the only reason why the early Christians did not depose Nero, Dioclesian, and Julian, was because they wanted means to effect it. This is mentioned and well refuted by Cave in his "Primitive Christianity," vol. ii. p. 349—351.

" fouls

⁵ See Jortin's Rem. vol. v. p. 349.

Burnet's Hist. vol. ii. p. 74.

"fouls befides that plain method, which Christ had taught; and that the Priests had the secret of it in their hands."

Whatever friendly agreement there might be between the Bishops of Rome and other Bishops in the commencement of their power; no agreement could at length be maintained with the Romish Church, except by submisfion. And various reasons contributed to hinder this in the Clergy of the East. The want of just foundation in the Papal power could not be unknown to the Patriarchs of Constantinople: and these Patriarchs were not the less strenuous to "retort the anathemas, by which they were separated from the Romish communion, and to defend their own power, because, as is *remarked of them, they were fingularly tolerant in the exercise of it. The vicinity of the Imperial court also, which hindered the Bishops of Constantinople from acquiring civil power, contributed in no fmall degree to protect them against the arbitrary incroachments of other Churches. Besides, the 'fplendour itself of this court created in all, who lived within its influence and protec-

tion,

^u This was done by Acacius, Photius, and Michael Cerularius. Mosh. vol. ii. p. 84, 351, 555.

^{*} Socrates, Hist. Eccl. l. vii. c. 11.

y The account which may be collected from Chrysoftom's works of this splendour almost exceeds belief.

tion, fuch a pride, as was little inferior to that of the Romish Church and would submit to the dictates of no foreign Ecclesiastic.

There was moreover a fixed contempt, with which the Greeks affected to treat their less learned brethren of the West, which irressifibly prevented their submission in matters of religious controversy. The Greek Clergy, though involved in nearly a common zignorance of true Christianity with the whole Christian world, were certainly more learned in other respects and more grave than those of the Latin communion. Some few indeed of the dignified Clergy among the Latins were in no respect inferior to the most celebrated of the Greeks; but these Latins surpassed the other members of the Western Church beyond all comparison.

The prejudices, arifing in the minds of the

z The Greeks and Latins seem at length to have agreed in the darker ages that the essence and life of Religion consisted in image-worship, in honouring dead saints, in collecting relics, in enriching the Church, and other such exertions of piety. Mosheim, vol. ii. p. 417.

And Jortin calls the Bishops at the second council of Nice, who reestablished image-worship in the East in the 8th century, "the most lying and senseless blockheads "upon the face of the earth." Rem. vol. iv. p. 466.

^a This was remarkably true in England in the 13th and 14th centuries. See the fecond differtation prefixed to Warton's History of English Poetry.

Greeks

Greeks from all these circumstances, made them amidst their distress repeatedly resist the solicitations of bthose among their Emperours, who wished to purchase the assistance of the Franks by the dependence of their Church: and, joined to other prejudices excited by the oppressive reign of the Latins in Constantinople and by mutual persecution, made them to the very last, even in the extremity of their siege, distain to hear of succour which was to be obtained by submission to the Roman Pontiss.

The influence, which this fituation of the two Communions must have had on their doctrines, is very obvious. I have before remarked that one pernicious consequence of disputes between the Rulers of the Church was the want of purity, which thenceforth prevailed throughout the Christian world. The pretensions of the Latin and Greek Churches, and the particular doctrines in

b This happened both to Michael and John Palæologus.

c For the fixty years, during which the Crusaders kept possession of Constantinople.

d The fatal consequences of this state of the Christian Church shewed themselves soon after the days of Constantine. The doctrines of Arianism, which prevailed at Constantinople under Constantius and some of his successors, were so powerfully impressed on Ulphilas, when he

which they opposed each other, whether important or not, were the subjects more earnessly inculcated among themselves and enforced on their converts and dependants, than the great and fundamental doctrines of Christianity.

When therefore the northern anations of

he came thither on an embassy from the Goths, and were so successfully propagated by him among his countrymen; that the Arian heresy maintained itself much longer among them, and, through their means, among other Northern nations, than in any other communities. Theodoret in his Eccl. Hist. says of Ulphilas's conversion to Arianism, "Κατ' εκεινον δε τον χρονον Ουλφιλας αυτων (Γοτ- "Αυν scilicet) επισκοπος ην, ω μαλα επειδοντο, και τους εκεινου "λογους ακινητους ὑπελαμβανον νυμούς τουτον και λογοις κατα- "κηλησας Ευδοξιος και χρημασι δελεασας ωεισαι ταρεσκευασε "τους Βαρβαρους την βασιλεως κοινωνιαν ασπασασθαι επεισε δε, "φησας εκ φιλοτιμιας γεγενησθαι την εριν, δογματων δε μηδεμιαν "ειναι διαφοραν." L. iv. c. 37. Reading. See also Sozomen, Hist. Eccl. l. vi. c. 37.

Sozomen afterwards clearly afferts that Ulphilas was the great cause of the prevalence and continuance of Arianism among the nations of the North, Hist. Eccl. I. vii. c. 17. Where speaking of the divisions of the Arians he calls one of them that of the Goths, adding "Γοτθων δε, καθοτι και Σελινας, ό τουτων επισκοπος, ομοιως εδοξαζεν. "επακολουθησαντες δε τουτω χεδον ωαντες βαρβαροι συν αυτοις εκκλησιαζον ωειθηνιοι γαρ εις τα μαλιςα τω Σελινω ετυγ- "χανον ὑπογραφει τε γενομενω και διαδοχώ Ουλφιλα, του παρ " αυτοις επισκοπησαντος," κ. τ. λ.

d Bulgaria, Hungary, Bohemia, Saxony, Denmark, Norway, Sweden, Poland, and Russia.

Mosheim charges Boniface the Apostle of the Germans with an excessive zeal for increasing the honours and pretensions

Europe were converted by these Churches, they may be said not so much to have been converted to Christianity in general, as to the peculiar and distinguishing tenets of the Romish or Greek Communion: and this too, at the most degenerate period of both those Communions. No wonder then, that the sword was the instrument, by which Christianity was propagated among many of these nations; and that this should finish in Lithunia the conversion of Northern Europe in the fourteenth century.

However, though the contests between the Western and Eastern Christians were productive of many evils; they produced also some considerable good. They hindered all parties from corrupting the Scriptures through fear of detection. They kept alive some literary inquiries; and the spirit, with which they

tensions of the facerdotal order and a profound ignorance of many things, of which the knowledge was absolutely necessary in an Apostle; and particularly of the true nature and genius of the Christian Religion, vol. ii. p. 207.

See also in Cave's Hist. Lit. a Letter from Joannes Smera Polovecius, giving an account of the corruptions of the Latin and Greek Churches; and addressed to the King of the Russians, when he and his Kingdom were converted to the Greek Church. Vol. ii. p. 113.

e This may be conceded to Mr. Gibbon, without giving him the least advantage over real Christianity. See his History, vol. v. p. 577.

were.

were carried on, powerfully urged the different disputants to exert at times their utmost abilities. Besides, the unsuccessful attempts, which were made to suppress these contentions and to effect an union of opinion among Christians, that there might be an union of operation also among them against their common enemies, were productive of excellent The embassies sent by the consequences. Greek Emperours into the West, and their journeys thither at three different times, tended effectually to recall the attention of the Latins to 'Greek literature; and, by dispersing among them the means of cultivating it, prepared the way for that Reformation which was to fucceed and remedy the evils of this unhappy period.

The doctrines, which declare the internal state of the Church, and which were particularly defended or corrupted during the ages that fill up the long period from Constantine to the Reformation, consist either of those fundamental doctrines of our Religion, which respect the Trinity, the person and natures of

f The Greek language had been exiled from Italy upwards of 700 years, when Emanuel Chrysoloras (who had been sent by John Palæologus Emperour of Constantinople to implore the assistance of the Western Christians) set about the restoration of it in that country. See Port Royal Greek Grammar, Pref. p. 9. and 12.

12

our

our Saviour, and other important articles of the same kind which are received and professed by ourselves at present; or of those corruptions of Christianity which became in subfequent times the subject of our Reformation. The former shew the effects of the degeneracy of the Christian world by the manner in which they were defended: the latter by their very nature and existence. The former had the advantage of being finally established in the earlier and less darkened part of this period. And certainly we have reason to bless God that they were then established; whatever the enemies of our Faith may sinfinuate to the contrary, and whatever cause we may have to disapprove of the manner in which it was done.

The great doctrines of our Religion were delivered in the inspired writings with a precision, which was abundantly sufficient for the instruction of those, who were disposed rather to obey the Word of God than to look out for disputable matter in it. When subtlety and visionary speculation were afterwards introduced, the foundation was laid for heresies, which embittered the minds of Christians towards each other during the times of persecution; and which, being afterwards in-

See Mr. Gibbon's Hist. vol. iv. chap. 47. creased,

creased, made them endeavour to restrain such dissentions by public decisions of the Church, as soon as the civil magistrate was ready to lend his assistance on the occasion and to convene ecclesiastical assemblies.

We know what were the confirmed decifions of fuch affemblies before the end of the feventh century: we know also on what authority of Scripture these decisions ought to have been made. As to the concomitant circumstances with which they were actually made, if these savour much of the corruption of the times, it is no more than we might expect. If the decisions of different councils on the herefy of Arius, no less than on other fubiects relative to the doctrines, above mentioned, of the Trinity and of our bleffed Saviour's person and natures, were made in a tumultuous manner by men of ambitious views and of little perfect knowledge of Christianity, and were also enforced by the 'fword; it is no more than we might expect from the general transactions of those times, and is a proof, in addition to numberless others supplied by history, that the Almighty is often pleased to bring good out of evil.

h The Arian persuasion prevailed longest among the Goths in Italy and the Vandals in Africa, and is said to have been suppressed among them both by the sword of Belisarius.

All

All that is effential to our purpose, is to know that the doctrines in question, which are professed by us at present and which after these decisions were universally received before the end of the eighth century, are warranted by Scripture. Whilst we have means to convince us of this, we have reason to bless that God, who did not fuffer his Church to fall into errors with regard to the great doctrines of our Religion, which it might afterwards have been unspeakably more difficult to do away, than those palpable corruptions which were the subject of our Reformation: and efpecially might this have been the case amidst the effervescence of new opinions, soon after the commencement of the Reformation, when Socious and his followers were found to furpass every former sect of heretics in presumptuous and degrading affertions concerning the person of Christ and the nature of our redemption.

As to the corruptions which were the subject of our Reformation, many of these began soon after the civil establishment of our Religion: but they went on increasing afterwards in a rapid proportion; uniting themselves as they advanced to new and similar debasements of divine truth, and forming at length that mass of impiety, which disgraced the Christian profession and totally eradicated all devotional

tional Religion, till it was at length distinguished from true Christianity and separated from it.

Among these the worship of saints and images and the whole consequent train of idolatry may be said to have commenced with that subordinate worship of martyrs, which Eusebius and other well-meaning rulers of the Church approved and promoted in the days of Constantine. The absurd veneration of the Cross began with Helena, mother of Constantine: and that of relics with his successor Constantius, who first distinguished himself by the removal of them. Even Jerome

k Cave says, "nullum pro imaginibus citari testem quarto sæculo anteriorem." Hist. Lit. vol. i. p. 650.

However in the fourth century such idolatrous worship appears to have introduced itself: since Epiphanius in his epistle to the Bishop of Jerusalem says, that coming into a Church, "inveni ibi velum pendens in foribus ejustem "ecclesiæ tinctum atque depictum, et habens imaginem quasi Christi vel sancti cujusdam. Non enim satis memini cujus imago suerit. Cum ergo hoc vidissem in ecclesia Christi contra auctoritatem scripturarum hominis pendere imaginem, scidi illud," &c. Jerome's Works, tom. ii. p. 161. Basil 1565.

¹ Jortin's Rem. vol. iii. p. 11 and 289. Cave's Hist. Lit. vol. i. p. 650.

m S. Severus, p. 370—374. Rufini Hist. Eccl. l. i. c. 7. Ed. Grynæi 1587. Socratis Hist. Eccl. l. i. c. 17. Reading.

n Jortin's Rem. vol. ii. p. 289.

and

and Ambrose gave their unqualified fanction to injudicious omortifications and to the long train of articles of will-worship, which ensued, by declaiming against marriage and by recommending monastic vows under the most pinjurious circumstances.

The fatal consequences, which proceeded in the progress of more than a thousand years from these and other such unhappy commencements, may all be said to have been ultimately derived from two principles, totally repugnant to the doctrines of Revelation; the one that lies are admissible in desence of truth; the other, that mental impersection is to be remedied by bodily suffering; principles, which

o It may be observed in general, that, when external perfecutions ceased, Christians began to vie with each other in inflicting voluntary punishments on themselves.

P "Jerome drew a noble matron, the mother of many children, away from Rome with him, and was the means of fending her about to vifit the different Monks of the East, and to squander the fortune of her family upon them."—"Ambrose was so violent a declaimer in favour of virginity, that he exhorts young girls in one of his treatises to enter into Nunneries even against the advice of their parents." Jortin's Rem. vol. iii. p. 37. and 40.

Jortin makes Erasmus say in a similar manner of the Monks themselves, "these men say that you must follow "Jesus Christ, though you were to trample upon the bodies of your father and mother." Life of Erasmus, vol. i. p. 71.

many

many of the 'Fathers of the Church contributed to bring into credit.

'Hence all the fictitious miracles which gave, fanction to the worship of 'Saints, their images and relics; which gave this worship a general and firm footing before the end of the fixth century; made it triumph over all the opposition of the Eastern Emperours in the eighth century; and made it triumph ultimately over the moderation with which the principal 'Kingdoms of the Western Europe for some ages received it. Hence also the diversified display of monastic institutions, the celibacy enforced on the Clergy, the doctrines of purgatory and of prayers for the dead, the fantastic penances, the "crusades, persecutions, dispensations, and indulgences; to say nothing of the ceremo-

Ambrose, Hilary, Augustine, Gregory Nazianzen, and Jerome. See Jortin's Rem. vol. iv. p. 19, 20. There are not however wanting authors of note, who contend against both Mosheim and Jortin, that these writers never report what they know to be false. This is urged in their vindication by Cave and others.

¹ See Jortin's 3d Charge, Sermons, vol. vii. p. 410—415.

⁵ And particularly of the Virgin Mary.

^{*} France, England, Spain, and Germany.

See concerning this subject Collier's Eccl. Hist. vol. i. p. 139—142.

[&]quot;The crusades, or holy wars, which have been mentioned above as instrumental in advancing the power of

nies which difgraced Christianity during these times.

As to the corruptions relative to the Sacrament, these had a late origin. Communion without a *participation of the cup, 'transubstantiation, and all the circumstances relative to the *adoration of the Host, (of which many were the effects of trivial and accidental sug-

the Bishops of Rome, must be considered as the result of an injurious and most unprositable corruption of the true principles of Christianity. They did not tend to diffuse even its nominal profession. The gentle and humble means, used by the Almighty in the original propagation of our Religion, effected in the East a conversion to its belief, which the effusion of all Europe in arms was not in the least degree able again to produce.

- * Pope Pascal in the 12th century ordered the Sacrament to be administered under one kind only. But the Laity in England had it in both kinds for 200 years after the Conquest. Collier's Eccl. Hist. Pref. p. 11. and vol. i. p. 489. Indeed, in Father Paul's History of the Council of Trent, it is said that the communion of the chalice was observed by the whole Church till within 200 years of that time (1546) P. 154.
- y Though transubstantiation was broached by Radbertus in the year 818. (Jortin's Rem. vol. iv. p. 84.) yet it was not established before the time of Innocent the Third who lived in the 13th century. Mosheim, vol. iii. p. 243. and Cave's Hist. Lit. vol. ii. p. 276.
- z "The hanging up and adoring of the Host was but lately set up, says Cranmer, by Pope Innocent and Ho- norius." Burnet's Hist. vol. ii. p. 116.

gestions

gestions from the ignorant *populace) can pretend to no early establishment. The brefusal of the Scriptures to the people in the common

² This was the case with both the Procession and the Festival of the Holy Sacrament. Jortin's Rem. vol. v. p. 472. And Mosheim, vol. iii. p. 261.

b See an account of this in a preceding note, x, p. 89. I may add that the Romanists not only attempted to bring the Scriptures into disuse, but also into discredit. Wicklist's followers urged against the preaching Friars that they laid blasphemous imputations on the Scriptures, and charged them with herefy. Collier's Eccl. Hist. vol. i. p. 579.

Mosheim fays, "the Popes permitted their champions "to indulge themselves openly in reflections, injurious to "the dignity of the Scriptures, and by an excess of blaf-"phemy almost incredible to declare publicly that the "edicts of the Pontiffs and the records of oral tradition "were fuperior in point of authority to the express lan-"guage of Scripture." Vol. iv. p. 213. To the same effect Bishop Jewell says, "Indulgentiæ (inquit Pierias) "auctoritate Scripturæ non innotuerunt nobis, fed aucto-"ritate Romanæ Ecclesiæ Romanorumque Pontificum. "quæ major est. Pighius etiam non dubitet dicere in-" justu Romanæ Ecclesiæ ne claristimæ quidem scripturæ "credendum." Apol. pro Eccl. Anglic. p. 121. And fuch at length was the confequence of the early corruptions introduced into Christianity by philosophy and of the attempts afterwards made to conceal and vilify the Scriptures; that after the Lutheran controversy had been long carried on, many of the Monks in Scotland were fo ignorant of their contents, as to charge Luther with being the author of that wicked book, called the New Testament. Jortin's Life of Erasmus, vol. i. p. 126. See also on this subject Cave's Hist. Lit. vol. ii. Append. p. 158.

language

language, which, with many of the corruptions just stated, has been mentioned, as immediately resulting from the crafty policy of the rulers of the Church, was followed by a substitution of oral tradition in their stead, and at length by prohibitions in the common language of all the different parts of religious worship and dinstruction.

Such prohibitions however must be considered not only as a consequence, but also in subsequent ages as an additional and aggravating cause, of the perversion of our Religion. But in whatever manner they are considered, they serve conspicuously to withdraw pure Christianity from the disgraceful scenes of this unhappy period, and leave the profligacy of the professors of our Religion to be imputed most exclusively to themselves.

• The Latin form of worship, which had for many ages before been strongly recommended by the Popes, was at length enforced in all the Western Churches by Gregory the Seventh. Mosh. vol. ii. p. 573.

d Our Homily against Rebellion (part the 6th) says, "very few of the most simple people were taught the "Lord's prayer, the articles of faith, or the ten commandments, otherwise than in Latin." But Burnet goes much farther, and says of the beginning of the reign of Hen. VIII. "If any taught their children the Lord's "prayer, the ten commandments and the Apostles' creed "in the vulgar tongue; that was crime enough to bring "them to the stake." Hist. Ref. vol. i. p. 31.

All

All these erroneous doctrines and usages appear to have been maintained, with very sew exceptions, by both the Romanists and Greeks. The insignificancy of the charges, which Michael Cerularius urged against the Latins in the eleventh century, while it proves the sinifter zeal of the disputant who urged them, forces us to conclude that the great corruptions of Christianity at that period were common to the whole Christian world. Even when the difference between the Western and Eastern Churches was afterwards reduced to four articles, that only, which related to the

- e Among these one of the principal exceptions is, that the Greeks did not refuse the cup to the Laity.
- f That they used unleavened bread at the Lord's Supper: that they did not abstain from things strangled and from blood: that the Monks ate lard, and permitted their infirm brethren to eat flesh: that the Bishops adorned their singers with rings, as if they had been bridegrooms: that the Priests were beardless: and that in baptism they used only one immersion. Mosh. vol. ii. p. 556—7.
- The four articles respected the procession of the Holy Ghost, the use of leavened or unleavened bread in the facrisce, the doctrine of purgatory, and the authority of the Pope. The disputants for the two Churches appear not to have differed effentially in their tenets concerning purgatory; though they could come to no decisive conclusion concerning it. See Du Pin's Hist. of the controversies of the 15th century, p. 37. Engl. Ed. But though formerly the great corruptions of the Romish Church were in general chargeable on the Greeks, and the difference between the Creeds of the two Communions was not important;

Procession of the Holy Ghost, was a doctrine of Faith on which they appear to have really and essentially differed.

With regard to the external state of the Church during this period, it has been bremarked that Christianity was so much altered and defaced after its civil establishment as to stand in need of the protection of the civil power; and had it not enjoyed this protection, Paganism itself (if refined and new-modelled) might have had too many advantages over it. The remark is entirely just. And this unhappy alteration in our religion might well render the evils, to which it was exposed from foreign enemies, a subject of terror to all those among its profesfors, whose apprehensions were not relieved by a confideration of its divine origin and of the affurances which have been given us of its stability and perpetual duration.

The invasions of the Goths, and of various other nations from the North, who, pursuing the same route after the Goths, overwhelmed in successive ages all the civilized kingdoms of Southern Europe, were so destructive during

portant; yet the confession of Faith, which was published by the Greeks in the last century, proves that they differ considerably at present from the Romanists in many respects. It appears however that their tenets in these respects are unfortunately different also from those of other Christian societies. Mosh. vol. iv. p. 250.

those

h Jortin's Rem. vol. ii. p. 337.

those times, and have fince produced such lasting effects; that they form the principal part of our history during the four centuries which immediately followed the reign of Constantine. But the danger, which they feemed to threaten to the established profession of Christianity, was of very short continuance. The Northern invaders conquered indeed at different times all the different parts of the Roman Empire, except that which immediately isurrounded Constantinople; and often obtained forcible possession of Rome. But, in justice to their moderation, it has been infifted that they did very little injury to the monuments of art or to the *religious edifices, which adorned the old capital of the world. And the danger, which their invasions might at first threaten to the profession of our Religion was fully done away by the readiness and permanency with which they themselves became its converts.

The greatest evils, which Christianity had to expect from foreign enemies, were to be feared from those, who every where declared

themselves

i Socratis Hist. Eccl. l. v. c. 1. et l. vi. c. 6. Sozom. Hist. Eccl. l. vi. c. 39.

Le Sozomen fays that Alaric, when he took Rome, had fuch reverence for St. Peter that he permitted his Church to be an Afylum. Hist. Eccl. 1. ix. c. 9.

themselves not less hostile to its discriminating doctrines than to the persons of its professors.

About the beginning of the feventh century those 'Churches of Asia, which had been the distinguished care of the Apostles and peculiar objects of divine Revelation, were funk into a gross degeneracy both of faith and practice. About the same time also the Persians, Romans, and Northern Barbarians feem to have reached their period of greatest profligacy and disorder. At this seasonable juncture Mahomet, the artful and warlike impostor of Arabia, arose to delude and persecute mankind. Aware of his own fraud, and of the necessity of conciliating the minds of men, he accommodated his doctrines to the "prepoffessions of all around him in a manner totally different from what has ever been observed in the Revelations of the God of truth.

He not only endeavoured, by the affistance of the Jewish and Christian Scriptures, to form a Religion which might be received by both Jews and Christians as consistent in some degree with their own, and which might flatter the prejudices of his countrymen who boasted

of

¹ Evagrius describes the Churches of the East, as being in a miserable state of confusion even in the fifth century. Hist. Eccl. 1. iii. c. 30. Reading.

m Jortin has remarked, that without this he never could have made five converts. Rem. vol. ii. p. 278.

of their descent from Abraham; but farther also he dared to plead Divine authority for indulging the impurity of his followers in this life, and for promising them a continuance of their impure gratifications in the regions of eternal happiness. The circumstances of the times and the just indignation of the Almighty gave success to the impostor; while at the same time such marks of imposition were left on himself and his Religion, as might for ever make known the real characters of "both.

n It is not from Prideaux and other writers of former times only, that we are to feek for fevere condemnations of both Mahomet and the Koran. Volney, who is among the latest travellers into the East, says, "it certainly may be afferted fafely that of all the men, who have ever dared to give laws to nations, Mahomet was the most ignorant; of all the absurd compositions ever produced, none is more truly wretched than his book." Vol. ii. p. 397. Engl. Edit.

And Mr. Whitaker afferts in his "Origin of Arianism" disclosed" that Mahomet "betrayed his ignorance in a "form so very palpable and gross upon his very Koran, "as seems to be intended for the mint-mark of God "himself in order to shew the falsity of his inspiration to "every eye;" p. 336. In support of his affertion he then gives many particular instances of this ignorance and exposes the weakness of the attempts, made by Sale and the Mahometans, to palliate its absurdities, as they who require such proofs of the falsehood of the Mahometan Religion may see p. 336—360. Afterwards in the same chapter he stigmatizes the gross obscenity both of the Mahometan Prophet and Religion, and of Mr. Gibbon who is their advocate; exposes the perjury sanctioned by

That men should be permitted to forsake the truth, and, at their own peril, to believe a lie, is indeed no more than we may expect in a state of probation. The divine dispensations on such occasions are uniformly consistent. The Prophet of Judah was persuaded by a pretended Revelation to disobey what he knew to be an express command of God, and was slain as a punishment for his impious credulity; whilst the Prophet of Bethel, who had lied unto him, is no where immediately held forth to us in Scripture as an example of divine vengeance.

Though 'three out of Mahomet's four immediate successors fell by the hands of assafins; yet such success attended the zeal with which the professors of his Religion fought,

the example of Mahomet; and fatisfactorily shews, that, though he was affisted in the composition of his Koran by an excommunicated Christian, his principal affistance came from a Jew, Abdia Ben Salon.

As a consequence of the sanction, justly said by Mr. Whitaker to be given by Mahomet to perjury, I shall produce the following fact on the authority of Mr. Gibbon himself: "Four thousand citizens of Herat of a grave "character and mature age unanimously swore that an "idolatrous sane," which they had just burnt, "never existed." By this meritorious oath (as it was thought) they and their city escaped all punishment for the outrage. Gibbon's Hist. vol. v. p. 384.

Omar, Othman, and Ali. Abubeker the first of his fuccessors reigned only two years.

that

that all the provinces both of Asia and Africa, which bordered on Arabia, soon became subject to them. And so widely did their victories extend, that they subdued also a considerable part of Europe, and made such advances towards the conquest of the remaining parts, that the entire conquest of them is said to have been hindered by a defeat, which Mr. Gibbon ascribes so exclusively to the valour of an pindividual, as to declare that the Clergy are indebted for their existence to his sword.

That fuch indeed was the state of our Religion both with regard to its profesfors and its doctrines, that neither the Eastern nor Western Christians opposed to the inroads of Mahometan enthusiasm the infinite advantages which the superior purity of their Religion ought to have afforded them, may readily be confessed. But, notwithstanding the unworthiness of Christians, we cannot so readily confess that the Almighty ought to be excluded from fome particular share in the 4 defeat here referred to. We need go no farther than to this historian's own words to prove the contrary. After the seventh day's contest, which (as the Saracens had still the undisturbed possession of their camp) appears by no means to have been irre-

K 2

coverably

P Charles Martel. See Mr. Gibbon, vol. v. p. 412.

⁹ Gibbon's Hist. vol. v. p. 411.

coverably decifive, he fays—" In the diforder "and despair of the night the various tribes of "Yemen and Damascus, of Africa and Spain, "were provoked to turn their arms against each other: the remains of their Host were fuddenly dissolved, and each Emir consulted his safety by an hasty and separate retreat." Surely this resembles 'deseats, which Christians want no human authority to refer solely to God.

But this is not the only occurrence of the fort with regard to such enemies of our Faith, which is worthy of our notice and which is recorded by the same historian. The manner, in which Rome was 'preserved from a formidable attack of the Saracens by the dispersion and total destruction of their sleet; the preservation of Constantinople from alarming and repeated attacks of the same enemies in their early history by the apparently accidental discovery of a most destructive 'fire; the preservation of it also from the meditated attack of

Zengis

See the 7th Chapter of Judges, the 14th Chapter of 1 Samuel, and the 7th Chapter of the second book of Kings.

⁵ Gibbon, vol. iv. p. 441.

t Gibbon, vol. v. p. 399—405. This fire was discovered by Callinicus an Egyptian in the 7th century, and called by the Greeks " ύγρον ωυρ." Bp. Warburton's Julian, p. 234. See also Jortin's Rem, vol. iv. p. 430.

"Zengis Khan; the 'disorder, by which Bajazet's fury against the Christians was suspended; his 'deseat and destruction by Timour; and the fortunate escape of Constantinople from the victorious 'Timour himself; all these remarkable circumstances are admitted by our historian and well known.

It is presumptuous and often fallacious to reason from events in human history to the establishment of particular and immediate interpositions of Providence. But the most moderate estimate of these circumstances, as connected with our Religion, ought at least to exclude all boastings of insidelity, and all sarcastic resections concerning the means by which we now have an opportunity in this our seat of learning to demonstrate the truth of the Christian, rather than that of the Mahometan Religion.

Through

u Gibbon, vol. vi. p. 308.

^{*} Ibid. p. 323. Mr. Gibbon's words in this passage are well worthy of our notice: "His progress," says Mr. G. "was checked, not by the miraculous interposition of the "Apostle (St. Peter,) not by a crusade of the Christian "powers, but by a long and painful sit of the gout." Doubtless, the historian might have spared his reslections on the occasion; as Bajazet's disorder, at this critical juncture, might, notwithstanding all that he infinuates to the contrary, have still been eminently providential.

y Gibbon, vol. vi. p. 330.

² Ibid. vol. vi. p. 357.

² Gibbon, vol. v. p. 409.

Through these 'deliverances Western Europe and Constantinople were rescued from the power of the Mahometans and of all the external enemies of Christianity, till the Christians of Western Europe had established their powerful Kingdoms; Kingdoms, which conspicuously partake of the iron ascribed to them

2 Such observations however must be considered as opposed to the sneers of our adversaries, rather than as employed in the elucidation of events on which the existence of our Religion can be thought to have ever depended. For it is false in fact that a Religion, so founded as Christianity, must be extirpated or effentially endangered, if it should cease to be established by civil authority. Christianity has no necessary dependance upon earthly power. We know that it flourished under the perfecution of the Roman Emperours and in the end converted its perfecutors. We know that it afterwards converted the favage conquerors from the North. And we know from authority, which we cannot doubt, that it must at length convert its Mahometan oppressors. In the mean time also we are affured that all the cruelty and all the perfidy of the Mahometans have not been able to extirpate it in any of their dominions either of Europe or Afia. Indeed the authority of Mr. Gibbon himself may be brought to prove, that the Northern coast of Africa is the only land where the light of the Gospel has been totally extinguished after a long and perfect establishment: (Vol. v. p. 386.). And even this extinction of it, if referred to its proper cause, must be ascribed to devastations of the plague, of famine, and the fword, which laid waste this country not long before its conquest by the Saracens (Gibbon's Hist. vol. iv. p. 276. 331. &c.); and not to the Religion or the power of unbelievers.

in Daniel's prophecies: till learning had begun to revive in these Kingdoms, and its permanency had been insured by the art of printing: till a Reformation of Christianity was about to commence: and till, from the united influence of all these causes, such barriers were formed for the support of our Religion, as have since raised it far above all apprehension from external enemies.

Such are the outlines of the Christian history from the time of Constantine to the Reformation; as far as relates to the degeneracy of the rulers of the Church, to the confusion of facred and profane learning, to the invasions of savage conquerors, and to the effects produced, under these general and leading principles, on the Romish and Greek Communions, on the doctrines of the Church, and on its situation with regard to external enemies. And so little reason is there for the sarcasms, which are levelled against our Religion in consequence of any corruptions of this period; since it appears that these corruptions all pro-

K 4

ceeded

² Dan. ii. 41, 42. See Lowth's Commentary.

b Not only were great numbers of very valuable books imported into Western Europe by different Greek Emperours on their journeys thither; but, farther, Constantinople had been taken, possessed fixty years, and exhausted of many of its literary treasures by the Western Christians before it was taken by the Turks.

ceeded in reality from the depravity of our nature, and the want of a proper application of Christianity to counteract and subdue it.

Indeed, the corruption of the antediluvian world under the Revelation originally given to mankind, of the Heathen world under the precepts of Noah and the light of nature, of the Jews under the Mosaical covenant, and lastly of the Christians under the secure and established profession of the Gospel, all tend to prove the same great truths: they tend to prove incontrovertibly both the inveterate depravity of our nature, and that the God of all the nations of the earth has universally ordained that the blessings, derivable from the religious information which he affords them, shall depend in an eminent degree upon their own voluntary and regular use of it.

SERMON IV.

1 PET. iii. 15.

Be ready always to give an answer to every man, that asketh you a reason of the Hope that is in you.

HAVING given a sketch of the history of our Religion from the publication of the Gospel after the ascension of Christ to the commencement of the Reformation, I shall now proceed to state a few general observations concerning the Reformation, and then confine myself (according to the plan which I have laid down) to the particular history of our own Church.

The corruptions, charged on the professors of our Religion in the darker ages, must not be supposed to have past, even in the worst times, without censure or without many unsuccessful attempts towards their reformation. The Church of Christ has always had some faithful members, who have zealously borne witness

witness to the truth. In the East those who opposed the prevailing corruptions were often called by general and ambiguous names Massaliani or Euchitæ; as those of the West were called Waldenses or Albigenses. The former were confounded with wild and irrational heretics of various descriptions; and the same was the case with many of the latter.

Men, who smarted under their censures, would certainly attempt by every means to lessen their credit: and such men, it must be confessed, often had good foundation for the charges which they retorted upon their censurers. For it cannot be matter of wonder that the professors of Christianity, who condemned the abuses of it in those ages, should often have wanted knowledge and doolness of judgment to point out a proper remedy for the exorbitant evils of which they complained; or that they should often have contradicted each other in matters of the greatest consequence.

But however these friends to reformation

b Jortin's Remarks, vol. v. p. 215.

d Collier's Eccl. Hist. vol. i. p. 625 and 697.

might

See Bp. Newton on the Prophecies, vol. iii. p. 147-197.

c Concerning the Massaliani or Euchitæ see Cave's Hist. Lit. vol. ii. p. 199. and concerning the Waldenses or Albigenses see the same work, vol. ii. p. 182.—Bp. Newton on the Prophecies, vol. iii. p. 171, &c.—and Jortin's fourth Charge, Sermons, vol. vii. p. 436, 437.

might differ in other respects, they were 'unanimous in asserting that the vulgar Religion was false and that the Popes were usurpers. And however ignorant and injudicious some of them may appear to have been, there were in the midst of the dark ages men of erudition and teachers also of Religion, who were advocates for a 'rational profession of Christianity and who knew the proper means by which it was to be promoted. While Arnold of Brescia displays the most distinguished zeal and learning in preaching against the corruptions of the twelfth century; Bishop 'Grosthead, Wickliss, and Huss prove in the 'three cen-

turies,

[≠] Jortin's Remarks, vol. v. p. 386.

See Bp. Jewell's Apology for the Church of England, p. 93—96.

The state of the state of the state of the Pope and the Clergy. He fell however at length an unhappy victim to his zeal, being burnt in the year 1155. Mosheim, vol. iii. p. 119. See also, with regard to Arnold, Bp. Newton on the Prophecies, and the Authors eited by him on the occasion, vol. iii. p. 169.

h A fate fimilar to Arnold's would certainly have befallen our learned Bishop Grosshead, if the Pope had not been afraid to proceed to extremities against him. Jortin's Rem. vol. v. p. 365. See also concerning Grosshead Collier's Eccl. Hist. vol. i. p. 460—2, and Bp. Newton on the Prophecies, vol. iii. p. 181.

i Grosthead flourished about the year 1235: Wickliff about 1360; and Huss was cited to answer for his opinions in 1411.

turies, which immediately followed, that such zeal and learning were likewise united with judgment in support of true Christianity long before the successful commencement of any reformation in its public profession.

If the pastors of the Church be held forth as principal causes of the profligacy of the darker ages; because, partaking of the common degeneracy and eminently conspicuous from their station, they contributed more extensively than the other members of the Christian world to its various corruptions; it ought in justice to them to be observed at the same time, that, during the worst periods of Christianity, men of their order frequently declaimed against the conduct of their brethren and of the people around them, and that they desisted not from such bold censure till they became the immediate causes of the Resormation which at length ensued.

It was not however before the means of acquiring just and distinct notions of sacred and profane learning had been supplied, nor before such notions had been widely diffused, that any general reformation of our Religion was undertaken with success. The k schissm of

k It was during this schism that Wickliff escaped in England, by the interposition of the nobles, from two different attempts, which the Archbishop of Canterbury and

the Antipopes and other internal causes of decay certainly shook the Papal throne; but the whole foundation of Papal tyranny was undermined by the prevalence of sound and discriminating learning. Christianity had been first propagated in an age of such learning and was again to revive with it; though not indeed before true Religion had once more experienced the worst effects from superstition.

The evils, which had flowed of old from the fupersition and idolatry of the Heathen world, were again experienced under the corruptions of Christianity. ¹Protagoras, Diagoras, and others among the ancients had been made Atheists by the wretched idolatry of their country: and ^m schools of Atheism are said to

and the Clergy made to destroy him. Fuller's Hist. Cent. xiv. p. 136.

1 Stillingfleet's Orig. Sac. p. 10.

Sherlock fays in his discourse on Providence, "The universal deluge and the confusion of languages had so abundantly convinced mankind of a divine power and Providence, that there was no such creature as an Atheist, till their ridiculous idolatries had tempted some men of wit and thought rather to own no God, than such as the Heathens worshipped." P. 204.

m Jortin's Rem. vol. v. p. 500. Paracelsus, Rabelais, and Montagne issued from this School. And probably those Epicurean Atheists of whom Erasmus observes that none exclaimed louder against Luther's errors; (Jortin's Life of Erasmus, vol. i. p. 283.) and of whom he appears to speak when he says, "Ego Romæ hisce auribus audivi quosdam

have been actually opened in Italy towards the close of the dark night of Christian idolatry. Or rather this may be deduced in both instances from the application of an unfound philosophy to the devices of a most degenerate superstition. And indeed it is an effect too sensibly experienced at present in countries, distinguished by such philosophy and such superstition.—But accuracy in human learning and a knowledge of true Christianity were at length to be united and to prevail.

No fooner did the stores of literature, which in the 13th, 14th, and 15th centuries had been imported from Constantinople, and even under "Papal patronage multiplied by the arts of Western Europe, excite a "general zeal among the Latins for the study of the learned lan-

ⁿ That particularly of Pope Nicholas the 5th. Moth-vol. iii. p. 391.

guages,

[&]quot;quosdam abominandis blasphemiis debacchantes in Christum et in illius Apostolos: idque multis mecum audientibus, et quidem impune. Ibidem multos novi qui commemorabant se dicta horrenda audiisse a quibuse dam sacerdotibus aulæ Pontificiæ ministris, idque in ipsa missa, tam clare ut ea vox ad multorum aures per"venerit." Epistol. p. 1455. Londini 1642.

O The education, which Henry the 7th (who was an illiterate Prince) gave to his Son Henry the 8th, and which Henry the 8th gave not only to his Son Prince Edward, but also to both his Daughters Mary and Elizabeth, and the learning of King James may serve to show the zeal excited at this time in favour of the learned languages.

guages, and particularly of the original ^p Scriptures; than Luther arose at the commencement of the next century not only to declaim, like his predecessors in reformation, against the corruptions of the Romish Church; but also to separate a considerable part of Western Christendom from it.

How far ^q feparations may warrantably be made from the established Religion, it is easy enough at present to determine. When we

P The Scriptures, faid the Bp. of Hereford in support of Cranmer, were commonly studied by the Laity in the original languages, and therefore it was in vain to think they could be governed by the arts which in the former ages of ignorance had been so effectual. Burnet's Hist. of the Ref. vol. i. p. 214.

Among those who were distinguished about the time of the Reformation, as the great revivers of literature both sacred and prosane, Erasmus stands foremost. He, as was commonly said of the Reformation, laid the egg and Luther hatched it. Bp. Stillingsleet expressly says, "It "was not Luther or Zuinglius that contributed so much "to the Reformation as Erasmus, especially among us in "England. For Erasmus was the man who awakened men's understandings, and brought them from the "Friar's Divinity to a relish of general Learning."

It is remarkable that Erasmus's Edition of the Greek Testament was published in 1516, the year before the commencement of Luther's Reformation. Before this publication Stillingsleet says there was not above one Greek Testament to be found in all Germany. Jortin's Life of Erasmus, vol. ii. p. 64.

9 See on this subject Chillingworth's Safe-Way, &c. Folio, 4th Edit. 1674. p. 196—240.

have

have effential objections to oppose to fundamental articles of it, we are justifiable in deferting it. Prior and invincible obligations to truth demand such conduct from us. That it was difficult for men to determine this question in ages of ignorance, superstition, and perfecution, is exceedingly probable: that it was both dangerous and difficult to effect any religious separation under such circumstances cannot be doubted.

Luther however succeeded in the arduous enterprise. Early in the 16th century this learned ecclesiastic dared publicly to arraign both the tyranny and superstition of the Romish Church: and, whatever might have been his original motives of action, it is certain that he was the great instrument, which Providence was pleased to use in the reformation of our Religion, and that through his 'unparalleled courage and perseverance

r See a short and excellent account of him in Cave's Hist. Lit. vol. ii. p. 240—250. Append.

Hist. Lit. vol. ii. p. 249—250. Append.

" Luther had as much courage as Alexander and
" Julius Cæsar put together." Jortin's Life of Erasmus,
vol. i. p. 258. He was, as Cave says, " vir si quis alius
" invicti atque imperterriti animi." Hist. Lit. vol. ii. App.
p. 250. Though he had the persidious and cruel treatment
of Huss before his eyes, he ventured to defend his cause in
an open disputation with the Romanists. What his danger
on the occasion was, we may infer from the reproaches,
with which the Emperor Charles loaded himself for having

those nations of Northern Europe, which had been converted to Christianity by the 'sword, were among the first who had an unrestrained access to the holy Scriptures.

From his time Christianity began to assume its genuine appearance. And so much were mankind pleased with the prospect, which now opened upon them, that Luther did not long stand forth the sole adversary of Papal corruption. He was soon joined by adherents, sufficient in number and influence to ensure, under Providence, the security of his person and the suture success of his cause. And though these, like former advocates for re-

ing fuffered him to retire afterwards under the folemn engagement which had been made for his personal safety. When the affairs of the poor Protestants about the year 1530 were fo bad in all appearance, that Melancthon was quite dejected and overwhelmed with forrow, Jortin fays (Life of Erasmus, vol. i. p. 500) that Luther, who had more courage, wrote him many excellent letters of confolation. It may be remarked even with regard to the violence, which appears to have been the consequence of Luther's undaunted fpirit, that it was really necessary under his circumstances. He could not have been supported against the Church of Rome, except by an open breach and force of arms. Had he fallen in with the mild and timid measures, so often recommended to him by Erafmus; the Reformation in Germany would foon have been stifled by a bloody inquisition, as it was in Spain and other countries.

forma-

s See the preceding Sermon.

formation, might differ from each other in particular tenets; yet, like those also, they universally agreed in the necessity of pulling down that fabrick of pride and superstition which had so long been venerated in the See of Rome.

Were it possible, within the limits of the present Discourse, to investigate the progress of the Reformation which ensued in disserent countries; the investigation would certainly furnish us with much useful entertainment. It would however at the same time furnish us with discoveries humiliating to the pride of man. We should find that some of the worst passions of our nature were exerted by injudicious zeal in the propagation of truth; and that persecutions of the most cruel kind were used to influence religious Faith, in a greater or less degree, by every "reformed Commu-

u Dean Tucker, in his Letters to Dr. Kippis, fays,—
(p. 31.) "frange as it is to tell, there was not a man of
"all the numerous Sects of Protestants at their first sepa"ration from the Church of Rome, who so far entered
"into the spirit of the Reformation, or was so far con"fistent with his own principles, as to allow to others the
"liberty which he claimed to himself." And (p. 32.) he
says farther, "the idea of being a consistent Protestant
"never entered into the head of any man for upwards of
seventy years after the Reformation began." Even Melancthon justified the burning of Servetus for heresy, or
blasphemy, as he calls it. Jortin's 4th Charge, Sermons,
vol. vii. p. 440.

nion. We should find also (to say nothing of the enormous opinions of "Socinus and his sollowers which were propagated on this occasion) that many of the bright ornaments of the Reformation were not able to disengage themselves from error in "important doctrines of our Religion; and that this was by no means effected even by Luther himself.

We know in our own country that heretics were not only put to death in the time of Henry the 8th, Edward the 6th, and Elizabeth; but also so late as in the 9th year of James the 1st. Fuller's Hist. Cent. xvII. p. 64.

Our law for burning heretics was first made in the reign of Hen. IV. Fuller, Cent. xv. p. 158. It was repealed in the year 1677. The repeal was moved for by the Duke of York. Collier's Eccl. Hist. vol. ii. p. 897.

w Lælius and Faustus Socinus were the founders of the Sect which bears their name. Lælius, having conceived a disgust against Popery, left Tuscany (his native land) in 1547: and after travelling into different countries, where the Reformation was going forward, he settled at Zurich in Switzerland, and died there in the year 1562, in the 37th year of his age. His religious sentiments, which during his life had been communicated to a sew friends only, were propagated in a more public manner afterwards by Faustus his nephew and heir, who is supposed to have drawn from his papers that religious system upon which the sect of Socinians is founded.

In Grotius's treatife "de Satisfactione" an answer is given to the arguments of Socinus against the doctrine of Christ's atonement. This treatise was attacked by Crellius, and again Crellius was very ably replied to by Bp. Stillingsleet.

* Concerning the Eucharift, and Predefination.

But

But my design will be accomplished and the limits of the present Discourse silled up, if, after this notice of the Reformation in general, I confine the following observations to our own Church, and consider its condition at the commencement of the Reformation, and the manner in which this Reformation was so introduced and perfected among ourselves, as to deliver down the profession of our Religion to us with all those peculiar advantages under which we have received it.

As error generally increases in proportion to its distance from its fountain, and to the number of channels through which it is conveyed; it is reasonable to expect that England should not only have partaken of all the Romish corruptions in common with the rest of Western Christendom, but also that it should have experienced more sensibly than other countries the burden of Papal superstition and oppression. And 'this appears in reality to have been at

Burnet in the preface to his History of the Reformation speaks of the Church of England as a Church, which, being subjected to the See of Rome, had been more than every other part of Europe most tame under its oppressions, and was most deeply drenched in its fupersition." P. 9. Bp. Newton says in his Dissertations, Works, vol. ii. p. 619. 4to. "England was once the paradise of Priess; here they swarmed and sixed like locusts: but the Reformation has freed us from that yoke." And Jortin asserts that "of all Protessant and antions

length the case. Our ancestors were not involved in equal corruptions of their Religion with the other members of the Romish Communion in the early parts of their History: but they appear to have surpassed all others in this unhappy respect during the three 'hundred years which immediately preceded the Reformation.

Christianity was professed at a very *early period by the Britons, and *flourished for many ages among them without *dependence upon any foreign Church, till Augustine the monk

"nations there is perhaps not one which hath suffered so much from Popery as ours, nor one which hath more reason to dread and abhor that Religion. England for fome centuries before the Reformation was the most Pope-ridden country in Christendom," &c. 3d Charge: Sermons, vol. vii. p. 406.

- z England had for above 300 years been the tamest part of "Christendom to the Papal Authority, and had been accordingly dealt with." Burnet's Hist. of the Ref. vol. i. p. 11.
- ^a See Stillingfleet's Orig. Britan. p. 35—48. Towards the end of this part of his work he produces arguments to prove that the Gospel was planted in Britain by St. Paul. The same is insisted on by Jewell—Works, p. 11.
- b Stillingsleet's Orig. Brit. p. 74. and Jewell's Works, p. 11, 12.
- c See Stillingfleet's Orig. Brit. p. 108—144, and p. 356—364: and also Bingham's Antiquities, b. ix. c. 1. sect. 11.

was fent from Rome in the dixth century to convert the Saxons. Before that time the Britons of both North and South Wales were diffinguished by eminent feminaries of Christian education, and, as it appears, of genuine Christianity. The Arian herefy had been soon suppressed among them.

And, on Augustine's sarrival, the poor British Christians were found enjoying God, the Gospel, and their mountains, neither regarding, nor acquainted with, the ceremonies which this missionary had brought with him.

d As is commonly supposed in the year 596. Johnson however in the presace to his Dictionary places it in the year 570. Hist. of the Engl. Lang. p. 1.

e Bangor in North Wales and Caerlion in South Wales were the two grand feminaries of Christianity in the 6th century. To these many of the learned at that time reforted for instruction. Fuller's Hist. Cent. vi. p. 40. Stillingsleet says of Bangor, "that men were bred up in it to "learning and devotion together; and so it more resembled our colleges than the Egyptian Monasteries." Orig. Brit. p. 205.

f See Stillingsleet's Orig. Brit. p. 175. Fuller's Hist. Cent. v. p. 27. and Collier's Eccl. Hist. vol. i. p. 37.

Though Bede says, l. i. c. 8. that Arianism had infected Britain, as well as other places; yet Smith in his note on the passage subjoins, " E testimoniis Constantini, Athanasii, Hilarii, &c. abunde patet episcopos Britanniæ sidei orthodoxæ semper adhæsisse." Smith's Edit. of Bede, p. 47.

g Fuller's Hist. Cent. v1. p. 57, &c.

When

When he affembled a council of the British and Saxon Bishops, the former bishclaimed all knowledge of the Bishop of Rome and all dependence upon him. Their religious institutions also were rational and simple: they had the use of the pure bishcry of the old Gallican Church; had no bimage-worship; and no Monks, but such as were all of the most ancient and temperate order.

h Fuller's Hist. Cent. VII. p. 61. The answer of the Abbot of Bangor to Augustine is well worthy of our particular attention both on account of the Spirit of Christian charity, and the spirit of independence, which are conspicuous in it. "Notum sit et absque dubitatione vobis quod nos omnes sumus et quilibet nostrum obe-dientes et subditi ecclesiæ Dei et Papæ Romæ, et uni-cuique vero et pio Christiano ad amandum unumquemque in suo gradu in persecta charitate; et ad juvandum unumquemque eorum verbo et sacto sore silios Dei: Et aliam obedientiam quam istam non scio debitam in quem vos nominatis esse Papam, nec esse patrem patrum, vindicari et postulari, et istam obedientiam nos sumus parati dare et solvere ei et cuique Christiano continuo." Spelman's Concilia, tom. i. p. 108, 109.

i See Stillingfleet's Orig. Britan. from p. 216. to the end of the fourth Chapter.

k Image-worship was not introduced into England before the 8th century: and then it was subjected to restraints. See Spelman's Council, tom. i. p. 218. Collier's Eccl. Hist. vol. i. p. 122, and 214. See also in the preceding Sermon what is said on the manner in which England, France, &c. received this worship.

¹ In Fuller's Hift. b. vi. p. 267. may be feen a declaration very elegantly composed and figned by those four L 4 great

Even their Saxon conquerors appear to have partaken afterwards in some degree of the liberty and purity of the British Christians. The venerable Bede paid no implicit submission to the Romish Pontiss. And in the 10th and 11th centuries, when hardly any traces of Britons were left in England, the Papal power was received with limitation among our ancestors, the Scriptures were generally read by them, (notwithstanding what is said to have been the original and contrary intention of the Romish Missionaries,) and our Religion was less corrupted in various respects than that of the neighbouring Kingdoms. William himself, though he con-

great men, Robt. Cotton, John Selden, Hen. Spelman, and Wm. Camden, in which they maintain that there had been only two orders of Monks in England, one the Egyptian which flourished before the time of Augustine, the other the Benedictine which flourished afterwards.

Of the Egyptian Monks Eusebius speaks very highly. Eccl. Hist. 1. ii. c. 17. Sozomen also says of them, that they were remarkably strenuous in their opposition to Arianism. Eccl. Hist. 1. vi. c. 20.

m Bede, though often fent for by the Bishops of Rome, never went to them, which is a proof that he neither thought it necessary to obey those Bishops, nor that there was any particular fanctity in Rome. Fuller's Hist. Cent. VIII. p. 98.

n See Cave's Hist. Lit. vol. ii. p. 110. Fuller's Hist. p. 149. and Bp. Newton on the Prophesies, vol. iii. p. 166.

O See extract from Fleury in Jortin's Rem. vol. v. p. 174.

quered

quered under the banners of the Church, refused to submit to any act of fealty to the Pope: indeed he is said to have gone so far, as to regulate the power both of the Archbishop of Canterbury and the Pope. In the succeeding reigns also the Pope's power among us was often restrained by interpositions of the civil authority.

But though our early ancestors were exempt from religious corruption beyond the common standard of their times; and though temporal protection was not wanting in later periods to support both our Church in general, and also many of its particular members who were labouring to promote the interests of true Christianity; yet the power of the Romish Church universally prevailed at the conclusion of our different contests with it after the tra-

gical

P'Fuller's Hift. Cent. x1. p. 4. Collier's Eccl. Hift. vol. i. p. 238. and Mosheim, vol. ii. p. 473. 496.

⁹ By the constitutions of Clarendon under Hen. II. By the statute against forestalling presentations under Edw. III. and the præmunire annexed to it 16 Ric. II.

r Wickliff, when twice fummoned by the Archbishop to answer for his doctrines, was rescued from condemnation by the interposition of the nobles, and having sinished his translation of the vulgate Bible, he died in peace. Fuller, Cent. xIV. p. 136—7. Under the protection of Lord Berkeley, John de Trevisa translated also the Old Testament in the 14th century. Fuller, p. 151. and Cave's Hist. Lit. vol. ii. Sæc. Wickl. p. 58.

gical end of 'Becket's violence; and its 'corruptions of every kind went on increasing among us to such an enormous degree, as to give our ancestors in the days of Luther peculiar reason to rejoice at the prospect which now opened upon them of a reformed and pure Religion.

However, at the commencement of the Reformation, the forward and "repeated zeal with which "Henry the Eighth undertook the defence of the established superstition, and the literary", as well as 'religious credit, which he

- ² Erasmus in his Epistles seems to ascribe the great power and wealth of the English Ecclesiastics to the death of Thomas of Becket. Jortin's Life of Erasmus, vol. i, p. 37. And the exorbitant influence of the Papal power among us may be properly dated from the same event.
 - See Strype's Memorials, vol. i. p. 46. and p. 382.
- u The King wrote two letters to Luther. Strype's Mem. vol. i. p. 58. The fecond letter was a haughty reply to Luther, when he apologized for the offence given by a letter written in answer to the King's first. Jortin's Life of Erasmus, vol. i. p. 395.
- w Erasmus discusses the question, whether Hen. VIII. was really and "proprio marte" the author of the Book against Luther, and he inclines to the affirmative: not denying, however, that he might have had the affistance of some learned men. Jortin's Life of Erasmus, vol. i. p. 486.
- Erasmus pays Henry VIII. very high compliments on his genius. Strype's Append. of Rec. Memorials, vol. i. p. 274.
- y Burnet says K. Henry was brought to fancy his book was written with some degree of inspiration. Pref. Hist. Ref. vol. i. p. 6.

acquired

acquired from thence, left little reason to hope that his subjects would derive any distinguished advantage from the new and prevailing spirit of religious inquiry.

But the Almighty, who often makes the felf-interested designs of men redound to his glory, soon unexpectedly opened the King's eyes with regard to the usurpations of the Romish Pontiff.

The refusal of a dispensation to himself soon led the disappointed Prince to inquire into the Pope's right to grant such favours. And inquiry, once fet on foot, found too much encouragement to be easily dropped or to be confined to a fingle article. This and the other foreign claims of the Romish Church were discovered, on particular examination, to be destitute of support from either reason or revelation. On this occasion nothing less than a total disavowal of the Papal power, and the Romish communion, might immediately have been expected; if those prejudices of Henry, which at first had well-nigh prevented all reformation among us, had not now interpoled for useful purposes; if they had not interposed to restrain the ardour and vehemence to which religious innovations are eminently liable, and thus laid the foundation of our present Church establishment.

It must be confessed indeed that many circumstances

cumstances in the three next reigns contributed to strengthen and confirm the religious fystem, which was adopted by the Church of England at the commencement of the Reformation; and that our reformers had an invaluable advantage in choosing their doctrines from not having been engaged in personal altercation with the chief champions for either the Romanists or their opponents. But, it is no less true also, that the particular character of our Reformation is principally to be ascribed to Henry's determined prepossession, to the very last, in favour of the Romish tenets.-Whilst the six articles, which were enforced by him with fo much cruelty, proved decifively that this King's efforts and wishes for an alienation from the Church of Rome went no farther than was conducive to his own power or profit; they operated at the same time as the most effectual restraint on all hasty avowals of new opinions in Religion.

It is a just observation that extremes generate each other: and never was the observation more literally verified, than in the reformation which commonly took place in *foreign coun-

* See Dean Tucker's Letters to Dr. Kippis.

trics.

A full and admirable account of the principles and proceedings of these reformers may be seen in the presace to Hooker's Eccl. Pol. from p. 19. to p. 22. Works, Folio Ed. 1666.

tries. Men had been hindered by the most cruel oppression from inquiring into the Scriptures. When therefore the light of truth broke in at once upon them, its glare was too strong for their fight. The full religious liberty, to which they were at once admitted. led them to excesses as fatal as those of the Church of Rome, though in the opposite extreme. Every thing, which bore a resemblance to that Church, was at blength abolished as Antichristian. Nor were institutions tried by their own intrinsic merit, but by the affinity which they bore to the Romish usages. I speak not of those wild Fanatics, who, under the name of Anabaptists, did so much injury to the cause of the Reformation about the time of its commencement, and who doubtless ought not to be ranked under any class of reformers. Even 'Luther had often to lament the

b "Every later Church endeavoured to be certain de-"grees more removed from conformity with the Church "of Rome than the rest before had been." Pref. Hooker's Eccl. Pol. p. 2.

c Mosheim, vol. iv. p. 315. Luther also cannot be supposed to write concerning the Anabaptist or Mennonite associates of Munzer, but men of his own communion; when he requests of Frederic, Duke of Tuscany, that the enthusiasts, concerning whom he writes, "might be fast vourably dealt with and spared: for that (their error excepted) they seemed otherwise right good men." Hooker's Eccl. Pol. Præf. p. 21. To the same effect the Romanists urge against Luther, however inconclusively,

enthusiastic errors of his followers: and dCalvin was himself so intemperate, that he particularly cautions Bucer against middle or moderate councils.

While therefore others went on with enthuliasm and immoderate excess in their reformation, Henry's prejudices and overbearing disposition seasonably interposed to counterbalance any such spirit in his dominions, and unintentionally produced among us an unprecedented calmness of deliberation upon subjects of religious controversy.

The gprincipal points, established and benforced against the Romish Church in his reign, after the dissolution of those monastic bodies

that his doctrine had raised so many sects and seditions within the eighteen years, during which it had been published, that it could not come from God. Father Paul's Hist. of the Council of Trent, p. 70.

- d Calvin warns Martin Bucer in a letter fent to him, before his coming into England, "against being the aus" thor or adviser of middle councils; by which words he plainly strikes at the moderation observed in the Eng-"lish Reformation." Wheatly on the Common Prayer, 8vo. p. 112.
- e See, on this subject in general, Hume's Hist. of England, vol. iii. p. 122, &c. 4to Ed.
 - f Burnet's Hist. Ref. vol. k p. 255 and 289.
 - g Burnet's Pref. to his Hist. Ref.
- h In the reign of Hen. VIII. many of the errors of popery were laid open, and condemned in the "Pia et Ca-" tholica Inflitutio," or, as it was called, when republished fix years after, "the Kings Book" or "the necessary "Erudition:"

which had for many ages been powerful means of supporting the Papal pretensions, were the disavowal of the Pope's supremacy in these Kingdoms, and of his infallibility; the acknowledgment of a right in every national Church, with the concurrence of its head or civil ruler, to examine into and reform all religious errors and corruptions, whether in Doctrine or Discipline: and, what might be designed to operate as the means of vindicating these infringements on the former government of the Church, the permission of the Scriptures in the vulgar tongue.

But, whatever might be the political defign of this permission of the Scriptures, it operated to the best religious purposes. It was the one great thing wanted in the darker ages: and, joined to the permission, which was also superadded in the same reign, of some of the principal parts of the Liturgy in the vulgar tongue, effectually promoted both the knowledge and the practice of true Christianity. From these points, *established and enforced

[&]quot;Erudition;" but none were obliged to affent to it. Burnet on the Articles, Fol. p. 5. Strype's Memor. vol. i. p. 378 and 381.

i See Wheatly on the Common Prayer. And Burnet's Hist. Ref. vol. i. p. 226 and 249.

k "Many attempts were made by Gardiner and others "to induce the King to deprive the people again of the "use of the Scriptures. But Henry the 8th was fully "resolved

by the most 'determined authority, a general reformation was soon to be expected. The absurdation and impieties of the Romish Church cannot long remain undiscovered by those, who have access to the Scriptures, and who are at liberty to use their own judgment in the interpretation of them.

The succeeding reign, being an entire minority, happily required in the Regents much deliberation before any great alterations were to be made in the old Religion. Happily also the influence of the regal power was sufficient to restrain the effects of any "contrary inclination in others. At the time therefore when the rulers of our Church were most engaged in its reformation, and most exposed to danger from the "advice as well as the example of foreign reformers, they made no "rash advances."

[&]quot; refolved to go through with it." Burnet's Hift. Ref. vol. i. p. 314.

¹ It has been remarked that Hen. VIII. refembled Luther in the firmness and inflexibleness of his mind. No other frame of mind could have supported them in the different reformations which they attempted.

m There were among our countrymen in the days of Edw. VI. many who wished to run into the excesses of foreign reformers: but they appear to have been neither violent nor troublesome, when compared with others of the same principles in subsequent times.

ⁿ See, as before, Wheatly on the Common Prayer, p. 112. 8vo.

[•] It was defigned by Cranmer and his friends to carry

The doctrines of the Romish Church, which had been much and dispassionately peanvassed in the preceding reign, were again examined by men of learning, of judgment, and of candour: and those among them, which were inconsistent with genuine Christianity, were gradually rejected; transubstantiation, which was among the latest corruptions of the Romish Church and which had made the most durable impression on the minds both of men of glearn-

on the reformation by flow degrees, not hazarding too much at once. Burnet's Hist. Ref. vol. ii. p. 25.

See a proclamation against those who should rashly innovate, or persuade people from the old accustomed rites. Burnet's Hist. Ref. vol. ii. p. 59. Strype's Mem. vol. ii. p. 83.

The preachers also were urged to use caution and moderation: not to set the people on to make innovation: and for things not yet changed to wait patiently. Burnet's Hist. Ref. vol. ii. p. 61, 64, 65.

But it is impossible that the principles of the English reformation should be better described than they are by Bp. Jewell in his admirable Apology for our Church: "Nos quidem (says he) uti diximus de mutanda religione "nihil temere aut insolenter, nihil niss cunctanter et magna cum deliberatione secimus, &c. p. 155. See also Jewell's Epist. de Concil. Trident. § 32.

- P They had many learned men among them who had been "examining these matters many years." Burnet's Hist. Ref. vol. ii. p. 26.
- 9 Luther was a constant advocate for transubstantiation, or at least for consubstantiation.

Cranmer and Ridley were themselves in the dark concerning the corporal presence, till Bertram's book first m convinced ing and of the 'people in general, being referved for the last 'object of our Reformation.

In the mean time also the 'opposition, permitted to the Romanists at home, was of no inconsiderable service to the cause against which it was levelled; since alterations were not made, till the reasonableness and necessity of them had been fully and openly proved. And if, under such circumstances, our reformers were obliged to contend for every step as they proceeded; they and their successors derived this advantage at least from the laborious

convinced Ridley. Burnet's Pref. to Hist. Ref. vol. i. p. 10.

Thus Ridley also himself, after speaking in his "Pro"testatio" very highly of Bertram, says, "hic mihi pri"mus aurem vulsit et a pervulgato Ecclesiæ Romanensis
"errore ad diligentiorem Scripturæ et veterum ecclesiasti"corum hac in re (scil. de præsentia reali) investigationem
"primus ire coegit."

r Burnet fays that on account of the prejudices of the people it was necessary to open the doctrine of transub-stantiation fully before any change was made in the doctrine of the Church. Hist. Ref. vol. ii. p. 104.

Again he says, "as to the corporal presence in the Sa"crament there were disputes for a year together in K.
"Edward's time before there was any determination
"made." Hist. Ref. vol. ii. p. 267. See also p. 110.

- s Hume fays it was the last doctrine of Popery which was wholly abandoned by the people; affigning the reasons why it was so. Hist. of Engl. vol. iii. p. 319. 4to. Edit.
- t Strype's Memor. vol. ii. p. 84 and 208, Burnet's Hist. Ref. vol. ii. p. 105.

conten-

contention, that the ground, thus gained, was more easily tenable in future times.

While the English Reformation was thus gradually matured, the fuperintenders of this great work were careful to make known the different parts of their new establishment. Having finally separated themselves from the Church of Rome, through which they traced back their origin to the apostolical age; it was necessary that they should prove themselves to be a visible Church; and, agreeably to the "precepts of the Apostles and the practice of all Christian societies, that they should institute rites and ceremonies, a public form of worship, and particular modes of instruction. fince the Scriptures are not fo explicit in all points, as to preclude all pernicious doctrines; and the authoritative interpretations of the Church of Rome had been disclaimed; it was necessary also that certain doctrinal expositions and decisions should be set forth to direct the consciences and to guard the faith of the members of their community.

Somewhat of this fort had been publicly done by the foreign Protestants in different "confessions of their Faith.

In the Church of England it was done by

¹ 1 Cor. xiv. 40.

w That of Ausburgh and others: see "Corpus et Syn-"tagma Confessionum.". Genevæ 1612. the

the *Liturgy, the 'Catechism, the 'Homilies,' and the 'Articles, which were authoritatively set forth in the reign of Edward the Sixth. By means of all these whatever appeared to be expedient to an established and national profession of our religion, in addition to the

* Our Liturgy may be faid to have commenced in the year 1537, when Henry the Eighth permitted the Convocation to fet forth the Lord's Prayer, Ave Maria, the Creed, the Ten Commandments, and the feven Sacraments in the vulgar tongue. Many of the additions made to complete it, which are erroneously supposed to have been taken by the reformers from the Romanists, were, as Stillingsleet says, adopted by both the Romanists and our reformers from the old Gallican Liturgy. Orig. Brit. p. 231.

And from Cave we may learn that our Service for the Sacrament of the Lord's Supper is nearly the same in substance, as that which was used in the primitive Church. See Cave's Prim. Christ. vol. i. chap. 11.

Our Liturgy was not completed in any degree before the year 1547, when it was published under Edward the Sixth. See a particular account of the alterations since made in it, &c. in Wheatly. See also concerning it Strype's Memor. vol. ii. p. 85.

- y See Strype's Memor. vol. ii. p. 32, 368, 420. We are not however to suppose that the original Catechism contained all that is found in our Catechism at present. With the exposition of the Lord's Prayer "ended the "Catechism in all the Common Prayer Books before that of K. James the First, who after the Conference at Hampton Court ordered this latter part concerning the Sacraments to be added." See Dr. Nicholls on the Common Prayer in loc.
 - ² See Burnet's Hist. Ref. vol. ii. p. 27.
 - * See the same, p. 209. of the Records.

unrestrained

unrestrained use of the Scriptures, was abundantly supplied. The great duties and principles of Christianity were laid down; and the important errors, as well of the Romanists as of the more violent Protestants both at home and abroad, were particularly guarded against.

At the same time the Episcopal government, which had prevailed from the earliest ages of Christianity, was preserved inviolate: and our reformers conspicuously displayed their moderation by retaining various parts of the old Liturgies, and as many of the more important usages and ceremonies of the Church. as were innocent and conducive to order and decency; a moderation, which at once reftrained the ardour of innovation among their own countrymen, and proved to all mankind, that they were defirous to retain whatever was venerable in ecclefiaftical antiquity, and to join with the Romanists not only in essential doctrines of Christianity, but also in ball those matters of Church worship which are in any respect useful and decorous.

Our Reformation, having proceeded thus far

м 3

in

b See the rule which the reformers laid down to change nothing for novelty's fake. Burnet's Hift. Ref. vol. ii. p. 73.

See also an excellent account and vindication of the proceedings of the Church of England in this respect in Hooker's Eccl. Pol. b. iv. c. 14.

in the reign of the fixth Edward, was foon after checked in its progress. The reign of Mary was distinguished by a reestablishment of Popish superstition. But the continuance of her reign was too short to root out the zeal for religious purity, which had so widely extended itself among us. Short however as it was, it involved our Church in many immediate evils, and laid the foundation of numberless others which we were afterwards to experience.

The bloody persecutions, which raged at that time, were without doubt most sensibly felt during their continuance. But the most extensive evils of those cruelties will be discovered in their remote consequences; in the alteration made in the opinions of some of our English divines concerning predestination, and other concomitant and important doctrines; and in the invincible aversion from our Church which afterwards prevailed among many of its former members.

Our Liturgy, Catechism, and Homilies, the treatises drawn up for the instruction of the people and the reformation of the ecclesiastical laws under the authority of Henry the Eighth and Edward the Sixth, and the private writings of our original reformers themselves, all prove decisively, that they 'sided with Erasmus and

c Bishop Bull says, "a Calvini cum disciplina tum doctrina (qua parte ipse a Melancthone aliisque instaurati "purioris

Melancthon, and not with Luther or Calvin, in the doctrines which relate to the divine decrees.

Indeed, our Articles, which have not been amaterially altered in this respect, prove also

" purioris Christianismi magistris antiquioribus abierit) " prorsus alienos fuisse reformationis nostræ auctores satis "constat, &c." Apol. pro Harmon. p. 57. And the Paraphrase of Erasmus, who wrote against Luther on the doctrine of predestination, is known to have been placed in our churches for the instruction of the people by both Edward the Sixth and Elizabeth. What Mosheim says on the subject ought to be applied to our first reformers: for it was by them that the doctrine and discipline of our Church were modelled. "If we confider, fays he, the " genius and spirit of the Church of England during this " period (the beginning of the 17th century) we shall " plainly fee that the doctrine of the Gomarists concerning " predefination and grace could not meet there with a "favourable reception; fince the leading doctors of that "Church were zealous in modelling its doctrines and dif-"cipline after the sentiments and institutions, that were " received in the primitive times; and fince those early " fathers, whom they followed with a profound fubmif-"fion, had never prefumed before Augustine to set limits "to the extent of the divine grace and mercy." Eccl. Hist. vol. v. p. 369, 370.

See also Collier's Eccl. Hist. vol. ii. p. 645 and 648: and for the whole of the question concerning the opinions of our first reformers on the divine decrees, see Heylyn's Hist. of the Quinquarticular controversy. Tracts, p. 541—588. See moreover able vindications of our first reformers on the subject before us in Dr. Nowell's Reply to Pietas Oxoniensis, Dean Tucker's Letters to Dr. Kippis, and Dr. Winchester's Dissertation on the 17th Article.

d See Burnet's Hist. Ref. vol. ii. Records, p. 209.

the

the same. For whatever 'latitude of interpretation the first part of the 17th Article may admit, our original reformers vindicated their own opinion on the doctrine of predestination by the concluding clause of this Article; 'a clause, which undoubtedly is not to be construed in a Calvinistic sense, and which from the beginning has been justly deemed to convey the determination of the Church of England on this important doctrine; as in sact was a declared and admitted in the religious conference,

e This was admitted principally to gratify Bucer and Peter Martyr.

f It refers immediately to a paragraph in the Saxonic Confession published by Melancthon in the same year, in which our Articles were first published, which paragraph is proved decisively to be Arminian by the words which follow. See the Saxonic Confession, p. 84. in the "Cor-" pus et Syntagma Confessionum." Genevæ 1612.

8 Bishop Bancroft at the conference in the first year of James the First declared expressly that the doctrine of the Church of England on the subject of predesination was contained in this concluding clause. And what makes his declaration the more worthy of observation is, that he was one of the three Bishops who signed the Lambeth Articles; (Archbishop Whitgist and Vaughan Bishop of Bangor being the other two, and Hutton Archbishop of York agreeing with them.) See Fuller's Church Hist. Book ix. p. 230. To this declaration K. James assented. Fuller's Hist. b. x. p. 11. See also to this effect Collier's Eccl. Hist. vol. ii. p. 676.

Correspondently with this Burnet says, "that the "Church of England has wisely avoided the splitting as a sunder on the high points of divine decrees, which

held in the first year of the next reign after the final establishment of these Articles.

But the Lambeth Articles, which were proposed as an addition to the established Articles of our Church, and the subscription of our delegates to the decisions of the Synod of Dort, prove that Calvin's tenets concerning the divine decrees obtained afterwards for a time among fome of the rulers of our Church. This change of religious opinion is 'justly reckoned in the number of the evils, which refulted from the refidence of many zealous members of our Communion during Queen Mary's perfecution among the advocates for absolute predestination; and to this very day is found both to have a pernicious influence on the faith of some of our injudicious brethren, and to give apparent fanction to the

malevolent

[&]quot;have broken so many of the reformed beyond sea; but in these has lest divines to the freedom of their own opinion." Pref. vol. ii. Hist. Ref. p. 15.

h Our subsequent divines were brought back to the opinions of our first reformers on predestination &c. by Archbishop Laud and Bishop Bull. Waterland ascribes it to the writings of the latter. Defence, Fref. p. 9.

i Strype in his Life of Archbishop Whitgift says, "that till about the year 1595 Calvin's way of explaining "the divine decrees was not entertained by many learned "men in the University of Cambridge: but these opi- "nions were introduced by some of our Divines who during the persecution under Queen Mary had sled to Ge- "neva, Zurich," &c. p. 435.

malevolent and *general farcasms of our enemies.

Other remote and pernicious consequences of the persecution of Queen Mary discover themselves in the invincible aversion from our Church, which prevailed among many of its members who had been obliged during her reign to seek for shelter in foreign countries; and who, when the storm which drove them from their home had spent its sury, returned not with any affection for their old Communion. They had been driven from their country by the persecuting spirit of the Romish Church; they naturally therefore carried with them a hatred of this Church; and the ex-

k When Mr. Gibbon farcastically censures the first reformers for their tenets concerning predestination, hiscensures are qualified by no exceptions, vol. v. p. 537. It may be remarked with regard to the doctrine of predestination, that the discredit of it ought never to bethrown by our adversaries exclusively, or even principally, on the reformers. Though, as Bishop Bull very justly fays, "it was never thought of for the four first ages," nor even after that time by the Greek Church; yet it appears to have been part of the creed of the leading men in the Romish Communion from the time of its great advocate Augustine to that of the Reformation. It interfered however too evidently with the doctrine of merit to be openly taught by them in the later ages. See Jortin's Life of Erasmus, vol. i. p. 335; Dean Tucker's Letters to Dr. Kippis, p. 79; and also Heylyn's Reformation justified. Tracts, p. 510.

ample

ample of foreign reformers, adding force and virulence to their private refentments, left them on their return no charity for any establishment which bore the most distant resemblance to it.

These men formed in process of time the original class of avowed Dissenters among us, and from their own form of Church-government have been 'called Presbyterians. During their exile they expressed an ardent desire to malter our Liturgy, and to reduce it to a conformity with that of the French Protestants; though there is no reason to expect that their objections to our Liturgy would have been obviated by this alteration: and, such was

1 They were before called Puritans from their refusal to subscribe to our Articles &c. and their affectation of superior purity. There were indeed men of this fort among us in the days of Edw. the Sixth; but the name of Puritans was not given them before the fixth of Elizabeth. Fuller's Church Hist. Cent. xvi. p. 76.

Cambden in his Life of Elizabeth fays, they showed themselves openly in the tenth year of her reign. P. 107. 3d Ed. fol.

m Fuller's Church Hist. Cent. xvi. p. 27: and Collier's Eccl. Hist. vol. ii. p. 392—6. Attempts of this kind were made at Frankfort; and attempts of a similar nature were made also, about the same time, at other places.—See Bale's Letter from Basil in Strype's Memor. vol. iii. p. 107.

n Mr. Bingham has proved, that whatever objections are urged by the Diffenters against the Church of England hold also against the French Church in "the French "Church's

the fuperiority of our own inftitutions, that one of high character, who was well acquainted with that Liturgy, is known to have declared foon after, upon a view of our folemn fervice and ceremonies, "that if the reformed "Churches in France had kept the fame order, there would have been thousands of Protestants more.

Not plong after the return of these exiles they proceeded to erect a new form of Church-government and constituted a regular Presbytery. But how little they were disposed to agree among themselves in any form of divine worship, which might be substituted in the room of the established Liturgy, is well ascertained by the infinite variety of opinion sound among them, when they were requested by

the

[&]quot;Church's Apology for the Church of England." Works, vol. ii. fol. 726.

o Bancroft Bishop of London says to K. James at the Conference in the first year of his reign, "may it please your Majesty to remember the speech of the French ambassador, Monsieur Rognée," (afterwards the famous Duke de Sully,) "upon the view of our solemn Service and Ceremonies," &c. Fuller's Church Hist. Cent. xvii. p. 13. See also Collier's Eccl. Hist. vol. ii. p. 677.

P This was done at Wandsworth in Surry in the year 1573. Collier's Eccl. Hist. vol. ii. p. 541. Fuller calls it the firstborn of all Presbyteries in England: and says (Cent. xvi. p. 103.), that "fecundum usum Wandsworth" was as much honoured by the Presbyterians, as "fecundum usum Sarum" had been by the Romanists.

the great ^q Statesman of that age to draw up such a Liturgy, as they could recommend and approve in all its parts.

Whether it arose from an irreconcilable disagreement among themselves concerning a Liturgy, or solely from their hatred of the Church of Rome, they soon expressed an aversion from our establishment not only on account of the authority over the Church, which it gives to the 'King as the supreme magistrate, and its 'Episcopal form of government; but also on account of its admission of 'fet forms of

9 Burleigh. See Fuller's Church Hist. Cent. xvi. p. 178.

The intention of the Presbyterians, as Bancrost argues, was to transfer the Pope's supremacy to their Presbyteries. Collier's Eccl. Hist. vol. ii. p. 610.

Though even Knox (as K. James fays) condescended to write to the Queen Regent of Scotland, as soon as Mary had overturned the Reformation in England, informing her that she was the supreme head of the Church. Collier's Hist. vol. ii. p. 682.

- s See Camden's Life of Elizabeth, p. 420.
- t The Presbyterian mode of worship seems to have been taken from the alternative permitted under Knox's, or the old, Liturgy in Scotland; to which it is subjoined: "It "shall not be necessary for the ministers daily to repeat all these things before-mentioned; but beginning with some manner of confession to proceed to the sermon: which ended, he either useth the prayer for all estates, or else prayeth as the Spirit of God shall move his heart, framing the same according to the time and manner which he hath entreated of." Collier's Hist. vol. ii. p. 56%.

prayer:

prayer: they moreover expressed offence at the habits of the Clergy, the use of Churchmusic, the sign of the Cross "prescribed in the office of baptism, and various other such circumstances; insisting that the Church of England ought to conform in all respects to the usages of foreign Protestants, and proceeding by degrees to a rage for innovation which was scarcely exceeded by the wildest enthusiasm of antecedent reformers.

To guard therefore that most invaluable moderation, with which we were so peculiarly blessed at the commencement of our reformation, it was found necessary after the restoration of our Church under Elizabeth to provide it with new barriers against the enthusiasm of innovation. This was done by alterations made in the Articles, by the Canons which were enforced during the reign of

See also Burnet's Hist. of Ref. vol. ii. p. 376, 378, 381, 407.

Eliza-

u Dean Tucker infifts in his Letters to Dr. Kippis that the fign of the cross is used with us, after, not at, baptism: and says, that he thinks all the loud clamours of the Diffenters against our ceremonies terminate in this and the act of kneeling at the Sacrament. P. 23.

w The steps, taken by Q. Elizabeth to restore and perfect the reformation among us, were conducted by the same kind of deliberation which prevailed in her brother's reign. See what Camden says very particularly on the subject—Life of Eliz. p. 31.

Elizabeth, and by the requisition of a strict conformity to the ecclesiastical establishment.

The *alterations, made at that time in the Articles, were not fucceeded, like 'those made at the same time in the Liturgy, that other distinguished part of our system, by new alterations at subsequent periods in order to advance our established forms to their present state of excellence: but these Articles have thenceforward remained the same bunvaried compendium of our national Faith. As Bp. Bull fays, "they are not proposed as effentials " of Religion, without which no man can be " faved: these are supposed to be contained in " the old Creeds, and therefore the old Creeds " are made parts of our Liturgy and are to "be joined in by all."—We are to confider the Articles, as a fummary of our Religion, dealculated to preferve union and peace among all the members of our Church, and to afcertain and regulate the belief and doctrines of

thofe

[≖] Gibson's Codex, Pref. p. 10.

y Camden's Life of Eliz. p. 191-2 and 288.

z These alterations were made by learned and moderate divines. Camden's Life of Eliz. p. 16.

^a See an account of the subsequent alterations of the Liturgy in Wheatly.

b Burnet's Hist. Ref. vol. ii. p. 195.

c Bull's Vindication of the Church of England, 8vo. p. 217.

d Burnet on the Articles, fol. p. 6-8.

those among us who are intrusted with the care of public instruction.

As these Articles are the great medium, through which, under the Scriptures, our Religion is delivered down to us; it may form an useful part of the present plan, if I endeavour to represent in a connected manner the general substance of them: since such a representation of their contents must at once evince, that they in reality comprehend nothing more, than the doctrines of Scripture, expressed so as to ascertain our own Faith, and guarded by particular declarations against the dangerous opinions of others.

They begin with the principal of those great doctrines of our Religion, which were preserved entire during the darker ages: they begin with 'afferting not only that all things were created and are preserved by one all-perfect God, but also that three Persons are comprehended under the Unity of the divine nature. They then 'proceed to affert—that the Son or second Person is really God, being begotten of the Father by an eternal generation;—that to redeem us from our fallen condition he vouchsafed to assume human nature into the same person with his own;—that our Redeemer or Christ, being thus compounded of

e Art. i. f Art. 2.

the

the divine and human nature, died for our fins, descended to the regions below, and afterwards rose again and ascended into heaven, there to remain till he returns to be our judge;—that the Holy Ghost or third Person, by an incomprehensible derivation of existence, different from the generation of the Son, proceeded from the two other Persons of the Godhead and is also himself really and eternally God.

Going on to the Scriptures, that great repofitory of our Religion which was now happily laid open again for general use, the Articles of our Church insist on the sufficiency of 'Scripture to salvation, to the exclusion undoubtedly of all intermixture of philosophical speculation, no less than of all Romish tradition: they state separately the Canonical and the other books; and are careful not to diminish, with the Church of Rome, the authority of divine Inspiration by extending its infallibility to the Apocryphal writings: they then affirm the 'consistency of the Old Testament with the New, with regard to eternal life no less than other doctrines; and the eternal obligation of its moral precepts.

Having adopted the same three "Creeds

8 Art. 3.,	h Art. 4.	i Art. 5.
k Art. 6.	¹ Art. 7.	m Art. 8.
•	M	with

with the Romish Church, our Articles proceed to the great reason, which made a Redeemer necessary for us, and to the parts of our Religion which are intimately connected with it.

In mentioning the fall of Adam they say nothing of any guilt immediately derived to us from it: they say only, that, human nature being in consequence of this fall inherently "corrupt and such as would of itself merit the wrath and "damnation of God, the grace of God, to be derived to us through Christ, is necessary to excite in us, and to cooperate with our own pwill in perfecting, such a faith and conduct as will render us acceptable to God;—that the merits of Christ, and

Doubtless, it was not without very particular design that the word "eternal" was here omitted by our reformers: as we must infer also with regard to every similar omission, where a doctrine has been long canvassed and the force of any question concerning it depends upon the part which is omitted. This observation applies not less powerfully to our 17th than to our 9th Article.

not

n Art. 9.

[•] As the corruption, here spoken of, is said to extend to every man born into the world; our Article only afferts that it merits the wrath and damnation (or condemnation) of God; and not, as it is expressed in the confessions of Ausburgh, Saxony, and Wirtemburgh, and implied in all the other Protestant confessions on the subject, "his "eternal damnation." See "Corpus et Syntagma Confessionum" published at Geneva in the year 1612.

P Art. 10.

not our own works, are the cause of this our acceptableness, or justification, with God, and Faith the sole means by which we apply these justifying merits to ourselves; a faith however, which does not supersede, but on the contrary necessarily produces, and sanctifies, good works

9 Art. 11.

Art. 12. The fervour, which led many of the more violent reformers to the opposite extreme from the Church of Rome in other doctrines, had the same effect with regard to justification. In opposition to what was commonly taught of the merit of works in the Romish Church, these reformers insisted so entirely on justification by faith alone, as to exclude any confequent necessity of good works. The learned and judicious Dr. Redmayn was commanded by Cranmer to inquire into this subject, and to write a treatise upon it. In this treatise Dr. R. fays, "that in those Scriptures, where it is said we are "justified by faith, we may not think we are justified by " faith, as it is a separate virtue from hope, and charity, " fear of God, and repentance; but by it is meant faith, " neither only nor alone, but with the foresaid virtues "coupled together, containing obedience to the whole "doctrine and religion of Christ. But for the definition " of faith, which some proposed, as if there was a certain-"ty that one was predestinated, they found nothing of it "either in the Scriptures or the Doctors, and thought that "it could not be known." Burnet's Hist. Ref. vol. i. p. 287 -8. See also concerning justification the Articles drawn up in Henry the Eighth's reign-Appendix to Strype's Memor. vol. i. p. 301: and the 4th Article concerning Justification, Strype's Memor. vol. iii. p. 141. See moreover the Homily on Salvation which must be referred to Justification. Indeed that the English reformers meant to represent the necessity of good works in the most forcible

N 2

manner

in us; though at the same time these good works, being persected in their principle and rendered acceptable to God through this saith only, are without it to be considered as essentially desective, or as partaking of sin;—that works of 'supererogation are arrogant conceits; since, even if we do all that is commanded us, we are unprofitable servants, and Christ "only has done what was commanded;—that our sins, as well "after as before Baptism, are pardonable; man being at all times exposed to sin, and through the grace of God capable of repentance and reformation.

The Articles then fay nothing of reprobation: they fay concerning * predestination to

manner appears from hence, that they fet up the Creed and the Ten Commandments in the room of the Romiss Pixis. Dean Tucker says in his Letters to Dr. Kippis: "this instance of the Creed and Ten Commandments being set up over the altar is I think peculiar to out "English Church." It was however at a very early period introduced with the English Reformation into Ireland. It is stated in a citation from Archbishop Usher, that George Brown Archbishop of Dublin in the reign of Henry the Eighth, having removed all superstitious relics and images from the two Cathedrals in Dublin and from all the Churches in his Diocese, "caused the Ten Commandments, "the Lord's Prayer, and the Creed, to be placed in gilded frames about the altars." Mosh. Eccl. Hist. vol. iv. p. 127.

* Art. 13. t Art. 14. Art. 15.

w Art. 16. × Art. 17.

life

life—that it is the determination of certain persons to eternal happiness through Christ by a decree of God, which is kept secret from us, and which may refult (confiftently with whatever our Church has here afferted) from his foreknowledge of their compliance with the conditions of the Christian covenant;—that the confideration at large of the doctrine of predeftination, though comfortable and useful to some, may be attended with dangerous confequences to others; and that from y thence the promifes of God are to be received, as they are generally fet forth to us in Scripture; -moreover, that we can be faved by no name whatever, but that of ²Christ: to the exclusion of other Religions from equal powers of procuring falvation with the Christian, and to the exclusion also of all corruptions of Christianity by the adoration of fictitious intercessors.

After this they declare with regard to the Church—' that it is the visible communion of the faithful, among whom the word of God is

² Art. 18.

^a Art. 19.

N 3

taught

y See what is before faid to prove that the doctrine of the Church of England on predestination is contained in this concluding clause. See also Dr. Winchester's able differtation on the 17th Article, published at Oxford in the year 1773: in which it is very fully proved concerning the Article in general, that it was not drawn up conformably to the doctrine of Calvin.

taught and the Sacraments duly administered; and that Churches of the greatest eminence have erred both in doctrine and discipline:that the b power of the Church extends to rites and ceremonies, and the regulation of disputable matters in controversies of faith, but is not to be opposed to the Scriptures nor placed on a level of enacting authority with them:-that egeneral councils themselves are composed of fallible members, and that these ought not to be affembled without the confent of the civil power:-that the Romish Church (however authorised by general councils) has erred in whatever it has taught concerning durgatory, indulgencies, the worshipping of images and relics, and the invocation of Saints. Our Articles then maintain, with the injunctions of the Romanists, that ministers in the Church are to be 'lawfully appointed; but they condemn that great fource of corruption in the darker ages, the use of 'unknown languages in their ministration.

With regard to the Sacraments, they agree with the Church of Rome in some respects and differ from it in others: they agree with it—that the Sacraments of Baptism and the Lord's Supper are not only external signs of our Re-

ligion,

Art. 20. Art. 21. Art. 22, Art. 23. f Art. 24.

F Part of Art. 25, 27, and 28.

ligion, but likewise means of internal grace; —that the administration of these Sacraments by hunworthy ministers does not take from their efficacy;—that 'Baptism is the sign of regeneration, admitting us to a participation of the pardon and grace which are promifed under the Christian covenant, and is to be administered to infants;—that the Lord's Supper, when properly received, communicates to us the body and blood of Christ in a heavenly and spiritual manner, or, in other words, it , holds forth means by which we may continually procure for our fouls those benefits of pardon and grace, which were purchased for us by the facrifice of Christ and to which we are admitted at first in Baptism; -and that bad men are rather to expect a 1 curse than a blessing from a participation of this Holy Supper.

Our Articles differ however from the doctrines of the Romanists with regard to the "number of the Sacraments; "condemn their refusal of the cup to the laity, their doctrine of transubstantiation, and its consequences, the carrying about and worshipping of the consecrated elements, as if they were Christ's real body; and declare at length, in opposition to all the doctrines of the Romish Church to the

h Art. 26. i Part of Art. 27.

Part of Art. 25 and 29.

n Art. 30.

Part of Art. 28.

m Part of Art. 25.

[•] Part of Art. 28.

contrary, that the atonement made for us was perfected by Christ's oblation of himself once offered.

After the account of the Sacraments, which closes the doctrinal parts of Christianity in our Articles, as it closes also at present the religious instruction given us in our Church Catechism; we have eight Articles, independent of each other, and, in general, designed to secure us against positions of our adversaries, whether Romanists or Sectaries.

These contain a condemnation of the injunction of q celibacy on the Clergy; affertion—that rexcommunicated persons are not to be confidered as Christians:—that *ceremonies, though not necessarily the same in all places, are however not to be wantonly violated, and that they are subject to the regulation of the particular Church by which they are appointed. They contain also an enumeration and adoption of our Church 'Homilies: an affertion,—that our "ordinations and confecrations are valid: that the governour of the 'fate is governour also of the Church, but that his ecclesiastical government is confined to the externals of Religion;—that the Bishop of Rome has no juris-

₽	Art. 31.	9 Art. 32.	r Art. 33.
	Art. 34.	t Art, 35.	u Art. 36.

▼ Art. 37.

diction

diction in England;—that the civil power may punish Christians with death;—and that Christians may, at the command of that power, carry arms and serve in war. Of the two last of the eight independent Articles one declares that the goods of Christians are not "common; the other that "oaths may be administered on lawful occasions.

Such is the general substance of the thirtynine Articles of our Church. That they should convey to us so excellent a compendium of our Religion, and be so little calculated to give offence, even in the parts where they are immediately directed against our adversaries, may appear surprising to those, who consider the offence and calumny with which they have been received.

It is obvious that they are principally intended to ascertain and deliver down those essential doctrines of Christianity, which may be collected from the general account that I have above given of the substance of our Religion. The remaining parts of them are as obviously directed against the dangerous opinions of our different adversaries. That, which is omitted in them on this latter head, was supplied in a considerable degree under Elizabeth by the Canons which she enforced during her Govern-

w Art. 38.

× Art. 39.

ment

ment. It has fince been provided for more permanently by the body of 'Canons, which were enacted in the first year of her successor's reign, and which at present describe and enforce the different parts of our ecclesiastical system.

These are intended to supply the place of the Canons and Decretals of the Romish Church: but, with a spirit essentially different from that of the Romish Communion, they profess to roriginate from the civil power.

Towards the end of the reign of Henry the Eighth, (after the business of the Reformation had been a long while suspended,) a commission was granted to thirty-two perfons to revise the Canons and ecclesiastical laws. In Edward the Sixth's time eight out of these thirty-two were empowered to prepare this work for the inspection of the others. What these eight drew up was inspected accordingly, and was published in Latin under the title of Reformatio legum." What is the general substance of this work, and why it was not ensorced, may be seen in the 2d Volume of Burnet's Hist. Ref. p. 195—202. See also on this subject Strype's Memor. vol. ii. p. 341 and 497, and Fuller's Church Hist. Cent. xvi. p. 420.

Our Canons, now in force, were made in the first year of James the First. They are 141 in number; and many of them are the same with those enforced by Elizabeth during her reign, Gibson's Codex, Pres. p. 10. There were Canons afterwards drawn up by Archbishop Laud: but these were censured by Parliament, and therefore not enforced.

² Strype (in his Memor. vol. i. p. 130.) fays that the Convocation appears to have submitted to make no more Ordi-

Though they were particularly defigned for the 'enforcement of the doctrines, Liturgy, and ceremonies of our Church; yet all, who know with what mildness and with what unlimited forbearance they have been actually applied to this purpose, must ever acknowledge that the application of them has been well suited to the toleration, b fanctioned in time by our civil government; and to the moderation, which so eminently distinguishes the other parts of the ecclesiastical establishment, which they are calculated to defend and complete.

Ordinances or Constitutions without the King's affent or licence in the year 1530. Collier fays that the Clergy were restrained from making new Canons without the consent of the Crown in the year 1532. Eccl. Hist. vol. ii. p. 70.

See the opinions of the two Chief Justices, which were given 8 James the First, against the power of the Clergy to make Canons without the King's licence, in Dean Wake's State of the Church and Clergy of England in their councils. Fol. p. 534.

Bp. Sherlock fays the Diffenters do not give such power to the civil magisfrate with regard to themselves. In this respect therefore they agree with the Romanists. Sherlock against the repeal of the Test-Act. P. 33.

- a Those, who are disposed to complain of the coercive authority of our Church, would do well to inquire into the discipline of the primitive Christians. Of this they may see a concise account in the last chapter of Cave's Primitive Christianity.
- b By the Toleration-Act passed in the first year of William and Mary, &c.

But,

But, under fuch circumstances of universal toleration, we must not wonder if there are among us Diffenters of very different principles from those whom I have above mentioned. Wherever men enjoy an unlimited freedom of publishing their thoughts on religious subjects. there will he an endless variety of religious professions. Not only must new sects be expected continually to arise from the original body of Dissenters from our Church; but also we must not wonder if the tenets of different fanatics, who have distracted foreign nations, should be adopted by them and by others of our countrymen; nor even if Arian and Socinian doctrines should every day be openly and fuccessfully propagated among us.

Situated also as our Church is, at an equal distance from superstition and enthusiasm, we moreover must not wonder, if it has from the beginning been exposed to repeated assaults from both these extremes. Immediately after its final establishment, during the reigns of Elizabeth and James, it experienced the effects of the malicious combinations and dark affassination of Romish superstition. In the succeeding reign it fell for a season a facrifice

[&]quot; The Protestant Church of England has enjoyed but "little peace from its first establishment." Sherlock against the repeal of the Test-Act. P. 25.

to the mad enthuliasm of our own Diffenters. One reign only intervened, and that certainly not favourable to true Religion, before it again experienced the malice of the Romish Church. From such assaults it would be ungratefully impious not to confess that the hand of Providence delivered us during our distress; and that it has also preserved us unhurt, though not unalarmed, by them, during the last hundred years.

However also this restless malevolence of our enemies may have called forth particular tests for our security, and still render it necessary that we should support them, as we hitherto have done, with unshaken resolution; yet, amidst all our exertions in our own desence, it has not in any degree lessened the moderation of our Government, either civil or ecclesiastical, so as to restrain it from every day more widely extending its toleration to all those who differ from us in religious belief.—May God grant that we may ever continue to imitate our predecessors both in courage and wisdom to maintain the invaluable establish-

ment,

d See, in the Preface to Hooker's Ecclefiaftical Polity, the real origin and foundation of this enthusiasm, as it was described by that excellent writer at a time when he could hardly think it would ever proceed to the excess of madness, by which it was afterwards so eminently distinguished.

ment, under which our Religion has thus been delivered down to us, and in Christian virtue to forgive and tolerate our most uncharitable opponents!

Such then are the great outlines of the history of our Religion from the publication of the Gospel after the ascension of Christ to our own times.

Having been preached by the Apostles under the miraculous affistance of the Holy Spirit, and provision having been made for its permanency and integrity, it appears from that time to have been left in an eminent degree to our own reason and our own free will. Accordingly its reception and influence in the early ages were such, as we might expect from our present knowledge of its genuine excellence. It was offered to the free judgment of mankind; was received by the sincere and humble; and produced such visible effects on their conduct, as to go on increasing by the same gentle means, till it became the Religion of Princes, and was sanctioned by a civil establishment.

Being from this time often professed without fincerity and without humility, its precepts soon lost their influence, and every corruption of Christian piety followed, which might be expected from interested passions, from a confusion of facred and profane learning, and from all those peculiar circumstances of foreign invasion, savage customs, and savage ignorance, which ensued. In such times when superstition would be sure to acquire, with accumulated force, all the influence which true Religion lost, it could not be difficult for religious pretensions of the most absurd and blasphemous kind to erect themselves in the place of pure Christianity.

It pleased God however that the power of this kind, which was erected in the See of Rome, was made to conduce to fome good purposes. The Church of Rome was known to deliver down the regular profession of Christianity from the beginning. It maintained likewise without interruption the discriminating doctrines of our Religion with regard to the Godhead and our Redeemer: and, what was of invaluable confequence, it delivered down to us the Scriptures; and we are affured that it did not corrupt them, as well from politive proofs of their integrity, as from the danger, to which it would have been exposed, of having its corruptions detected by the members of a rival Communion, which was established in the East under the Bishops of Constantinople.

It pleased God also that one of the consequences of the rivalship of these Communions was the encouragement of learning in ecclesiastical bodies; and that, notwithstanding the unfavour-

unfavourable fituation of our Religion, its external enemies were not able to prevail against the public establishment of it in the kingdoms of Western Europe.—With these advantages, which were left us after all the corruption of the darker ages, we have been enabled under the revival of sound learning, under the incitement of a foreign reformation, and under the peculiar circumstances of our own civil government, to correct our religious errors and to establish that system of Christianity among us, which has been the principal subject of the present discourse.

We have therefore, as Christians, as Protestants, and as members of our own national Church, abundant means to give an answer to every man that asketh us a reason of the hope that is in us, as far as this hope is connected with the manner, in which our holy Religion has been received and conveyed through successive periods down to our own times.

Let us however not be vain of distinctions in any of these respects between ourselves and our Christian brethren, who dissent from us, or who are members of other establishments. Let us rather direct our thoughts to the consideration of those arguments, and those objections, relative to the general truth of the Religion thus delivered down to us, in which Christians of all denominations are equally concerned.

concerned. If the conviction, which ought to result from these more important considerations, were to produce its proper effect; we should all rejoice with exceeding great joy, that the Almighty has been pleafed to confer on mankind fuch an invaluable bleffing as Chriftianity. Actuated by the same common belief of the great doctrines of our Religion, we should charitably and chearfully bear with the various infirmities of each other; whilst we looked forward with awful expectation to that time, when we must all stand before the judgment-seat of our great Redeemer, and receive our reward, under his merits, according to the use which we have made of those particular means of knowing and acting which he has vouchfafed to afford us.

SERMON V.

1 PET. iii. 15.

Be ready always to give an answer to every man, that asketh you a reason of the Hope that is in you.

In the preceding discourses I have stated in historical order the substance of our Religion, as it extends from the most remote circumstance any where revealed in Scripture to the publication of the Gospel after the ascension of Christ; I have also given in them a sketch of its history from this publication of the Gospel to the present times. I am now to state in a summary manner the arguments in general, which are adducible in proof of the truth of our Religion, and consequently in vindication of the answer which may be given from thence concerning the Hope that is in us.

As mention will often be made of natural Religion, it will preclude all danger of mifo 2 conception conception with regard to the fense in which this expression is here to be understood, if I declare, that I mean by it the Religion which our own reason, assisted, as it now is, and ever has been, by a certain portion of divine grace and of light indirectly reflected from Revelation, enables us to form for ourselves, independently of information immediately and directly derived from divine authority; and that I shall not enter at all into the inquiry whether our reason could in reality have made by itself the discoveries in natural Religion commonly ascribed to it, however capable it may be of producing arguments in support of them, when previously discovered to mankind.

To proceed then to the arguments, by which the truth of our holy Religion may be proved.—So numerous and so various are these arguments, that to comprehend within a small compass a regular compendium of the whole, or even of the more important part of them, is impossible. It must suffice therefore in the present and the following discourse, (which I shall appropriate to this part of my subject,) if I attempt to state compendiously such among the more important of them, as, commencing with the being and attributes of God, are sufficient to prove the credibility of a divine Revelation; and to shew that Christianity

tianity by its internal and external evidences evinces itself to be in reality such a Revelation.

The being of God is an article of Faith, on which all Religion, both natural and revealed, immediately and necessarily depends. He, that comes to God, must believe that he is. But this fundamental article is not more necessary, than its proof is easy. The observation, which is made in common life, that whatever is most indispensably wanted is always most easily acquired, obtains here in an eminent degree. The being of God is proved from every object within the extent of our observation; and in a manner so singularly satisfactory, that men of warmth and ability have not scrupled to affirm "" that it is the only thing of which we " are certain."

Every particle of matter, even by its paffiveness and inactivity, proclaims some external cause of its existence. And human reafon, a principle the most remote of any in this

a Though we cannot strictly admit the truth of this sentiment, which is introduced in No. 381 of the Spectator, and repeated in No. 69 of the Guardian; yet we may fully and safely subscribe to the inferences of Bp. Stillingsleet, who makes the foundation of all certainty to depend on the necessary existence of a being absolutely perfect. For without that, says he, we can never be sure that our faculties are not so constituted as to deceive us. Orig. Sac. p. 230.

world

world from matter, however arrogant and presumptuous, dares not prosess itself its own original. Besides, every object with which we are conversant, whether material or spiritual, not only requires in order to its production the agency of some cause without itself; but, as this cause also must either be the effect of some other cause or be self-existent, we shall, if we pursue the argument, at length necessarily ascend to a great and supereminent cause, which is the effect of nothing and is consequently a self-existent being.

To suppose, in opposition to this, that things might be produced "ad infinitum," fuccesfively depending on each other, is evidently to fuppose them to exist without any original cause; a supposition, not less absurd, than that which would require of us to admit that any individual production might exist without its own proper and immediate cause. It anfwers indeed fome purpose: it removes the absurdity to a distance, and renders it perhaps invisible to the unpenetrating eye. But furely it is not more abfurd, as hath been often alleged, to suppose that one link of a chain may remain suspended in the air without support, than to contend that an infinite number of links may be thus fuspended without any means of supporting the whole.

In vain also hath Atheism urged, "that, "though

"though the individual things around us can-"not, the Universe, which is a "fui generis" "phenomenon, may perhaps exist without a " cause." This supposition is very nearly allied to the former and equally abfurd with it. Doubtless it ought never to have been brought forward by the men who are most zealous to repeat it; fince it is contrary to our universal bexperience, and thus is impressed with that character which they themselves are ready on all occasions to urge as decisive against Revelation.

To prove however the absurdity both of this and the former supposition, I may remark that each of them fets itself in direct opposition to that univerfally received maxim, "Nihil " est in toto, quod non fuit prius in partibus." Besides, each of them is precluded for this obvious reason, that the world could not have derived its origin from matter. The fystem of things around us not only carries with it most evident proof that it must have had an original cause, but farther likewise an immaterial cause; for this cause must have been, what matter is not, an active and an intelligent

b Besides, no probable reason can be assigned for the deviation from universal experience here supposed; whereas the contrary is the case with regard to the parts of Revelation which are opposed on this ground; fince the truth of Revelation is immediately proved by it. prin-

04

principle: fince without activity nothing can be produced; and, without intelligence also, nothing that carries with it marks of regular and unquestionable design.

But in fact Atheism, thus supported, depends solely upon its own affertion: and this, which is the case with it in the instances at present before us, is equally so in all others.

c This dependance on affertion is evident in all those trite assumptions in favour of Atheism, which are repeated in Mr. Hume's Dialogues, p. 55-70, 94, 115, 125, 130, 166, 189, 196, 215, 238, &c. It is eminently the case also with the objections advanced by him against miracles, and the proofs of the being and attributes of God which are drawn from thence. These objections rest for their principal support upon this single circumstance, "that "fuch miracles are contrary to experience."—See his Essay on Miracles.—They rest therefore upon this asfumption, "that God, who is the freest of all possible " agents, if he have once acted in an extraordinary man-" ner, must go on to act so; even when the reasons for "fuch extraordinary agency have entirely ceased."-Not to mention that it is not less contrary to our experience, that fuch proofs, as we have of the truth of the miracles of our Religion, should be delivered down to posterity in vindication of facts which are false, than that the miracles themselves should have actually been performed.

Mr. Hume is so fond of this gratuitous reasoning (if I may so call it) from our want of experience, that he not only uses it to disprove the truth of miracles, but also in his Dialogues (p. 130.) to raise doubts concerning the being of God; and in his essay on the immortality of the soul (p. 38.) to establish its mortality.

It

It has been justly 'remarked, that " the whole "frength of Atheism consists in contradicting " the universal reason of mankind: that Athe-" ists have no principle and can have none; " and therefore that they can never reason, but " only considently deny or affirm."

At the same time by the original cause, whose self-existence and spiritual nature are thus evinced, I do not mean one who gave existence to himself; that would make action antecedent to existence: but one who inherently and necessarily "hath existence in him-" felf;" though in a manner which it is impossible for us to explain or conceive. For it must be confessed, and creatures, so defective as ourselves, ought not to be ashamed of the confession, that we know no more how to explain the mode under which this supreme Spirit exists, than our adversaries can account for the existence of the eternal matter, which, with infinitely greater abfurdity than they charge on us, they are themselves forced to substitute in his place.

But however inadequately we may conceive of the great felf-existent Spirit, or of God, as we commonly call him; this by no means evinces that we are not absolutely certain that there is such a Being. There is an immense

See Sherlock on Providence, p. 15. 12mo. Ed. 1776.
 difference

difference between the proof of his existence, and a full investigation of the nature under which he exists. With regard to the former, the lowest and most imperfect of rational creatures may abundantly satisfy himself; but the latter cannot be attained by the highest: no creature can ever be supposed capable of discovering the essence of a self-existent Creator.

That among those, who have denied the existence of God, some have confessedly been men of science, detracts not in the smallest degree from the certainty of his existence. It is an old observation that "eno affertion can be " fo abfurd as not to be made by fome philo-"fopher." There have been philosophers in our own age, who have endeavoured 'at the fame time to disprove the existence of both matter and spirit; and who, as far as their principles go, have disowned the testimony of all their fenses. Besides, it is well known, that perverseness and affectation of singularity often make men of various descriptions bold enough to advance and maintain opinions which their own reason secretly disavows.

As proofs of the being of God are deducible from the works of the creation, so likewise

f See Hume's Treatife of Human Nature, or what is faid of it in Beattie's Essay on Truth, p. 258, &c.

are

[•] Nihil tam absurde dici potest, quod non dicatur ab aliquo philosophorum. Cic. de Divin. 1. 2. c. 58.

are proofs of his attributes. The power and wifdom, which are every where displayed in the different parts of creation, prove him to be possessed in such an infinite degree of the natural perfections which are commonly afcribed to him; and the final intention, discoverable in them, enables us to conclude likewise fo irrefiftibly in proof of his moral as well as his natural attributes, that we need only open our eyes and inquire into the things around us to be convinced of his infinite and universal perfection. Indeed mankind of every country and every age appear to have been fo fully convinced both of the existence and perfection of God, that, if this conviction be not allowed to have arisen from traditions, or impressions, derived from himself, and in either case decisive in our favour; it must have arisen

8 "Ut porro firmissimum hoc afferri videtur cur deos "esse credamus, quod nulla gens tam fera, nemo omnium "tam sit immanis, cujus mentem non imbuit deorum opi"nio. Multi de diis prava sentiunt: id enim vitioso "more essici solet: omnes tamen esse vim et naturam di"vinam arbitrantur. Nec vero id collocutio hominum "aut consensus essicit: non institutis opinio est consirmata, "non legibus. Omni autem in re consensio omnium gen"tium lex naturæ putanda est." Cic. Tusc. Quæst. lib. i. c. 13.

Mr. Hume fays in the 2d vol. of his Essays, p. 429: "The only point of theology in which we shall find a "consent of mankind almost universal is, that there is an "invisible intelligent power in the world."

from

from the works of creation, and must add irresistible weight to such arguments as those which have been above stated.

It is therefore unnecessary for me to dwell longer on this part of my subject, and to encounter the danger of weakening or of obscuring the plain and unanswerable inferences in proof of the being and attributes of God, which are "deducible from the works of creation, by metaphysical and disputable reasonings a priori. Especially too, as the "enemies of

h Archbishop Secker's Lecture on this subject is well worthy of our perusal. See Lect. 6th.

i Mr. Hume commences the general corollary at the conclusion of his Essays (vol. ii. p. 482.) with these words: "Though the stupidity of men barbarous and uninstructed " be fo great that they may not fee a fovereign author in "the more obvious works of nature, to which they are " fo much familiarized; yet it scarce seems possible that "any one of good understanding should reject the idea, "when once it is fuggested to him. A purpose, an in-"tention, a defign is evident in every thing; and when " our comprehension is so far enlarged as to contemplate the first rise of this visible system, we must adopt with " the strongest conviction the idea of some intelligent cause or author." And again: (p. 484.) "What a noble pri-"vilege is it of human nature to attain the knowledge of " the Supreme Being, and from the visible works of nature to be enabled to infer fo sublime a principle as its "Supreme Creator?"

Even after Mr. Hume appears in his Dialogues on Natural Religion to have endeavoured to weaken, if not to fubvert, our belief in the existence of an infinitely perfect Creator and Governour of the universe by a repetition of

our Religion in the present age generally confult their own character so far as to admit these truths and even to magnify their extraordinary and unquestionable certainty; thinking perhaps to acquire from thence the appearance of candour at least and impartiality, if not of piety.

It may not however be improper to infift in this place, that the same arguments, which prove a priori the infinite persection of God, prove also the unity of his Being: since all persect Beings must agree in all things, and therefore must be one and the same Being.

Now that this all-perfect Creator should

all the gratuitous assumptions of Atheism, his sceptical speaker professes (p. 228.) "that no one has a deeper sense of Religion impressed upon his mind, or pays more prosification to the divine Being as he discovers himself to reason in the inexplicable contrivance and artisice of nature. A purpose, an intention, a design strikes every where the most careless, the most stupid, thinker; and no man can be so hardened in absurd systems as at all times to reject it."

k See Dean Hamilton's Attempt to prove the existence &c. of the Supreme Being in a demonstrative manner. P. 170, &c. Thus also Aristotle makes Zeno say, "If "God be the best of all Beings, he must be ONE. For if "there were two or more such, he could not be the best of all Beings." Περι Ζηνωνος.

At the same time it must be added, that, as we know not in what Personality consists, we cannot in any respect infer, that such unity must obtain with regard to all persect Persons, as well as Beings.

form

form the world, which we inhabit, without fome proposed end, is a most unreasonable and blasphemous supposition. Every particle of matter, with which we are conversant, is found to be calculated for some useful purpose; and can we conceive of the whole less suitably to the perfection of its wonderful Author? Infinite power and goodness never exert themselves, but under the direction of infinite wisdom. And therefore each of these attributes must cooperate with the others in every work of Providence.

The world then, which we inhabit, must have been created for some purpose, as worthy of infinite wisdom, as its formation was of infinite power and goodness. And if it were, what can this purpose be? Doubtless not that all the different parts of it should rise, flourish, decay, and perish, in the sleeting order in which we see them daily pass before our eyes. There is nothing, as far as we can perceive, in a successive rotation of corruptible matter, capable, on its own account, of pleasing an eternal and immutable Creator.

Nor does the moral world, as it stands at present, offer to our contemplation any thing worthy of so great an Author. A confused scene of intemperance, injustice, and irreligion, cannot please his eyes which are too pure to behold iniquity. It must argue a thorough ignorance

ignorance of mankind, or very unworthy notions of the Deity, to suppose that he could possibly create a race of beings merely to act on a fleeting stage the inconsistent and flagitious characters which are commonly displayed in human life, and then to vanish for ever. Indeed it exceeds the highest powers of man's wisdom to account for the introduction and permission of moral evil; much less can it reconcile this evil with the designation of an all-persect Creator.

Besides, whence can it arise that the Deity beholds oppressed virtue and triumphant vice, and lets them pass at present unnoticed by his Omnipotence? For though it be allowed that the actions of the best men are so impersect as not to merit any reward, yet there is an essential difference in the conduct of different men, which requires at the hands of an all-persect Governour a proportionable difference in their allotment of happiness. The same reason therefore, which assures us of the being and attributes of God, evinces that he must have created the world at first, and must now 'preserve

^{*} Thucydides, while he fully and admirably describes the iniquity and profligacy of every kind, which broke forth in the Peloponnesian war, says very justly of these evils: " γιγνομενα μεν και αει εσομενα έως αν ή αυτη φυσις αν- βρωπων η." Duker's Edit. l. iii. c. 82. p. 217.

That the Preserver is the same with the Creator of the world

it in existence, for some other purpose than what appears to receive its accomplishment in this life.

To attempt to determine by the light of nature what this purpose must be, is neither an indication of a weak nor of a prefumptuous mind. For though the original circumstances, and therefore most undoubtedly the original defign, of man's creation can never be known, except by means of supernatural Revelation; yet the defign of his existence, under the circumstances in which we now see him, may be proved, from the "promifeuous distribution of good and evil in this life, to be no other than to make it preparatory to some future state of recompence. Since, however confistent any contrary supposition may be with the other attributes of God, this alone will at the same time vindicate his infinite goodness and justice in the moral government of the world.

And if to confecrate (as it were) this un

world is a truth of natural Religion which may safely be taken for granted. For, whether the preservation of it be ascribed to qualities, impressed upon it originally, or at subsequent times, the effect must ultimately be ascribed in either case to the same great Creator; and, as he is perfect in fore-knowledge no less than in power, the effect must also in either case be itself precisely the same.

m This argument constantly recurs in Bishop Sherlock's admirable Sermons.

hallowed

hallowed mass to a purpose worthy of its great Author, and to vindicate at the same time the retributive persections of God, some state of rewards and punishments must be designed hereafter for the human race; doubtless we may expect to be informed of an event, in which we are so essentially concerned, and of the means requisite to convert it to our future happiness.

To this end indeed our reason, in addition to what has been above stated, may suggest arguments drawn from the nature of the human soul and from various other sources; and may urge, as proofs of the information concerning a future state afforded us by such arguments, the hopes of reward and the sears of punishment after death, which every where indicate in the minds of men the strongest persuasion of a future judgment. It may direct us also to virtue, as the only means of securing our acceptance with God at that solemn period.

But,—if the Religion recommended by human reason, (or natural religion, as it hath been above explained,) can afford us no certain information with regard to the circumstances either of our original or our future state, no means to discover and enforce a regular code of human duty, and no assurance that man's

best,

^{*} See Robertson's Hist. of Amer. vol. ii. p. 202. 8vo. Ed. And Park's Travels into Africa, 8vo. Ed. p. 408.

best, because still impersect, endeavours will at last be accepted by God;—if it admits not of those external and divine proofs of its truth, which, though reprobated by 'modern unbelievers when urged in favour of Christianity, have 'universally of old been deemed essential to Religion, and have ever had the most decisive influence on the minds of men;—and if it is confined to the wise and the great;—who will think so unsatisfactory and partial a guide the best, which ought to be expected by us in that which of all others is infinitely the most important of our concerns?

Besides also, if, over and above all that has hitherto been said, or indeed that can be said, of the same kind, we suppose that since reason was first given for man's direction, his understanding has been darkened and his will corrupted by some great deviation from his original uprightness; we shall at once account for the subsequent desiciency of natural Religion, and be justified in entertaining ardent, though humble, hopes, that the Deity would be pleased

O See what Mr. Hume fays on this fubject in his Effay on Miracles; and the very able reply made to him in the text and notes of Dr. White's feventh Bampton-Lecture Sermon: fee also above note c, p. 200.

P This appears from the attempts made by different Heather legislators to give function to their religious fystems by pretended prophecies and miracles.

to communicate to us from himself some Revelation on this most important subject, adapted to our ignorance and infirmities.

Now, that such a Revelation has actually been communicated, those very Heathen nations, from which the insufficiency of natural Religion is commonly inferred, may serve to prove. Almost 'every one of them has at some time or other aspired to the importance of having been thus highly favoured by the Deity. And though, from the number of these salfe pretentions, the real Revelation may appear to lose of its credit; yet these serve rather to prove the truth of 'some one, than to prejudice the general cause: fince,

q Mr. Hume himfelf, at the conclusion of the religious doubts dispersed through his dialogues, makes his sceptic declare "That the most natural sentiment, which a "well disposed mind will feel with regard to the first "cause, is a longing desire and expectation that Heaven "would be pleased to dissipate, at least alleviate, this pro"found ignorance by affording some more particular "Revelation to mankind and making discoveries of the "nature, attributes, and operations of the divine object of "our Faith." Dialogues on Nat. Rel. p. 263.

In Valerius Maximus—capite 2do.—de fimulata religione, among the pretenders to a divine Revelation, are mentioned Numa Pompilius, Minos, Pifistratus, Lycurgus, Zaleucus, &c.

If the pretentions to a divine Revelation among the Heathens are not to be ascribed to their acquaintance with the writings of Moses; they must have originated from

wherever we meet with a counterfeit, we naturally conclude that there was an original. Besides, there is no danger of not being able to distinguish between them when compared together. For that no Revelation except the Christian (of which the Jewish is the commencement) has the marks, requisite to authenticate a divine commission, has been often proved; and it is indeed a truth which modern unbelievers readily admit: they contend that all alike want this recommendation.

But if it can be proved, that Christianity carries with it a remedy for the deficiencies above pointed out in natural Religion; if it can be proved,—First, that Christianity is calculated to lead mankind to future happiness by the complete knowledge, which it conveys to them of themselves, of their duty and its obligation, and of their attainable acceptance with God;—Secondly, that it was accompanied with external and divine attestations of its truth;—and Thirdly, that it is distinguished by its universality;—if these three articles can be proved with regard to Christianity, then greater assurances of its truth ought not to be required, since greater cannot be given: both the inter-

from traditions concerning the Revelations communicated to Noah and the Patriarchs. See Cave's Primitive Christianity, vol. i. p. 23, 24.

nal

nal and external evidences of our Religion will evince it to be a divine Revelation; both the moral and natural attributes of God will vouch for its truth.

To prove the first of these three articles we need only have recourse to those books, in which the doctrines of our Religion are contained. We shall there find such information given concerning mankind, such rules laid down for their direction, and such provision made for their happiness; as must abundantly supply all their desiciencies of knowledge in these important respects: and such as their own wisdom in its deepest researches could never shadow out.

Without any parade of philosophy, without any deductions from fallible reasoning, 'the creation of man, his trial, disobedience, and corruption are unfolded in the holy Scriptures with the most instructive plainness. In the same Scriptures also, and with the same unadorned excellence, the intention of his present existence, and the general circumstances of that state in which he is to exist hereaster, are awfully impressed upon us. Such intuitive knowledge (if I may so call it) is disclosed concerning all that relates to mankind, as Omniscience alone could posses: and such unasseed

t See the first Sermon.

Р 3

fimplicity

fimplicity is displayed in the narration, as belongs to him only who is uninfluenced by the little vanities of finite wisdom.

Nor does any less manifestation of the same divine excellencies characterize, and qualify for general use, those dictates of our Religion, which are calculated to reform the human mind by directing it properly with regard to its duty. To discover a few truths amidst a variety of errors appears to have been the utmost proficiency of reason: and a single wise saying, notwithstanding a thousand inconsistencies and contradictions, has been enough to constitute a philosopher.

But in Christ Jesus there is no variableness nor shadow of turning. In his instructions all is alike the result of unerring excellence. Being possessed of all the "treasures of wisdom and knowledge, and being himself the great example proposed for the imitation of mankind, he neither drew his instructions from any disputable reasonings, nor from any oftentatious display of virtue, in others; but from his own universal perfection. Knowing whence those things proceed which defile a man, he made not human duty to depend upon outward appearances: he prepared for it a solid foundation in "internal purity; and a reward

Col. ii. 3. Matt. zv. 10—20. Luke vi. 45. effentially

effentially different from every human motive,
"" bleffed, says he, are the pure in heart, for
"they shall see God;" a reward, to which
enlightened reason must ever look forward, as
to the highest perfection of created beings, but
which was to be proposed by him only who
came forth from the bosom of God.

Accordingly, that internal purity, which immediately leads to the perfection of man's duty with regard to himself, is explicitly enjoined him and is made also the 'foundation of his duty with regard to his neighbour and his God.

Our great Redeemer and Lawgiver was not content merely to regulate the actions of mankind towards each other. Every felfish, arrogant, and revengeful passion, for which cither civil policy or vicious custom had procured a fanction, was added to the Christian catalogue of sins. It is from these tumultuous impulses, as experience convinces us, that all our vices and misery arise. But these the light of nature had not penetration or courage enough openly to arraign. While therefore the cause remained in full force, it ought not to surprise us that the effect was not diminished.

In

^{*} Matt. v. 8. y Matt. xv. 18, 19. James iii. 17.

² Matt. v, 22, &c. 1 John iii. 15.

In their room Christianity commands us to substitute universal love, humbleness, and forgiveness of injuries; as dispositions best suited to our own infirmities, and most acceptable in his sight, "who bringeth down the mighty from their seat, and exalteth the humble and meek." Such inward virtues, unknown or untaught by natural Religion, Christianity lays down as the foundation of happiness to the human soul; and commands us to practice them universally.

It commands us to learn of him, who was be meek and lowly in heart, and we shall find rest to our souls. It commands us, after his great example, to extend our benevolence to all mankind; to slove even our enemies; to bless those that curse us; to do good to such as hate us; and to pray for those, who despitefully use and persecute us: teaching us by a new commandment that the great causes of doing ill to others were to be supplanted by a principle of unlimited benevolence; and that all injurious distinctions of different countries, interests, and affections, were to be done away by extended and universal charity.

The unlearned fon of a carpenter, as his countrymen infultingly stiled him, not only at once lays a solid foundation for the most

Luke vi. 27, &c. Matt. xi. 29. Matt. v. 44.

perfect system of morality; but, a Jew (selfish as the Jews were esteemed) is the first to teach the philanthropists of the Heathen world lessons of universal love. Reason indeed may now assure us, that no other principle can make men happy here, or qualify them to enjoy their own and each other's happiness hereaster. But God is love, and the original propagation of this doctrine proceeded from him alone.

To specify all the great and particular duties with regard to dGod and his service, no less than with regard to man, which are enjoined as effects of the purification of our hearts; the occasions on which they are inculcated; the exactness with which they are proportioned to our abilities; and the condescension with which they are impressed upon the understandings of the poor and ignorant, would perhaps at present rather consound than inform the mind, and call off its attention from the wonderful simplicity, with which they are all made to center in a few rules of easy recollection and universal application.

Our religious duties are all summed up in that sincere love of God, which originates in internal purity, displays itself towards him in

faith

d John iv. 24. James iv. 8. Luke xxi. 3.

f See the whole of our Saviour's Sermon on the Mount.

faith and devotion, and is exerted with sall our heart, with all our foul, with all our mind, and with all our ftrength. And with regard to our neighbour we are enjoined, by an appeal to our own inmost desires, to hove him as ourselves. On these two commands hung all the law and the prophets: and on these the Christian's duties are expressly made to depend.

And as the Gospel-rules of human daty were not the result of any disputable reasonings; so likewise the obligation, by which they are enforced, is not exposed to the vain cavils of man's wisdom. The observation of them was not recommended from the fitness of things, that eternal subject of dispute among philosophers.—Consistent with whatever can properly be conceived to constitute the fixed and unalterable laws of virtue and vice, they are, notwithstanding, kenjoined as the com-

mands

^{*} Luke x. 27. h Matt. xxii. 39. i Matt. xxii. 40. k "Thus faith the Lord" is the authority under which the writers of the Old Testament delivered their instructions. In the New Testament also our blessed Saviour either speaks authoritatively in his own name, as in Matt. v. and other parts of his Sermon on the Mount, see Matt. vii. 29; or in the name of the Father, on the doing of whose will our falvation is explicitly made to depend: see John v. 43. and Matt. vii. 21.—Even Cicero says, "Deus si quidem nobis consulebat, how facito, how me fe"ceris, diceret." De Divinatione, l. 2. c. 61.

mands of an all-perfect God; and are to be obeyed by us for his fake and with a view to his glory; a motive, which fanctifies our whole conduct, and at the fame time extends its influence to our inmost fouls, bringing under the cognizance of Omniscience those secret thoughts, which are the sources of all our actions, and which it is the great design of the Christian law universally to purify, and direct to that Supreme Being, who is to be their first, their principal, and their unceasing object.

In the mean time, whilst the terrors of almighty and eternal vengeance are denounced in the most explicit language against obstinate Irreligion, such "rewards are promised in a future state to sincere, though impersect, obedience, as the heart of the wisest and best man cannot adequately conceive. And if there be any one circumstance in Christianity beyond all others, which requires our grateful admiration of the divine goodness, it shines forth in the provision made by this holy Religion for our future and eternal happiness.

Human reason, as I have endeavoured to show, may adduce irrefragable arguments in proof of the Being and Persection of God, and of the certainty of a suture state: but it can afford us no satisfaction concerning the

¹ 1 John iii. 17. 1 Cor x. 31. ^m 1 Cor ii. 9. means

means by which fallen, and, notwithstanding their best endeavours, sinful creatures can be rendered so pleasing in the sight of an all-holy Judge, as to be accepted by him and made heirs of eternal life. Our satisfaction in this most important respect must be entirely derived from the Christian Revelation.

Under Christianity the same all-persect Redeemer, who has vouchsafed to direct us in the sullest manner with regard to our duty, has graciously provided, through the atonement of his blood, a counterpoise to all our temptations in the influence of the Holy Spirit, and a remedy for our offences in his own universal persection. Through his meritorious sufferings in our behalf we are at all times assured both of assistance in the performance of our duty, and of acceptance and eternal happiness with our offended God, upon that sincere repentance and saith which necessarily carry with them a reformation of life.

Our reason may admire; but it has no powers of its own, either to investigate, or to confirm, such an amazing proof of the riches of the goodness of God. Insidels too may scoff at their Redemption, and, in their own persons, evince, how unworthy man may be of such transcendent mercy: but the more they

n See the first Sermon.

deride

deride this act of mercy, the more fully do they prove, that what so far exceeds man's reason could never have originated in his invention.

Of the same perfect kind however with this instance of divine mercy are all the other Scriptural representations of the divine attributes. And though unbelief and the deceitfulness of sin may harden men's hearts; yet they, who come to the perusal of the holy Scriptures with the ordinary feelings of humanity, will find, among the means used by the inspired writers to instruct and reform mankind, fuch endearing evidence of God's goodness, such alarming instances of his justice, and descriptions of him, in every respect so corroborative of the most perfect ideas which we can form for ourselves of the Divine Being, and fo transcendently superior to them; as from a mixture of pleasure, awe, and reverence, cannot fail to convey to the mind a most satisfactory proof of the divine origin of Christianity;—and such as cannot fail at the fame time to convince every candid inquirer, that there is nothing either in the mercy or in the justice, discoverable in the Scripture-account of the atonement made for man, which does not entirely coincide with that idea of the all-perfect God, which Revelation fo varioufly and fo confiftently supports.

That

That this description of the internal constitution of our Religion is not exaggerated, the conversion of "unbelievers into zealous defenders of Christianity from the sole force of its internal evidences may serve to prove. From other unbelievers, less capable of conviction, and who had perhaps less impartially weighed the subject, the same evidences have extorted confessions, which reslect as much disgrace on their own unbelief as credit on our holy Religion.

"I will confess" (fays a reelebrated foreigner in his treatise on education) "that "the majesty of the Scriptures strikes me "with admiration, as the purity of the Gos-"pel hath its influence on my heart. Peruse "the works of the philosophers, with all their pomp of diction, how mean, how contempt-"ible are they, compared with the Scriptures! Is it possible that a book at once so simple "and so sublime should be merely the work of man?—It is more inconceivable that a "number of persons should agree together to "write such a book, than that one only should "furnish the subject of it. The Jews were "incapable of the diction and strangers to the morality contained in the Gospel: the proofs

o See Soame Jenyns's Internal Evidences.

P Rousseau in his Emilius, vol. iii. p. 136, and 139. Engl. Edit. 1767.

" of whose truth are so striking and inimitable, that the inventor would be a much more astonishing character than the hero."

What stronger proof can we have of the inconsistency of man's wisdom, and of the impossibility of converting a self-sufficient philosopher, than such declarations from a professed unbeliever? Who would not rather expect that a Religion, which contains within itself such unquestionable evidence of its divine origin, should not have any one learned adversary, than that the author himself of the above declarations should be of that number?

We have seen then, that Christianity was calculated to lead mankind to suture happiness by the complete knowledge which it conveys to them of themselves, of their duty and its obligation, and of their attainable acceptance with God. In the next Discourse I shall proceed to the two articles, which remain of the evidences, by which I am to prove that our holy Religion is a divine Revelation.

q Rousseau here ascribes that very character to the internal evidences of our Religion, without being convinced of its divine origin, which Hume says would command his faith in its miracles: "If, says the latter, the falshood of the testimony would be more miraculous than the event which it relates, then, and not till then, can it pretend to command my Faith." Essays, vol. ii. p. 130.

SERMON

SERMON VI.

1 Pet. iii. 15.

Be ready always to give an answer to every man, that asketh you a reason of the Hope that is in you.

I AM now to proceed to the remaining part of the evidences, by which I have undertaken to prove that Christianity is a divine Revelation; and when I have shown, Secondly, that it was accompanied with external and divine attestations of its truth; and, Thirdly, that it is distinguished by its universality; I shall finish this head with the conclusion which naturally follows from the preceding parts of it.

The external and divine attestations, which may be expected in confirmation of a divine Revelation, can be no greater, perhaps no other, than prophecies and miracles. In the display of these the attributes of Omniscience and Omnipotence are exerted.

With regard to the prophecies, recorded in

the Scriptures, they respect contingencies too wonderful for the powers of man to conjecture or to effect. Many of those, which are found in the Old Testament, foretold unexpected changes in the distribution of earthly power. And whether they foretold the fall of flourishing cities, or the ruin of mighty empires; the event has minutely corresponded with the prediction.

Nor were they delivered with that latitude of expression, which characterized, and sheltered from detection, the impositions of Heathen oracles. Some of them on the contrary were fo particular, and fo remarkably pointed to their object; that Porphyry, by a new method of affaulting Revelation, fet himfelf to prove the literal accomplishment of Daniel's prophecies; and from their circumstantial minuteness boldly inferred that they must be the forgery of some blater age, in opposition to all the proofs of their antecedent existence. So differently did Porphyry think of Scripture-prophecy from the modern historian, who farcastically attempts to discredit the evidences of our Religion by calling a pre-

diction

^a See Bishop Newton on the Prophecies.

b See Grotius de Veritate Rel. Christ. 1. i. c. 17. Bp. Newton on the Prophecies, vol. ii. p. 9.—Prideaux's Connection, vol. i. p. 128. fol. and Lardner's Jewish and Christian Testimonies, vol. iii. p. 133, &c. 4to. Edit.

diction of later times "" a rare prediction, be" cause the style was unambiguous and the
" date unquestionable."

But the great object of the prophecies of the Old Testament is the Redemption of mankind. This, as soon as Adam's fall had made it necessary, the mercy of God was pleased to foretell. And, as the time for its accomplishment drew near, the predictions concerning it became gradually so clear and determinate, as to mark out with historical precision almost every 'circumstance in the life and character of infinitely the most extraordinary Personage that ever appeared among men.

Any one of these predictions is sufficient to indicate a prescience more than human. But the collective force of all taken together is such, that nothing more can be necessary to prove the interposition of Omniscience than the establishment of their authenticity. And this, even at so remote a period as the present, is placed beyond all doubt. The books, in which they are contained, are known to have been translated into different languages and dispersed into different parts long before the coming of Christ. It is absurd therefore to suppose that any forgery with regard to them, if at-

Q 2

tempted

d Gibbon's History, vol. v. p. 570.

e See the first Sermon.

attempted by the first Christians, should not have been immediately detected; and still more absurd, if possible, to suppose that any passages thus forged should afterwards have been admitted universally into their Scriptures by the Jews themselves, who, from the first application of these prophecies to our blessed Saviour, have endeavoured by every method to pervert their meaning.

Surely had the prophecies in question not been found at that time in the writings to which the first propagators of Christianity appealed; the Jews needed only produce those writings to refute the imposition. And since no resutation of this kind was then attempted, it was a demonstration to the men of that age; and the same prophecies being universally found there now (without the possibility of accounting for it if they were forged) convey in all reason as forcible a demonstration to ourselves at present, that they were written there from the beginning, and unquestionably by no other than the singer of God.

Nor are the prophecies of the New Testament less evidently the inspiration of Omniscience. To say nothing of our blessed Saviour's predic-

tions

f Among other methods they have even dared to mutilate and corrupt various passages of their own Scriptures. See Pearson on the Creed, p. 201. 5th Edit.

tions concerning himself and the propagation of his Religion, nor of those which relate to the future condition of the Church; the predictions, which respect the Jews and which are common to the New as well as to the Old Testament, of which some have long since been accomplished and others are every day receiving their accomplishment before our eyes, abundantly prove the divine origin of all Scripture-prophecy.

The destruction of Jerusalem with its unparalleled circumstances of horror is not more clearly recorded by Josephus, than it is foretold by Daniel and by our bleffed Saviour. Nor did our bleffed Saviour foretel in the most g definite language the destruction only of Jerusalem, and particularly that not one b stone of the Temple should be left upon another; he expressly foretold also, that "Jerusalem should be 'trodden "down of the Gentiles, till the time of the "Gentiles be fulfilled:" while "the Jews "themselves were to be carried away captive " into all nations;" among whom, according to the denunciation of their great Law-giver, they were to become "an aftonishment, a " proverb, and a bye-word."

Now that this has actually been the case

with

⁵ Matt. xvi. 28. h Mark xiii. 2. i Luke xxi. 24.

Deut. xxviii. 37.

with Jerusalem and with the Jews, from the days of Titus and Adrian to the present time, every historian informs us: that it is so now, we see and know.

Nor is this all. Whatever the diftinguished affection of the Jews for their Religion and country could fuggest, and whatever infidelity and hatred of Christianity could help forward in their favour, was in vain tried to defeat these prophecies. The apostate Julian, an emperor qualified for the attempt in riches, power, and blasphemous audaciousness, collects this vagabond people from all countries, and leads them on by his favourite Alypius to rebuild their temple. Every human power cooperates with them, every difficulty appears to have vanished. When on a fudden the work was broken up with terror and precipitation: and an enterprife, so zealously undertaken and so powerfully supported, was at once deserted.

As the influence of human means was entirely engaged in its favour; the miscarriage of it must be ascribed to supernatural interposition. What this was, we are informed by 1 contemporary and other writers, and particularly by Ammia-

Mr.

¹ See in Whitby's general Preface an enumeration of the early authors who have written on this subject, p. 28.

Sozomen, after a particular relation of the miraculous defeat of Julian's attempt, fays of this defeat, " και τουτο " προς παντων αδεως λεγεται τε και πιςευεται, και παρ' ουδενος " αμφιβαλλεται." Hist. Eccl. l. v. c. 22.

nus Marcellinus, whose testimony, as a Pagan, a philosopher, and a bosom-friend of the apostate Prince, insidelity would readily and fully madmit, were it not before-hand apprised of its contents. He declares, that "" horrid balls of

Mr. Moyle fays on the fubject, "I am loth I confess to "reject all the miracles fince the days of the Apostles for "the sake of a very remarkable one, which happened at "the rebuilding of Jerusalem under Julian; which is so "extraordinary in all its circumstances and so fully attested by all the Christian and the Heathen historians of that age, that I do not see with what forehead any man can question the truth of it." Thundering Legion, Works, vol. ii. p. 101. 8vo.

In the fame manner, though Jortin doubts of the truth of all the other miracles, which are reported to have been performed after the commencement of the second century, he says of this, "The story of Julian's deseated attempt to rebuild the Jewish temple is, after every allowance has been made, as well attested as we could reasonably expect. The testimony of Christian writers on the subject being confirmed by Marcellinus, by Jewish writers, and perhaps by some passages in Julian's Epissles, and by this circumstance over and above, that it appeals to a fact which every one might go and see with his own eyes." Rem. on Eccl. Hist. vol. iii. p. 380.

But Bishop Warburton's full and learned defence of this miracle in his "Julian" is well known, and is sufficient to supersede the necessity of any farther vindication of it.

^m See Warburton's Julian, p. 46, 47.

Marcellinus's words on the occasion are, "metuendi "globi flammarum, prope fundamenta crebris adfultibus "erumpentes, fecere locum exustis aliquoties operantibus "inaccessium, hocque modo, elemento destinatius repellente, cessavit inceptum." L. xxiii. p. 412. Edit. 1588.

Q 4 " fire,

"fire, breaking out near the foundation with frequent and reiterated attacks, rendered the place from time to time inaccessible to the foorched and blasted workmen, and that the victorious element continuing in this manner obstinately bent, as it were, to repel their attempts, the enterprise was given over." To oppose the very singular evidence by which this fact is recommended to our belief, requires a more determined spirit of contradiction than is always to be ascribed to insidelity. Mr. Gibbon allows, that "the enterprise was deseated, perhaps, by a preternatural event."

But we cannot wonder, if the evidence on this occasion, which must be respected even by our adversaries, and which is allowed at times to have some influence with them, should, notwithstanding, not have a full and converting influence on their hearts; when we find that they are not to be converted by the powerful arguments in favour of our Religion, which arise from the present state of the Jewish nation, and which immediately apply to their own senses.

Who among our modern unbelievers has not an opportunity of feeing with his own eyes the wonderful completion of the Scrip-

ture-

⁹ Gibbon's Hist, vol. ii. p. 388, 389.

ture-prophecies with regard to the Jews? Who among them may not with his own eyes. fee, in this people, a body of men, providentially preferved to this very day in a state of punishment and a state of separation from all others; religious, yet deriving no bleffing from God; peaceable, yet secure of no protection among men; despised and persecuted during feventeen hundred years as a nation, yet ever zealous of their national distinctions: abounding in riches, yet, contrary to the known influence of riches, destitute of a settled place where to enjoy them; and, as if they were expressly intended by the Almighty for the conversion of those who will not believe except they themselves see a sign from heaven, scattered over every country and obvious to the fenses of every unbeliever?

The miracles, which are adducible in vindication of the divine origin of Christianity as well from the Old as the New Testament, and which constitute its other external and divine proof, were of such a nature as to preclude all possibility of forgery,

Every individual in the Jewish nation was present at the time when the great miracles, recorded in the Pentateuch, were performed. Every one of them must have seen the wonders performed in Egypt; have seen the waters of the Red Sea retire on either side, as on a heap,

to afford them a passage; have heard the voice of God from mount Sinai; and sensibly have experienced the continued miracles wrought for their sustained and the preservation of their raiment during forty years in the wilderness. No one at that time could possibly deceive them in such matters.

The connection, which these miracles had with their law, may ferve also to prove that they were not thus deceived by any fubfequent forgery. For though we should for a moment suppose the whole Jewish nation to have been more absurdly credulous, than ever an individual was known to be in any other; and that immediately upon the propagation of fuch a forgery they might admit at once the truth of these miracles (however unsupported by traditional history;) yet furely they could not be so stupidly passive in the admission of a law which was burdenfome and intolerable. Their own as well as their fathers' experience must have convicted the impostor, who first enjoined the fictitious code as the ancient and divine constitution of their nation, and with it they would undoubtedly have rejected the miracles which were infidioufly defigned for its confecration.

Befides, the Jews are repeatedly commanded

P Deut. viii. 2, 3, 4.

i'n

in their law itself "to teach the things therein "contained to their children; to talk of them "when they sat in their houses, when they walked by the way, when they lay down, "and when they rose up; to bind them for a "sign upon their hand; to make them as front-"lets between their eyes; to write them upon "the posts of their houses and upon their gates." These commands are immediately calculated to preclude any imposition of later ages; and tend in conjunction with the preceding circumstances to demonstrate, that neither the time, nor the manner, in which the law is said to have been delivered, was of man's invention.

Indeed the transactions, recorded in the Pentateuch, are referred to by all the subsequent writers among the Jews in language so simply and convincingly declarative of their divine truth; and the impression, which they made on the minds of the people, was so forcible; that no transactions appear to have been ever received by any nation with such universal and immoveable assent. Jeroboam and the other deserters of the Jewish worship before the 'Ba-

⁹ Deut. vi. 7, 8, 9.

¹ See Jenkih's Reasonableness of Christianity, vol. i. c. 6.

⁵ See Shuckford's Connection, vol. iii. p. 350-399.

t This captivity was not extended to all the people of the Jews for any period of more than fifty years together. bylonish

bylonish captivity, and the sceptical Sadducees after it, dared not deny the truth of the Pentateuch.

But in reality the Jews were fo far from being, as they were generally accounted by the Heathen world, the most credulous of all nations, that they appear to have been the reverse. It cannot be matter of wonder, that men, who had debased their natural notions of Religion by the most superstitious idolatry, should charge every worshipper of the invisible God with credulity. But if we consult the history of the Jews, where alone we can expect to meet with their true character, we shall find them to have been the most stiff-necked people, and that from the beginning they always resisted the Holy Ghost.

This obstinacy might probably be one reafon why the miracles, calculated for the confirmation of their Religion, were performed in a manner so public and unexceptionable: though certainly we have at the same time to acknowledge another most gracious reason for the public notoriety of the miracles, recorded both in the Pentateuch and in other "parts of

the

u Particularly those performed by Joshua, Samuel, and Elijah; and the continued miracle exhibited by the cloud which rested over the Mercy-Seat in the old temple. See, concerning this cloud, Prideaux's Connection, vol. i, p. 119. Fol. Edit.

the Jewish Scriptures; since it has supplied all succeeding ages with irrefragable arguments in vindication of the divine origin of the Jewish Religion.

Of the fame incontrovertible notoriety were the miracles also of the New Testament. They were not done in a corner. Every one had full liberty to pry into their genuineness: and yet the most malicious enemies of our Saviour and his Apostles never pretended, at the time when they are faid to have been performed, to difcover any imposition in them. Nor was the testimony of those, who afterwards stood forth to vouch for their truth, less public or less unexceptionable than the miracles themselves. Every martyr, among the first preachers of Christianity, demonstrates their reality by his death. For what, but the known truth of these wonderful events, made them lay down their lives for a Religion, of which these were an essential support and often the immediate *cause of their own sufferings?

That they were competent witnesses on the occasion, however poor and illiterate, their situation with respect to these miracles and the nature of the transactions themselves fully evince.

Thefe

w See the passage cited from Stillingsleet's Orig. Sac. in the notes, p. 44.

These miracles were all objects of sense, and required no depth of learning and no ingenuity for a proper observation of them. Of whatever attainments or abilities the observers were. they must know whether they had heard the dumb speak, had seen the blind restored to fight, the lame walk, and the dead raised again to life: they must know whether their Lord's crucifixion, at which they were present, were attended with the miraculous circumstances which they relate; and whether they were affured by their fenses that he was again *alive after his passion. We believe in these respects nothing more, than what the disciples of Christ declare, "'they had heard, had feen with their "eyes, had looked on, and their hands had " handled."

Doubtless men, who could hear, see, and seel, were as good witnesses in these matters of sense, as the most learned and acute: and men, who laid down their lives in attestation of sacts thus indubitably known to them, cannot be suspected of any want of veracity on the occasion and must ever with candid inquir-

ers

^{*} See in Townson's Works a very ingenious and very satisfactory harmony of the accounts given in the different Evangelists of the circumstances which relate to our Saviour's resurrection.

y 1 John i. 1.

ers *unexceptionably establish their truth. For however it may be urged, that the truth of the Christian miracles is not proved by the sufferings of Christians for their faith under future persecutions;—the sufferers might be deceived, and through well-meant zeal lay down their lives in defence of an error;—however this may be urged; yet it applies not in the smallest degree to the first teachers of Christianity, who attest on such occasions no-

² The miracles of Christianity appear in reality to have all those requisites, which Mr. Hume says are not to be discovered in any miracle found in history. He says, "there is not to be found in all history any miracle at-"tested by a sufficient number of men of such unquestion-" able good fense, education, and learning, as to secure " us against all delusion in themselves; of such undoubted "integrity, as to place them beyond all suspicion of any " defign to deceive others; of fuch credit and reputation "in the eyes of mankind, as to have a great deal to lofe "in case of being detected in any falshood; and at the " fame time attesting facts performed in such a public "manner and in so celebrated a part of the world, as to "render the detection unavoidable. All which circum-" stances are requisite to give us full assurance in the tes-"timony of men." Essays, vol. ii. p. 130.

The education of St. Paul and the writings of him and of the other authors of the New Testament, their unimpeached characters, their unanimous surrender even of their lives in defence of the truth of the miracles which they relate, the notoriety of these miracles, and the conspicuous situation of Jerusalem and other places where they were wrought, all contribute to resute the affertions of this daring philosopher.

thing

thing but what fell within their own absolute and sensible knowledge.

But the merciful God, who has left us irrefragable proofs of the authenticity of the prophecies, which respect our great Redeemer, in the unbelief of the Jews, has also supplied us with arguments in support of the miracles of our Religion from the different ways in which they have been opposed by our different adversaries.

While the facts were too recent to be disputed. Celsus, Porphyry, and Hierocles admitted their reality, but denied the divine commission of the agent, and the consequent truth of that Religion which they were wrought to establish. In modern times when the consequence of their admission is better known, and there are few, if any, who are not convinced that such credentials must be speak an ambassador sent from God, (especially too when the purport of the embassy does not be contradict the great truths demonstrable by

² See Mr. Moyle's Letters concerning the Thundering Legion, Works, 8vo. vol. ii. p. 353.

b See Stillingfleet's Orig. Sacr. l. ii. c. v. p. 172.

Let it not be thought that the argument here revolves at all in a circle from internal to external evidences and vice versa. For, as Bishop Sherlock says, "Men do not distinguish between the doctrines which we prove by miracles, and the doctrines by which we try miracles: for they are not the same doctrines. God never "wrought

natural Religion,) unbelievers have prudently thought fit to shift their ground. But, unhappily for them, a denial of the Christian miracles at present comes too late: since the facts of this kind, which insidelity has long since admitted upon earlier and better information, will for ever remain a testimony of the truth of Christianity and of the perverseness of modern unbelief.

The universality of our Religion, which forms a part both of its internal and external evidences, is the third and last article by which I propose to prove its divine origin.

This universality was before insisted upon, when I treated of the substance and of the internal evidences of our Religion. Whatever may be commonly understood by it, we certainly ought not to estimate it primarily from the effects which our Religion has produced on

"wrought miracles to prove the difference between good "and evil: and I suppose that if any man were asked "how he proves temperance or chastity to be duties, "murder or adultery to be fins, he would not recur to "miracles for an argument. These and the like duties "are enforced by the Gospel; but were always truths "and duties before our Saviour's coming: and we are "in possession. And these are the doctrines, by which we "try miracles. But the doctrines, which are to be proved "by miracles, are the revealed doctrines of Christianity, "&c." Sermons, vol. i. p. 303. See also Atterbury's, vol. iii. Serm. 8. P. 214—216.

mankind.

mankind. These, except in regions placed beyond the possibility of the Christian's reach, have from the beginning depended essentially upon ourselves. Christianity from the beginning addressed itself to us, as free agents: and, what ought ever to be urged in its favour, it was so published as to leave us, amidst all our prejudices from worldly wisdom and worldly attachments of every kind, at full liberty to accept or reject it, and to obey, or not, the injunctions which it gives concerning its future propagation.

The universality of our Religion, considered as an argument in its favour, ought therefore primarily to be estimated from its general design and other circumstances within itself: and if secondarily from its effects; from these however no farther, than as they are considered under the influence of our own free will.

Its general design may immediately be collected from its general substance. This (as we have 'seen) evinces that Christianity commenced with the creation of mankind; that it has regularly been brought down through the different ages of their history; and that, while it joins together and unites the whole human race by the most comprehensive and intimate connection, it also accounts for and remedies

c In the first Sermon.

thofe

those universal evils in human existence, which man's unenlightened reason could neither explain nor in any considerable degree alleviate. While mankind are deduced by Christianity from the same common stock, and involved in the same consequences of their first parent's voluntary guilt; they are taught to what cause they are to ascribe the introduction of all their sin and misery.

They are no longer left vainly to attempt with philosophy to reconcile their diversified crimes and sufferings with the original appointment of divine goodness. Christianity from one comprehensive cause traces out and explains the whole. Like the true system of the natural world, it accounts in the most simple and intelligible manner for apparent intricacies and irregularities, which long confounded the subtlety and bassled all the ingenuity of conjectural philosophy.

Having referred man's fin and all its destructive consequences to the same original perversion of free will, Christianity goes on to provide as comprehensive a remedy for these evils. The Heathens indeed could see and deplore the decorruption of human nature: but as they knew not its cause, so they were still more

R 2

ignorant

d See Stillingfleet's Orig. Sac. p. 509-514.

e Ibid. p. 476-500.

ignorant of its proper 'remedy. They were too conscious of the guilt of sin, not to be convinced of the punishment which it deserved at the hands of infinite justice: and at the same time too sensible of its instuence over the best men, to suppose their actions could merit reward, much less eternal happiness, from an all-persect God. They were therefore induced through fear, either to counteract their general apprehensions and to deny a future state of retribution, or to adjust it to their own infirmities and demerits.

The idea of an all-perfect Redeemer and of universal sanctification and acceptance through him, however revealed to their forefathers, yet, when corrupted by idolatry and lost in superstition, was not to be recovered by the most daring effort of human conjecture. But, what man could not conceive, much less expect, Christianity had from the beginning ordained,

Μη μοι θανατον γε παραυδα φαιδιμ' Οδυσσευ Βουλοίμην κ' απαρουρος εων θητευεμεν αλλω Ανδει παρ' ακληρω ώ μη βιοτος πολυς ειη Η πασι νεκυεσσι καταρθιμενοισιν ανασσειν.

Odyff. 14 1. 487.

was

f Porphyry is made to fay by St. Augustine: "nondum receptam unam sectam quæ universalem viam animæ contineat liberandæ." De Civit. Dei, l. x. c. 32.

⁸ We may learn from Homer of what fort the future life was which the Heathens expected. Achilles is deferibed by him, as faying to Ulysses in the regions below,

was gradually preparing, and at length accomplished in the Gospel-covenant. Infinite justice and mercy, having been vindicated with regard to the commencement of man's imperfections, are reconciled in his pardon by the merits and intercession of the second Person in the Godhead. By these means eternal happiness is again offered to mankind, and the road to it is opened by an universal expiation for those imperfections of human nature which were an invincible stumbling-block to every other system of future rewards: "God spared "not his own Son, but delivered him up for us "all." Such, and so comprehensive, is Christianity in its general design.

Its other internal and particular parts are not less universally calculated for the infruction and happiness of all mankind. Nothing is to be found in its precepts, adapted to one state or people, and not to all. In Christ Jesus there is no difference between Jew and Greek, bond and free, male and female. He, by whom all the nations of the earth were at first separated from one family, again unites them as brethren under the same laws and into the same communion. And that no one might be debarred of access to the Religion which was thus designed for the

R 3

happi-

h Rom. viii. 32. See also I John ii. 2.

happiness of all, the New Testament was evidently calculated by its plainness and simplicity for the instruction of the lowest and most illiterate in every age and nation: herein remarkably differing not only from the doctrines of unassisted reason, which are not to be investigated in their different relations without the learning and labour of philosophy; but also from the antecedent parts of Revelation.

As these latter were the obscure i commencement of Christianity, and as they were intended particularly for the Jews, they often abound in fublime and figurative representations; which perhaps can thoroughly be understood by those Oriental nations only, whose study and delight they were. But the language of the New Testament, like its doctrine, is universal. Every one is enabled without difficulty to partake of its benefits. Every one also is invited to partake of them: k" Come unto me, all ye "that labour and are heavy laden, and I will "give you rest," was the Redeemer's affectionate address to mankind. 1" Go ye into all " the world, and preach the Gospel to every " creature," was the commission, with which he sent forth his disciples; a commission, which

the

[&]quot;" The ancient Patriarchs were the Christians of the old world." Cave's Primitive Christianity, vol. i. p. 22.

k Matt. xi. 28.

1 Mark xvi. 15.

the existence at this hour, and contents, of the New Testament every where prove, that they executed, under the influence of the Holy Spirit, in such a manner as to leave their successors abundant means to make the Gospel known in process of time to every individual throughout the world.

I have above faid, that the effects, produced on mankind by Christianity, will as long as we are free agents depend effentially upon ourfelves: and that its univerfality ought not to be estimated from these effects any farther than as they are confidered under the influence of man's free will. But, even under this mode of confideration, they will afford convincing proofs of the unlimitable extent of our Religion. Though Christianity was so proposed to mankind from the beginning, as to leave them at full liberty to accept or reject it; yet, on its first publication, it was immediately embraced by multitudes. In fucceeding ages it was established in the most civilized and most powerful empires. And in our own time we know, that it is the Religion of all those, who are justly ranked among the enlightened nations of the earth.

That it is not professed at present by more of the unenlightened inhabitants of our globe, is to be ascribed, in the countries placed beyond our reach, to similar dispensations of R 4 Provi-

Providence with those which withheld for so many ages the coming itself of the Redeemer: in the countries, accessible to us, it is to be ascribed, not to our Religion, but to our own impersections; to our want of Christian zeal to propagate it among distant nations, or rather perhaps to our want of Christian virtue to recommend it by our conduct to their acceptance.

It has however widely extended its falutary influence among those who are not its actual professors. It has improved the morality of both mahometans and Pagans: it has also improved their religious creeds; affording them instruction not easily to be limited in its dispersion, and, we may trust, much more beneficial in its consequences, than that which is derived from Revelation by unbelievers in Christian countries, who doubtless would not exceed the most subtle of Heathen philosophers on subjects of natural Religion, if they had not access to that Gospel which they affect to despise.

We are indeed encouraged to look forward to a future profession of Christianity, such perhaps as our adversaries require; when the Christian Religion, which is universal in its design and constitution, shall also be univer-

m Secker's Sermons, vol. ii. p. 257.

fally

fally received; "" when the earth shall be "filled with the knowledge of the glory of "the Lord as the waters cover the sea." But whether this unlimited profession of Christianity is to arise from a strenuous exertion of the means which we now possess of making converts to it, or from any new interposition of the Almighty, we are not informed; much less are we authorised to require, or to hope for, an immediate accomplishment of the blessed event.

In the mean time however there is one effect which we are encouraged to expect from this holy Religion, and which, though it is itself also dependent on man's free will, must more than compensate for any deficiency of extension that can possibly be objected at prefent to the Christian Church: We are encouraged humbly to expect that the atonement made for us by our great Redeemer will be as extensive as the influence of our first parent's fall, and that no one among all the descendants of Adam will be excluded from some at least of its benefits, but such as wilfully shut their eyes against the truth, and, either from the pride of human reason, or the lust of senfual pleasure, reject so great salvation.

In this manner does Christianity carry with

[&]quot; Hab. ii. 14.

o See the first Sermon.

it a remedy for the deficiencies above pointed out in natural Religion:—First, it is calculated to lead mankind to future happiness by the complete knowledge, which it conveys to them, of themselves, of their duty and its obligation, and of their attainable acceptance with God; — Secondly, it was accompanied with external and divine attestations of its truth;—and, Thirdly, it is distinguished by its universality.

If these things then are certain; if natural Religion evinces that there is an all-perfect God, the Creator and Preserver of all things; if it evinces also from the present circumflances of our existence that there will be a future state of retribution: if at the same time this Religion is effentially deficient in its instruction, in its proofs, and, as a system which is too difficult for the investigation of common capacities, in its extent; and if under these eircumstances any Revelation should be set forth, confirming what is evinced by natural Religion and remedying what is deficient in it: then we must either confess the truth of fuch a Revelation, or suppose God himself to have favoured an imposition upon mankind: especially too, when we recollect that one of the deficiencies of natural Religion, remedied by the supposed Revelation, is the want of external and divine proofs. For what reason to

the utmost extent of its abilities recommends, and such proofs confirm, is unquestionably the voice of God speaking distinctly to every intelligent creature.

Thus I have attempted to state in a summary manner the arguments in general, which are adducible in proof of the truth of our Religion, and consequently in vindication of the answer which may be given from thence concerning the Hope that is in us.

In justice however to a cause, which has been defended in the ablest and fullest manner, I must repeat the observation with which I entered upon this part of my fubject; " that it " is impossible to comprehend within a small " compass a regular compendium of the whole, " or even of the more important part, of the "arguments by which the truth of our holy "Religion may be proved." The repetition of this observation cannot but be necessary in an age when fuperficial vindications of our Religion are found to do as much injury to the cause which they defend, as the writings against which they are commonly levelled. Since many, even of those who think their Religion worthy of ferious attention, contenting themselves with such cursory treatises, are naturally led to impute their imperfections to the fubject itself: and, because some parts are passed over in silence and others very briefly handled,

handled, they conclude at once either that nothing, or that very little, can be faid in their behalf.

But if men are really in earnest and wish to give the arguments in favour of Christianity a fair examination, let them attentively peruse the discourses delivered at Mr. Boyle's Lecture. Or if this should be thought too laborious an undertaking, let them read and thoroughly digest what Bishop Pearson has written on the Creed; and they will find, especially in the former case, so many reasons assigned for the truth of every part of our Religion, that neither the infinuations of its enemies, nor the injudicious zeal of its friends, will ever after be likely to shake their Faith.

The importance of the inquiry must convince every sensible man that his time could not be better employed. And the consequence of it to every well-disposed man will be such a full assurance of the truth of our Religion, as must inspire him with that settled peace of

exem-

s Swift in his Letter to a young Clergyman goes fo far as to fay, "There is one observation which I never knew to fail, and I defire you will examine it in the course of your life, that no gentleman of a liberal education and regular in his morals did ever profess himself a free-thinker." Miscell. vol. i. p. 285.

s Nothing can exhibit a more striking contrast to the joyful expectations, derived from pure Religion, than the miserable consequences of Scepticism. The latter are well

mind, and pleasing confidence with regard to futurity, which alone can constitute the happiness of a rational being. Since, to conclude the present head with the words of an admired modern 'writer, "What true Religion and "true philosophy dictate of God, and Providence, and man, is so charming, so consomant with all the finer and nobler feelings in human nature, that every man of taste who hears of it must wish it to be true: and I never yet heard of one person of candour, who wished to find the evidence of the Gospel satisfactory, and did not find it so."

exemplified in the feries of Mr. Hume's philosophical writings. After he has endeavoured to wrest from us all the pleasing confidence, which we may derive from Christianity, by his Treatist on Human Nature, his Essays, and his Dialogues; he concludes his sceptical works with a desence of suicide and an attempt to prove the mortality of the soul. After depriving his admirers of the most valuable consolations of this life, he surely makes them but poor amends by telling them at last that they may cut their throats and safely depend upon eternal annihilation.

¹ See Beattie on Truth, p. 447. 8vo. 5th. Edit.

SERMON

Digitized by Google

SERMON VIL

1 Рет. iii. 15.

Be ready always to give an answer to every man, that asketh you a reason of the Hope that is in you.

HAVING finished the parts of my design, which relate to the substance, history, and evidences of our Religion, it remains that I speak concerning the objections which are urged in opposition to its truth.

This last topic unhappily furnishes abundant matter for our consideration. For as superstition and bigotry have ever attempted to preclude a discordancy of opinion with regard to Christianity by discouraging inquiries into its evidences, so contrary prejudices have uniformly led to the opposite extreme; and this most benevolent of all institutions, instead of securing the respect, by providing for the welfare, of mankind, has been treated with the most licentious freedom. Whatever be the

cause of these prejudices against our Religion, the effect of them is indisputable. Offences in Revelation have been industriously sought after: and the objections, in which they are urged, have been directed against every article that comes recommended by its authority.

To expose the futility of each particular among these objections has furnished the learning of ages with ample employment. According to the plan which I have laid down, I am to content myself, under the present head, with pointing out the general fources of fuch objections, and with showing that a forcible removal of these offences by divine interpolition would be inconsistent with the doctrines themselves of Revelation: concluding the whole with a particular account of those objections, which are advanced against our Religion from the pretentions of philosophy. Thus limited however, the present head, no less than the preceding ones, will suggest irrefragable reasons, by which we may satisfy both ourselves and others concerning the Hope that is in us.

As this head will supply sufficient matter for our consideration at two different times; I shall make what relates to the general sources of objection the subject of our immediate consideration, and reserve for the next opportunity the

the particular objections with which I am to conclude.

It hath 'already been remarked, "that the "whole strength of Atheism consists in con-" tradicting the universal reason of mankind: "that Atheists have no principle and can have " none, and therefore that they can never rea-" fon, but only confidently deny or affirm." I shall therefore not attempt to trace from any more immediate fources those objections against the being and attributes of God, which must all ultimately be referred either to want of due attention to the objects which furround us, or to a spirit of unsupported contradiction; and, without particular notice of them, shall proceed to the different objections, which are advanced against our Religion by men who admit both the existence and infinite perfection of God.

By some of these it is thought absurd, that Revelation, or our Religion as it is contained in the Holy Scriptures and derived from an all-perfect Author, should be at all the subject of offence: and that emanations from the fountain of truth should furnish the most prejudiced sceptic with even an appearance of error. But fuch men would do well to confider the imperfections of human nature, and

² In the fifth Sermon.

to inquire whether all the objections against Revelation ought not in reality to be ascribed to these impersections, as to their proper sources.

We know in the first place that the purest stream may be rendered foul by the channel through which it passes: and if we view man in his most enlightened state, we shall have no reason to wonder, that instructions, committed to writing and conveyed in successive ages through his hands, should blose much of their original purity.

Besides, it appears necessary from the imperfections of mankind, that Revelation should be attended with a variety of circumstances, which will give rise to objections more extensive than are drawn from mere errors in the conveyance, and which will contribute in an eminent degree to render belief in its truth a matter of faith and not of demonstration.

For, as containing a body of written instructions for the information and direction of its professors, at whatever time Revelation were given, its antiquity in subsequent ages would render many of those parts, which depend

upon

b However, it has happened, whether our adversaries will allow it to have happened providentially or not, that the corruptions of the facred text have neither been so numerous, nor of so great importance, as might have been expected.

upon the construction of language and the customs of mankind, obscure at least and uncertain. And where the obscurity and uncertainty of writings require at times the assistance of conjecture, it is well known that no original perfection can secure them against all the different kinds of contradiction and aspersion.

Exclusive also of these general appendages on human impersection, which are so common to all the very ancient writings, as to be allowed by men of candour to furnish no reasonable objection against any; there are numberless circumstances, arising from the incompetency of human judgment, which will affect such a system as the holy Scriptures unfold, and bring unmerited censure upon it.

A narrative, which commences with the creation, which relates during the progress of nearly four thousand years the most extraordinary occurrences of history, and which abounds with the greatest variety of matter, will be exposed to charges of misrepresentation, when it is submitted to readers, incapable of being surnished with an absolute and universal knowledge of facts. For, in a succession of many different things, it is probable

c Aristotle cites from Agatho these lines:
Ταχ' αν τις εικος αυτο τουτ' ειναι λεγοι
Βροτοισι σολλα τυγχανειν ουκ εικοτα

and

that fome will happen contrary to probability.

Especially too, will this be the case where things natural and supernatural are intermixed with each other. Even the latter, though referred for their origin to the immediate interposition of the Deity, will, notwithstanding, leave beings of contracted and sceptical minds peculiar occasions of offence. Miracles, though related by eye-witnesses, will, as facts of a singular kind, be deminently exposed to every doubt which can be infinuated against human testimony. And prophecies, which mean not to destroy free-agency, cannot at times escape the most injurious suspicions which arise from intentional obscurity.

Moreover, as we are unacquainted with the effence of God and the general economy of

and remarks on them, "γιγνεται γαρ το παρα το εικος ώς ε εικος και το παρα το εικος." Rhetoric, p. 385. 8νο—Edit. Cant. 1728.

He had before cited to the same, or nearly the same, effect,—p. 351.

Ειπερ ες εν εν βροτοις ψευδηγορειν Πιθανον, νομιζειν χρη γε και τουναντιον Απις' αληθη σολλα συμθαινειν βροτοις.

d "Suppose for instance that the fact, which the testi"mony endeavours to establish, partakes of the extraordinary and the marvellous; in that case the evidence
"resulting from the testimony admits of a diminution
"greater or less in proportion as the fact is more or less
"unusual." Hume's Essays, vol. ii. p. 127.

his

his moral government; those parts of Revelation, which ought perhaps to be thought the least exposed in themselves to plausible objection, will not on that account be less confidently affailed by our adversaries. Thus the mysteriousness of passages in a dispensation, which, proceeding from God, must sometimes refer to the incomprehenfible effence of its Author, will from our inability to judge in these matters be construed into absurdity. And, as the reasons of God's dealings with man are past our finding out; according to our different conceptions of what he ought to do, objections will be urged against the matter of Revelation, as either too limited or too extenfive, against the time and manner, in which it was first introduced and propagated in the world, against the kind of evidence by which its truth is confirmed, and against the means by which its proposed happiness is to be obtained.

So likewise as we are unacquainted with the essences of every part of creation, no less than of the Creator himself, and are ever ready to supply the want of knowledge by a variety of conjecture, different kinds of objections will arise from a comparison of these different conjectures with Revelation: since the same unfavourable conclusion may be suggested against its truth, whether its intention in referring to s 2 certain

certain parts of creation be misunderstood, or a knowledge of the parts themselves be unfathomable, by its opponents.

To the fources of objection here enumerated, and which are all immediately derived from human imperfection, we may subjoin the effects of this imperfection on the manner in which our Religion has been explained, received, and defended, by Christians themselves; and the unmerited farcasms, to which it has been exposed from the pious and interested artisice, no less than from the sense all ity and ignorance of its professors.

And if at length, in addition to all these circumstances, we take into our account the active influence of those corrupt and powerful motives, which will induce many to search out

e The farcasms of this kind, to which our Religion has been exposed, do not proceed from Mr. Gibbon and Voltaire only. The philosophical Mr. Hume has founded a very considerable part of his objections against miracles on the sictions concerning the Abbé Paris and others. The inferences, which he draws from sictitious miracles, and from our want of experience as to such extraordinary events, (see the notes on the fifth Sermon,) constitute almost the whole of his bold invective against the miraculous proofs of our Religion. And what he thus urges against miracles he applies without variation to prophecies also.—See his Essays, vol. ii. p. 147.

On fo weak a foundation rest the writings, which are often found to unsettle the faith and destroy the peace of unguarded readers!

and

and extend to the uttermost all such means of discrediting divine Revelation; we shall not want sources to which we may refer the numberless objections advanced against our Religion.

How far it would be possible for Omnipotence itself to remove all these occasions of offence, and to reduce Christianity to an indisputable and permanent certainty, without a previous annihilation of all the impersections of our nature, I am not concerned at present to inquire. This question has been discussed, and the negative of it ingeniously maintained by a modern writer on the origin of evil. I am here engaged to prove, that if the Deity were thus to interpose his almighty power, it would be inconsistent with the doctrines themselves of Revelation.

But, before I proceed to this, I may obferve, by way of general illustration, that the interposition here described would be evidently inconsistent even with that course of things, which is universally established and approved in the natural world.

If we confult the common appearances which furround us, we shall every where find circumstances similar to those complained of in our Religion. The constitution of things

f Soame Jenyns.

s 4

presents

presents to our impersect reason so many difficulties and uncertainties, as to demonstrate that in the natural world it is by no means the design of Providence to submit the propriety of his dispensations to our judgment, or to force us into action by any more persuasive motives than rational probabilities.

In the common and hourly occurrences of this life we cannot foretel the certain consequence of the most vigorous exertions, nor ensure the fuccess of the best principles. To have excluded therefore with the possibility of objection all appearance of uncertainty from Revelation, would in reality have been to form the world, as s fome partial observers of the divine dispenfations infift it is formed, upon one plan, and the Religion for it upon another.-But fuch an enforcement of truth would not only be inconsistent with the course of nature, as indeed hath been evinced at large by Bishop Butler; it would be inconsistent also, as I am to prove, with what we are taught by Revelation itself.

To prove this, nothing more will be necesfary, than to call your attention to the infor-

mation

⁵ See Soame Jenyns's Internal Evidences.

h See his "Analogy of Religion natural and revealed to the Constitution and Course of Nature." A diligent perusal of this work can never be too earnestly recommended to the Christian philosopher.

mation which Christianity gives us concerning itself and the subject before us. The missortune of most sincere unbelievers is, that they consider the Christian Religion in a very erroneous point of view. They consider it merely as a covenant made at some particular time between God and man without reference to any former transactions; and from thence they proceed to settle the degree of certainty which they require of its truth. This however is not to consider Christianity, but a conceit of their own.

No fystem was ever denied the privilege of explaining its own nature and limiting its extent: and according to that standard alone, which itself proposes, can it be fairly estimated. Christianity pretends not to be a covenant between two equal parties, nor even between a Creator and his creatures fimply; but between an all-just and all-merciful God and fallen degenerate man. As fuch it informs us of the cause of man's fall, and of the conditions required on his part to reinstate him in God's favour. In the perversion of free will it points out the fource of human mifery; and, in the regulation of the fame principle, a remedy for this mifery through the merits of an all-perfect Intercessor.

i See the first Sermon.

For

For the privilege of free will, though wrested to the corruption, was still to be, according to its original design, the ultimate perfection of human nature. To this great foundation therefore of all rational and moral excellence every evidence, and every precept of Christianity was to be accommodated.

The Saviour, who was fent from heaven to make atonement for man, whilst he promises the affistance of the Holy Spirit to his weakness, pardon to his frailties, and even remission of his greatest crimes upon that repentance and faith which carry with them a reformation of life, still leaves the fincere obedience, which was to procure all these bleffings, in man's own power. And those emphatical words in the last chapter of that book which closes the whole revealed will of God, "he that is unjust, let him be unjust " still, and he that is filthy, let him be filthy " still," convey the manifest intention of every part of Scripture with regard to those who are determined to persevere in disobedience.

Correspondently with this, such arguments only are offered to establish the faith of mankind as are sufficient to convince dispassionate inquirers: and, we are expressly informed by one of the Apostles, that there were "" some

i Rev. xxii. 11. k 2 Pet. iii. 16. "things

"things in St. Paul's writings hard to be understood, which they, who are unlearned
and unstable, wrest, as they do also the other
Scriptures, to their own destruction." In
the same manner our blessed Saviour is called
a structure and a rock of offence:
and, so far from compelling men to receive his
doctrines, he himself explicitly declares, that
to those, whose hearts were waxed gross, whose
ears were dull of hearing, and who had closed
their eyes, the mysteries of the kingdom of
God were represented in parables; that seeing
they might see and not perceive, and hearing
they might hear and not understand.

However often tempted, never did he condeficend by any more convincing proofs of his divine mission, than those which he had before given, to win their attention who, like the deaf adder, stop their ears. Indeed the insidelity of his audience often made him withdraw the means designed for their conviction instead of adding to them. Thus we are told of his own countrymen, that "" he did not many mighty " works among them because of their unbe- "lief." Or, as another Evangelist expresses it, "he could not do any mighty work among "them" for that reason.

Nor

¹ Rom. ix. 33.

m Matt. xiii. 11-15.

n Matt. xiii. 58.

o Mark vi. 5, 6.

Nor need we wonder at the expression; fince the whole tenour of Revelation required fuch a promulgation of our Religion, as should leave those at liberty to reject it in whom the God of this world had blinded their eyes. For though divine mercy had interposed to rouse mankind from the lethargic fecurity of continued fin, and had engaged, through the merits of a Redeemer, both to supply them with supernatural means of resisting the corruption of their fallen nature, and to procure acceptance for their fincere, however imperfect, fervices; yet is nothing more any where proposed by their redemption. Man's state at first was only probationary; to no better therefore can we possibly expect that he should be advanced by the forfeiture of his innocence.

Besides, if the fallen angels, those dreadful examples of almighty vengeance, all perished; how would it have been consistent with the same infinite justice that any other fallen creature should at once be pardoned and invested with eternal happiness; or, what is the same, that his actions should be determined by fatal necessity, or his faith constrained by irressible demonstration, to a conformity with such duties or doctrines as would unavoidably lead in their consequences to eternal happiness? Those angels indeed, as we may infer, fell each separately

rately by his own presumption. But what else will they do who perish under the Gospel-covenant?

Had God condemned all, that were in Adam's loins, to eternal punishment for the sin of their first parent, his mercy might perhaps have been called in question with some appearance of reason. But he has more than vindicated this his most endearing attribute. Of his own will he has redeemed mankind from their first fall; and it is to himself alone that each man must impute his second. To restore the human race even to this capacity of happiness was not effected without the sacrifice of an all-persect Redeemer. To have done more for them would have required a sacrifice of a very different kind: the sacrifice (if it may be so called) of divine justice.

Instead therefore of the blessings of eternal life being forced upon us by the removal of objections from our Religion, it is singularly and awfully remarkable in what manner restraints are every where on the contrary withdrawn from our faith. In the natural world, he, that silleth all things with his presence, has condescended for a season to retire, as it were, from this scene of probation, or in the Scripture language to hide himself; and

P Job xxiii. 8, 9. Isaiah xlv. 15.

to leave mankind to themselves and the conclusions of their own reason, save that he every moment supports the profligate sensualists, who, because they do not see and hear him, dare deny his existence. And, under the Christian dispensation, the light of the glorious Gospel is lest to our own discovery and application; though at the same time it disfuses its splendour around those very unbelievers who are studious to retort every ray of divine illumination against the great luminary itself from which it issues.

Nor do any of the arguments, drawn from the creation, more fully prove the infinite perfection of its Author, than this his government of the moral world, explicitly declared in Revelation, and uniformly experienced in human life. Having, through Christianity, again prepared the means and proposed the conditions of happiness for mankind, he determined to leave the ultimate reception and operation of this Religion to that free will by which they were from the beginning to stand or fall. And this, once resolved by a Being of infinite perfection, none of those passions and resentments, which disturb and frustrate the councils of man, have ever been able to invalidate: "His a righteousness standeth like the strong

9 Pf. xxxvi. 6.

" moun-

" mountains, and his judgments are like the "great deep."

At the same time, lest unbelievers should prefumptuously conceive, that, by deriding, they defeat also the councils of the great Author of Revelation, he has expressly foretold. in addition to all the other information which he has given us on the subject, not only that "it must 'needs be that offences come," but alfo, that " there should come in the last days "'fcoffers walking after their own lusts:" men, who, we may presume, were to resemble those described by the excellent 'Hooker, and "bear the title of wife men, and scribes, " and great disputers of this world: men, who " are nothing indeed less, than what in shew "they most appear; who, being addicted unto " their own wills, use their wit; their learning, "and all the wisdom they have, to maintain "that which their obstinate hearts are de-"lighted with, esteeming in the frantic error " of their minds the greatest madness in the "world to be wisdom, and the highest wis-"dom foolifhness."

The scoffs of unbelievers therefore, thus foretold, instead of defeating the councils of the great Author of Revelation, serve on the

contrary

Matt. xviii. 7. 2 Pet. iii. 3.

^t Eccl. Pol. b. iii. c. viii. p. 75. Edit. 1666.

contrary to display the veracity of his predictions: and the long-suffering, with which they are permitted to persist in opposition to the truth, proves only that the perverseness of man cannot prevail to annul the merciful offers of that all-persect Being, who has declared, "" I man Jehovah, I change not; therefore, ye fons of Jacob are not consumed."

Whatever then unbelievers may fay or immoral men do; it is not confistent with what our Religion declares of itself, nor with the requisitions and predictions which it holds forth to its professors, that any force should be applied to restrain the principles of the one more than the practice of the other. Christianity has engaged to save neither without his own "voluntary concurrence: and surely its truth cannot be affected by permitting, what on the refusal of such concurrence it has most solemnly denounced, the eternal destruction of both.

Such are the fources from which the objections against our Religion are drawn; and so inconsistent with it is any forcible removal of these offences.

But, left any one should imagine that the

method

u Mal. iii. 6.

w Erasmus very justly says in his paraphrase on St. Matt. xxii. 37. O Jerusalem, Jerusalem, thou that killest the prophets, &c. "Cui semel data est arbitrii libertas, "invitus servari non potest."

method of reasoning here pursued might be extended to justify the absurdities of any religious fystem whatever, it may (before I dismiss these general observations on the objections against our Religion) be an useful caution to remark at large, that I have been attempting to account for the endless variety of these objections, and not to apologize for them, as charges, which can properly be directed against our Religion and which are founded in truth. Christians are as ready as their enemies to confess, that the Deity can never be fupposed to reveal any thing contradictory to reason: and Christianity needs not the assistance of fubterfuges. However many of its parts may have been controverted; no part of it was ever proved to be false or irrational

The numberless absurdatives not only of the best-fancied hypothesis of Deism, but of every other pretension to Revelation besides that of the Holy Scriptures; even of the pretensions of Jews and Christians themselves, when they desert the written word and descend to oral tradition; immediately discover a fallibility of human origin. But the difficulties, attending Christianity, are of a very different kind, and prove only that it does not mean to counteract, with regard to itself, the natural consequences of our impersections; but leaves those

consequences to form that essential part of our trial, which requires a good disposition, as previously necessary, that we may know of the doctrines of our Religion whether they be of God: and which requires, above all, a spirit of investigation, the reverse of that which betrays itself on subjects of sceptical dispute: "For thus saith the high and lofty One, that "inhabiteth eternity, whose name is Holy, "I dwell in the high and holy place; with "him also that is of a contrite and humble "spirit."

In conformity with these requisitions, which are so strongly enforced in the holy Scriptures as to be constituted sources of predestination to eternal life, assent is enjoined without demonstration, and the Christian's faith is made an indication of a well-disposed and humble mind, as well as the deduction of an unprejudiced judgment. To an affectation in our first parents of being wise, as Gods, Revelation ascribes our present misery: and from a modest use of that reason, which was designed for man, does this gracious dispensation encourage us to hope for a restoration to happiness.

To this reason it appeals, commanding us to fearch the Scriptures and as wise men to judge for ourselves; but so to judge, as to

x John vii. 17. y If. lvii. 15.

² John v. 39. ² 1 Cor. x. 15.

remember that the Christian's faith must ever be a virtue. And as it cannot, confishently with this appellation, require demonstrative conviction, (for where then would be its pretension to virtue?) so neither can it, conformably with that reason, by which, as the candle of the Lord, we are to examine Revelation itfels, be founded on absurdities or inconsistencies.

As the Christian's faith does not admit of demonstration, so neither will it acquiesce in enthusiasm or superstition. It rises still more above these latter, than it falls short of the former. It requires all the evidence which the nature of the thing itself suggests; but no more. It requires that a Revelation, fent from God for the benefit of mankind, should be commensurate with all the real wants with regard to belief as well as practice, which can be alleged by well-disposed and humble men, and that it should throughout be consistent with the glory of God. It does not require that Revelation should carry with it such irrefiftible proof of its truth, as to remove every offence and stop the mouth of infidelity. This would destroy free will and render human life no longer a state of probation.

What our Religion is in its substance, its history, and its evidences, we have seen. It hath appeared in all these respects, not only to be

be fuch, as to confirm in the highest degree the description here given of the faith required of us; but such also, as will abundantly satisfy every expectation of the well-disposed and humble inquirer, and preserve him, under the influence of this faith, unmoved by all the attempts of insidelity.

Filled with pious gratitude for the affurances afforded him of the truth of his Religion, he will confess both the origin and the irremediable nature of the objections advanced against it; and will adore that infinite wisdom which has so dispensed to mankind its gracious communications, as to enable them, by voluntary obedience here, to cooperate with their great Redeemer in securing for their inheritance that perfect happiness which is designed to be their everlasting recompence hereafter.

Having faid thus much, according to my original proposal, concerning the objections in general that are advanced against our Religion, and having moreover added a caution with regard to the manner in which I have treated of them, I shall proceed in the next Discourse to the particular objections with which I am to conclude.

SERMON

SERMON VIII.

1 PET. iii. 15.

Be ready always to give an answer to every man, that asketh you a reason of the Hope that is in you.

 ${
m THERE}$ are no objections, among all that are derived from the different fources enumerated in the preceding Discourse, against which Christians of an inquisitive mind will require that more care should be taken to guard their faith and to supply them with reasons in vindication of the Hope that is in them, than those which are to be the subject of our prefent confideration. The objections to our Religion, urged immediately in favour of sensual gratifications, are notoriously the offspring of a vicious disposition, and retain few learned advocates. But those, which are drawn from philosophy, assume the form of rational inquiries; too often under this specious appearance discrediting our Religion with the followers and admirers of human science.

To

To hold forth cautions therefore against the delusiveness of philosophy, is one of the most zealous concerns of the inspired penmen: and as nothing more powerfully dissuades men from error than an exposition of its real principles, I cannot perhaps more effectually cooperate with these cautions in the present Discourse, than by endeavouring to point out in it particularly the origin and soundation of the objections, which are thus advanced against our Religion from the pretensions of philosophy.

It has been observed by a celebrated b critic, that there is no fource of excellence in writing, which will not produce the contrary effect in fuch as are forward to distinguish themselves by some unusual display of genius. If this obfervation be accommodated to our present subject, we shall cease to wonder that the study of philosophy, which has supplied humble inquirers with fo many arguments in support of Revelation, should recommend itself to men of an opposite character by suggesting to them objections against its truth. And if we reflect that abstracted reasonings, no less than immediate discoveries in nature, form a part of philosophy, and that both may equally be directed against Revelation, we shall moreover cease

^a Col. ii. 8. ^b Longinus, fect. v.

to wonder that these objections should at length become innumerable.

Those, which are drawn from abstracted reasonings, extend themselves to every part of our Religion.

For as, from the variety of causes to which effects may be referred, or to which, through our ignorance of the effences of things, they appear capable at least of being referred, there is no occurrence in common life which will not afford pleas for affigning it to some false cause; so there is no subject, accounted for in Revelation, which has not furnished arguments to prove the possibility of its reference to some other cause than what is suggested in the inspired writings, and which has not at length been studiously wrested from its true origin by an 'illogical deduction of the agency of that other cause from the existence of the general effect.—Thus the creation, formation, and government of the universe have been ascribed to necessity or chance, as it displays more or less order to sceptical examiners.— Matter itself, as it exists under certain modes

т 4

perceiv-

c By the position, as it is called in hypothetical reasoning, of the antecedent from the position of the consequent. Aristotle mentions this, as a thing which is very natural, but very fallacious: "Οιονται γαρ ανθεωποι, όταν τουδι οντος "η γινομενου τοδι γινεται, ει το υς ερον εςι, και το σε εστεφον ειναι "η γινεσθαι" τουτο δε εςι ψευδος." Poet. c. 24.

perceivable only by the fenses, has been referred for the fource of this its disputed existence to mere perceptions; while these again have been donfounded with ideas.—Spirit also, as it partakes with the body of fimilar imperfections in infancy, of fimilar gradations of improvement in advancing years, and of the same, even fometimes incapacitating, affections throughout life, has been denied any other existence than what it has been faid to derive from the precarious harmony of bodily organs.-By as fophistical a method of referring things to new and fuppolititious causes, the motives, from which the most common of men's actions proceed, have been erected into principles of neceffity, and invefted with the absolute controll of the human mind.—Nor have there been wanting philosophers to ascribe the contradictory opinions of different ages and nations on the same subjects, and even on moral virtues, to the impossibility of any criterion of truth, and to a total want of moral difference in men's actions.-While others, with an equally flattering remedy for the consciousness of guilt, have boldly afferted, because men are affaulted by different degrees of temptation, and endowed with different powers of resistance, that therefore whatever is called virtue in mankind

d See Beattie's Effay on Truth, p. 242, &c. proceeds

proceeds either from circumstances of situation, or from natural frame and constitution.

Attempts having been thus made to subvert the principles of Revelation, and indeed of all Religion, by assuming, as the real causes of things, those, which (to indulge scepticism in its most cabsurd positions) are no more than the possible causes of them; it is unnecessary to show that the same has been the case also with regard to all the remaining and appropriate parts of Revelation.

The truth is, things, if they exist at all, must exist under some circumstances; and while these circumstances may be referred through ignorance or artistice to sictitious causes, the mind of man, when ambitious to support the credit of human sufficiency, will acquiesce in any extravagant siction sooner than accede to the doctrines of Revelation.

e Such as, that necessity and chance may be causes of things; that matter has no existence; and that an organization of matter in the human body may produce spirit or mind, &c. &c. Of which positions the two sirst are evidently absurd in themselves: and with regard to the last, matter and mind are not only totally different things; but likewise it has been proved anatomically concerning the brain, from the organization of which this spirit or mind is supposed to proceed, that "no part of it is essentially necessary to the existence of the intellectual factualities." See Dr. Ferrier's argument against materialism in the Memoirs of the Manchester Society, vol. iv. part 1.

 T_{0}

To expose therefore the want of foundation in objections against Revelation, derived from such an origin, it is by no means required to prove it to be impossible that the causes assumed in them should produce the assigned effects. From the possibility no logic can ever infer the reality of such a production. Besides, proofs of the impossibility of it cannot be universally and decisively adduced without a knowledge of the effences of things.

To expose the want of folid foundation in the objections before us, it is required to show only that the causes laid down in Revelation are fufficient to account for the appearances of things: or that, if Revelation be true, things will exist under those very circumstances from which new causes are perversely inferred and directed to disprove its truth :-that (with regard to the particulars above instanced) if an all-wife God did create the universe, it would be governed by regular laws; and that even this regularity in the operation of some parts of the creation would, from the interference of other as well regulated parts of it, produce at times effects which may appear to be devoid of all regularity and order:-that if matter were produced by Omnipotence for the use of beings endowed with sense, it is reafonable to expect that it should be subject to their perception by means of the organs of sense.

fense, and this too in proportion only as those organs are employed about it:-that if the spirit of man were designed for an existence, as progressive at least in improvement as his body, its original state may well be as proportionably imperfect, and its proficiency in attainments as gradual as that of his body; and that if bodily enjoyments and fufferings be fo many trials of the spirit, it will be affected by all these sensations, and, amidst diversities of probation, the corruptible body will in different degrees press down the foul:-that if human actions be left to the guidance of reafon, they cannot, though absolutely free, be a mere effect of the will, but require to proceed from some motives and to be directed to some ends:-that if mankind be endowed with freedom of thought and be reduced to a state of general corruption, it may be expected not only that individuals but also that communities should differ in their opinions of things, and that these differences, when widened by the contrary operation of ignorance on the one fide and enlightened knowledge on the other, should at length terminate in contradictions of the greatest importance:-lastly, that if men have different talents allotted to them, their trials, or measures of temptation, will either in kind or degree be as different; and if one destined consequence and reward of virtue

virtue in another life be an exemption from all temptation, it is confistent with the analogy of things, that the same cause should in some degree produce the same effect in this life, and that good men should find the least difficulty in controuling their passions.

When it has been thus shown that the appearances of things, or the circumstances under which things exist, are accounted for and morally speaking necessary, if the doctrines of Revelation be true, no fadditional argument can be wanted to ensure for us a lasting triumph over the preceding and fimilar attempts of metaphyfical fophiftry: fince our Religion itself both requires and explains that very state of things, from which objections against its truth are thus sperversely drawn; and it is well known, that the first rule of philosophizing explicitly declares, "more causes of "things are not to be admitted, than are both true " and fufficient to explain their phænomena." But furely we ought not to wonder, if the

ene-

f Bentley very pertinently asks, "Is that a good argument backwards against the truth of any thing, which
a priori is plain must happen so; though that thing be
allowed to be true?" Phileleuth. Lips. Rem. 22.

⁸ All these objections are of the same kind with that which Aristotle calls "λυσις φαινομενη, αλλ' ουκ αληθης αει," and proceeds to observe concerning it, " ου γαρ ότι ουκ «εκος λυει ὁ ενις αμενος, αλλ' ότι ουκ αναγκαιον." Rhetor. 8vo. p. 390.

enemies of our Religion have been able to employ the suppositions of abstracted philosophy to its prejudice; since such metaphysical subtleties are so thoroughly adverse to divine truth, that even attempts to reconcile them have been attended (as we have been above) with pernicious consequences to Christianity.

In the early ages of our Religion, when the zealots of fuch fystems had nothing to oppose to its recent evidences, they did not come over to its fide without bringing with them a numerous train of errors. Sooner than they would relinquish their former studies, they forced the fimple doctrines of Christianity into a consistency with all the wild reveries of both the Gnostic and the Platonic school. The plainness of Revelation was foolishness to these lovers of disputation: and divine truths appeared to be unworthy of reason, till they had been tempered with the most extravagant of its sal-Nor did men of science (as they were esteemed) betray less prejudice and ignorance of true Christianity, than the Emperours who proposed to the fenate to enrol Christ among the Roman deities.

But as I have shewn that the metaphysical attempts of the enemies of our faith to intro-

duce

h See the introduction to the third Sermon.

i Adrian and Severus Alexander. See Jortin's Remarks, vol. ii. p. 90.

duce new causes of things are devoid of all solid soundation; and as care is at present abundantly taken, by means of Church establishments, to hinder the injudicious professors of our Religion from again incorporating philosophical conceits with its doctrines; I need add no more, either for our satisfaction or caution, on this part of my subject, and may proceed to the objections against our Religion which are immediately drawn from discoveries in nature.

These objections are drawn from discoveries of this kind, which are either general and systematical, or particular and separate; and all of them originate in erroneous opinions with regard to the intention of our Religion, or with regard to the discoveries themselves from which they are drawn.

Thus, in consequence of such general and systematical discoveries, objections are urged against our Religion on account of the coincidence of its language with popular opinions concerning natural appearances, and its want of superior information on these subjects. For though the kauthors of the general discoveries in natural science, which enlighten the present age, have been fully convinced of their consistency with Revelation; yet we frequently

k Newton, Boyle, &c.

find

find admirers and followers of those great men, in all other respects, forward in this to maintain a contrary opinion. They are offended, that Revelation should either countenance (according to their interpretation of its intention) any erroneous system, or omit the opportunity of proving its superior wisdom by explaining the true system of nature.

But fuch men have yet to learn the real intention of Revelation. By religious as opposed to other inftruction, this gracious dispensation was to provide a remedy for the fatal confequences of man's original transgression. Thus calculated, it neither approves nor condemns the natural fystems of its age. Having in the introduction to its own history established the foundation of every rational system of natural knowledge, having established in the Deity the Creator and Preserver of universal nature, it supports not in its subsequent parts any particular reasonings of men concerning his works. It fimply relates fuch truths, as are connected with Religion and refer to man himself. It adopts not any fystem of natural philosophy.

When references must necessarily be made to philosophical subjects, with whatever human system the expressions may coincide, these references are so made, as plainly to show that the holy Scriptures mean not to philosophize, but to propose their instructions in terms which

are

are most easily understood. The language, which philosophers have used in every age, is on fuch occasions the unaffected language of inspiration. Philosophers have found it expedient, with regard to natural appearances, that the 'errors of the generality of mankind should be admitted in discourses designed for general apprehension: and Revelation has graciously been pleased to accommodate itself to the same expediency. Indeed any other language would have required a previous explanation and avowal of the fystem from which its terms were derived. And this would not only have been inconfiftent with the general intention of Revelation, but also with that indulgence which the Deity has from the beginning been pleased to extend to human reason.

Had Revelation introduced any the bestfounded system of modern physics, or had the Almighty Creator been pleased to disclose the councils themselves of his infinite wisdom, what would have been the consequence? Philosophy would immediately have become matter of faith, and disbelief of any part of it a mangerous heresy. How many insidels would this or that man's fanciful hypothesis con-

¹ Philosophers universally speak of the rising of the Sun, &c.

m See Nichol's Conference with a Theist, vol. i. p. 70.

cerning

cerning the appearances of things have called forth? From the time of Ptolemy to Copernicus how many prejudices would the wifest of men have entertained against Revelation; and how few would have believed in a system which appeared to contradict the testimony of their senses?

Besides, though truth be one certain indivisible point, even good men think they may safely controvert the opinions, without impeaching the virtue, of their opponents; and in some cases scruple not to maintain their own conjectures, for no better reason perhaps than because they are their own. But, where Revelation had interposed its instruction, this liberty could by no means be innocent; and the human mind, having no choice of opinion left, would necessarily lose one of its most pleasing and most powerful motives to exertion.

At present the God, who brought "every beast of the sield and every fowl of the air to Adam to see what he would call them, has displayed the productions of creation before our eyes, and left us to exercise that reason upon them which he certainly endowed not in vain with any of its powers. He has commanded our faith with regard to those reli-

m Gen. ii. 19.

U +

gious

gious doctrines, which are calculated to remedy the consequences of man's original transgression; and which, sew as they are, the sophistry of metaphysical philosophy has throughout attempted to subvert. In every other respect he has indulged us with perfect freedom of thought. It matters nothing to our salvation what systems of natural science we adopt, or whether we equally reject them all; provided only that we do not, with the impious Alphonsus, argue from our own presumption against the wisdom of the Creator, and blasphemously imagine, that, had we been admitted to the councils of the most High, we could have taught him better how to exert his Almighty power.

Exclusively however of objections against our Religion, which are thus drawn from general systems, others are urged against it in consequence of particular and separate discoveries in nature.

The history of Revelation is so immediately and intimately connected with the state of the world, that believers readily confess there is reason to expect a consistency between them; and the enemies of Christianity have in all ages been forward to avail themselves of the opportunities, which the conciseness of the Scripture-history and the infinite variety of appearances around us afford for cavil and disputation.

putation. But so well do the particular discoveries, which have been made in nature, correspond with the events recorded in the Scriptures, that they have given rise to sew objections, except with regard to the time of creation and the descent of mankind from the same common parents.

Of these the former are sounded on conjectural inferences from discoveries relative to the matter of our globe; which might easily be answered by other conjectures on the same subjects, were it not, that so extensive is our ignorance of the original substance of the earth, and of the changes which might be made in it on different occasions, and especially when all the sountains of the great deep were miraculously broken up at the deluge, as to hinder us from arguing with any degree of certainty upon such subjects. Besides, it is very possible also, that the Mosaical account of the creation should be so explained, as to

n Whatever inferences some writers may draw from volcanos concerning the age of the world, Sir William Hamilton says with regard to the beds of lava in the neighbourhood of mount Ætna and Vesuvius: "I do not "pretend to say that a just estimate can be formed of the "great age of Volcanos from this observation, but some "fort of conjecture might be made." On Volcanos, p. 98, 99.

O Moses indefinitely afferts, "IN THE BEGINNING God created the heaven and the earth:" Gen. i. 1. He

evince that it cannot be affected by any inferences whatever which our adversaries may wish to draw from these discoveries.

The best human means of satisfying a rational and modest inquiry into the commencement of the things around us, as far as it is indisputably and essentially connected with the Scripture-history, must ever be sought for in the origin of arts and sciences and the general testimony of heathen antiquity. And these

then gives an account of the formation of the earth, which may refer to a much later period; to a period fo much later, as to admit of the intermediate creation and probation of angels, who were unquestionably in existence before the creation of man: (Job. xxxviii. 4—7.) and of the possibility even of an intermediate and different formation of the earth itself. It is certain that the words "IN THE "BEGINNING," as they are used in the introduction to St. John's Gospel, refer to a time antecedent to the production of the very first creatures.

And when Moses says, that God made the heavenly bodies, he may be supposed to intimate only that our atmosphere was sitted at that time to transmit light, so that those bodies were then rendered visible to inhabitants of our globe, and might be said to have been made, in an inferior sense of the term, or rendered capable of conveying to us the benefits which we derive from them.

Such conjectural interpretations of Scripture receive support from the difference between the word "" " he "created," which is used in the first verse of Gen. i. and often afterwards, and we which is rendered "made" in the 7th, 16th, and other verses of this chapter, and is known frequently to imply nothing more than an adaptation, or at most

have been proved to be so decisive in favour of Revelation, that to be ignorant of such subjects is in reality to lose a most persuasive motive for believing in our holy Religion.

The objections against the common parentage of mankind, both from the difference in their persons and local situations, will require somewhat more of our attention. These are directed against a part of our Religion which is intimately connected with the universal corruption and redemption of mankind. They are however at present so retrenched in their limits as to have lost all the irresistible force which they were supposed to carry against Revelation.

For, with regard to the persons of men, whatever might q formerly be conjectured or reported concerning nations specifically distinguished in shape and stature from the rest of

most only a formation. See concerning this use of מעשר Exod. xxviii. &c. &c.

P See Stillingfleet's Orig. Sac.

q Tacitus gives a concise account of some ancient relations concerning monsters, which were partly of the human species, and mentions in his concluding words what probably was the real origin of such fables: "Ut quisque "a longinquo revenerat, miracula narrabant, vim turbi-"num, et inauditas volucres, monstra maris, ambiguas ho-"minum et belluarum formas: visa, sive ex metu credita." Annal. 1. ii. c. 24.

U 3 mankind,

mankind, we are fully authorifed by 'late difcoveries to contradict every such infinuation,
and to apply universally what a celebrated modern historian says of the inhabitants of America: "" In proportion as science extends and
"nature is examined with a discerning eye,
"the wonders which amused ages of ignorance
disappear, the tales of credulous travellers are
forgotten, the 'monsters which they describe
have been searched for in vain, and those
provinces, in which they pretend to have
found inhabitants of singular forms, are now
known to be possessed by people no wise
differing from the rest of the Americans."

The various complexions therefore, by which men are diffinguished from each other, may now be said to constitute the principal difference in their persons. And though this variety has ever been supposed to proceed originally from climate; yet we shall perhaps acquiesce more readily in the opinion from the ingenious

r See the different voyages of Capt. Cook.

Robertson's Hist. of America, vol. ii. p. 76. 8vo. Edit. The same is also fully afferted in Dr. Smith's "Essay on the causes of the variety of complexion and figure in the human species," p. 93—97. Edit. 1789.

t The observations, which are here made with regard to monsters of the human species, are equally applicable to monsters of other kinds. "The island of Ferro was cele"brated for a century or two on the credit of a miracu"lovs"

and forcible manner in which "it is maintained by the historian above cited.

To investigate all the causes which have co-operated in the production of the difference of complexion, and other less considerable differences of appearance, in the human species, and to defend the necessary positions by particular arguments, would not be consistent with the limits of a short discourse. The subject also itself has been well-nigh ex-

"lous tree, fingle in its kind, enveloped in perpetual mists, and distilling water for the ample supply of the island. But this wonder, though vouched by several voyagers and by some as eye-witnesses, vanished at the approach of sober inquiry, nor could a single native be found hardy enough to affert its existence." Voyage to new South Wales, 4to. 1789. p. 22.

u See Robertson's History of America, vol. ii. p. 74, &c. What M. de Pagès also says from his own experience on this subject in that part of his travels round the world in the years 1767-1771, which relates to his passage over the great defert, is well worthy of our attention: "The tribes, "which frequent the middle of the defert, have locks " fomewhat crifped, extremely fine, and approaching the "woolly hair of the Negro. My own during the short " period of my travels in those regions became more dry " and delicate than usual, and receiving little nourishment "from a checked perspiration showed a disposition to as-" fume the same frizzled and woolly appearance; an en-"tire failure of moisture, and the excessive heat of cli-" mate by which it was occasioned, seem to be the prin-"cipal causes of those symptoms; my blood was become "extremely dry, and my complexion differed little at " last from that of a Hindoo or Arab."

hausted

hausted by a foreign naturalist of modern times.

Buffon, though he was the reverse of being a bigot in favour of the Scripture-history, afferts its cause in the fullest manner possible against the objection at present before us. This indefatigable philosopher inquires into all the real differences v discoverable in men's persons; and at length from a "number of the best-attested observations deduces the following memorable conclusion: "" From every " circumstance may be obtained a proof that " mankind are not composed of species essen-"tially different from each other: that on "the contrary there was originally but one " individual species of men, which, after being " multiplied and diffused over the whole sur-" face of the earth, underwent divers changes " from the influence of climate, from the dif-" ference of food and the mode of living, from " epidemical diforders, as also from the inter-" mixture varied ad infinitum of individuals

v The differences in the persons of mankind, remarked by Cook and other late voyagers, do not vary from those, which are stated and examined by Buffon.

w See vol. i. of Buffon's History, translated by Kenrick and Murdock, from p. 171. to p. 292.

^{*} See Buffon as above, vol. i. p. 201.

Υ Έν ανδρων, έν Θεων γενος εκ μιας δε πνεομεν ματρος αμφοτέροι. Pindar's 6th Nemean Ode, 1. 1-3.

"more or less resembling each other: that these alterations were at first less consider"able and confined to individuals: that after"wards, from the continued action of the above causes, becoming more general, more fensible, and more fixed, they formed va"rieties of the species: that these varieties have been and still are perpetuated from ge"neration to generation, in the same manner as certain disorders and certain maladies pass
"from parents to their children."

Besides, our Religion does not want advocates in the present times to espouse its cause, and to repel the attacks of this sort to which it is every day exposed. What has been last objected on the subject before us, by philosophers of more enterprise, than sober resection, in their endeavours to undermine Revelation, has been very lately *answered in the most

z We have a fufficient proof of Lord Kaims's want of fober reflection on such occasions in what Dr. Smith remarks on his inferences from the Giagas: "He thinks it "certain that the Giagas, a nation of Africa, could not have descended from one origin with the rest of mankind, because, totally unlike all others, they are void of natural affection. They kill, says his Lordship, all their own children as soon as they are born, and supply their places with youth stolen from the neighbouring tribes. If this character had been true, even his Lordship's zeal for a good cause might have suffered him to ressect, that the Giagas could not have continued a separate race "longer than the first stock should have lived. The stolen "youth

able and satisfactory manner by a distinguished American professor; who appears also to have made excellent use of his local advantages for the discussion of such subjects, and to have so fully confirmed, by his own observations and reasonings, the substance of the conclusion urged in our favour by the great foreign naturalist just cited, as to have rendered additional desences of it entirely unnecessary.

The other objection, advanced against the Scripture-genealogy of mankind from the difference in their situations, and the supposed absurdity of making them migrate from some one country to all the remote parts of the earth, is in modern times still more obviously and inexcusably destitute of solid soundation; since, what may have been done by many different ways, it is in every point of view an unwar-

"youth would resemble their parents, and would at length compose the nation. And yet the Giagas, according to his Lordship, will continue to kill their children, and to be a standing monument of the falsehood of the Scriptures! An excellent specimen of the easy faith of infidelity!" Dr. Smith's Essay, notes, p. 96.

a Among other objections against Revelation, on the subject before us, that which is often urged in modern times from the discoveries of anatomists concerning the three lamellæ or folds of which the skin consists, and from the different appearance of the mucous substance which fills the cellular membrane of the intermediate sold in black and white men, is very concisely and ably answered by Dr. Smith, p. 17, and 18.

rantable

rantable prefumption to affert gratuitously was never done by any.

Different passages have been actually discovered in the present century from the northern extremities of the old world to the great continent of the new, which evince at once the extreme probability of the migration in question, as far as respects the peopling of the principal regions of the earth. And if we attend also to the dresemblance found to subsist between the inhabitants on the opposite sides of these passages, we shall find this probability advanced to an indisputable certainty. Indeed so fully convinced of the certainty was the

b From the North-West of Europe and the North-East of Asia.

c "By fixing the relative fituation of Asia and Ame-"rica, and discovering the narrow bounds of the strait "which divides them, he (Cook) has thrown a blaze of "light upon this important part of the geography of the globe, and solved the puzzling problem about the peo-"pling of America by tribes destitute of the necessary "means to attempt long navigations." Introd. to Cook's and King's Voyages, published by authority, 4to. p. 55.

d The Esquimaux resemble their neighbours on the North-West extremity of Europe. The same resemblance is found to subsist between the inhabitants of the North-East of Asia, and both the Americans opposite to them, and also all the other Americans, except those sew tribes, which, together with the Esquimaux, appear to have descended from the Greenlanders. Robertson's Hist. of Amer. vol. ii. p. 45—49.

natu-

naturalist, above cited, long before the dast and the most important discoveries on the subject, that he declares, he has "no doubt, "independently of every theological consideration, that the origin of the Americans is "the same with our own."

The less important parts of the new world, which are disjoined from the others, and which have been represented by ignorance and infidelity as vast continents, are by the most recent and complete researches reduced to a few inconsiderable islands: and, as far as can be collected from the impersect accounts given of the inhabitants, it is highly probable that they were conveyed to their present settlements from sislands adjacent to the continent of Asia; from which continent all the inhabi-

tants

d Those of Cook and King. We find that the latter had an opportunity of seeing at the same moment the coasts of Asia and America. Cook's and King's Voyage, vol. iii. p. 244.

e Buffon (as before,) vol. i. p. 279.

f New Holland, though very confiderable in its fize, is not at all so in its population. It was however known in part before the other islands here referred to.

⁸ The inhabitants of these islands are supposed to have been all derived from the Malayans. See Introd. to Cook's and King's Voyages, 4to. p. 71—73, and vol. i. p. 116 and 202.

h Robertson's History of America, vol. ii. p. 47, 8vo. Edit.

tants of the new world (with a ifew striking exceptions to the contrary) appear to have migrated. Nor shall we wonder that we are unacquainted with the circumstances of their migration; if we consider, that this event probably happened at no great distance from the early period when our own ancestors set out from the same regions to people the Western world by an opposite route.

Besides all the confirmation derived to our Religion from the want of solid soundation in the objections against it thus drawn from particular discoveries in nature, if we reflect, (as we well may while we are pursuing such objections,) that the ascertained and acknowledged condition of every nation evidently corresponds at present with the Scripture-history of the fall of man and of the perversion of his nature, and that, whilst no probable account has been given of the origin and dispersion, none has been given even of the corruption of mankind, except by Revelation; if we thus resect, we shall find that modern discoveries have not only extensively contributed

i The Esquimaux, and some few other Americans, who appear to have migrated from the North-West extremity of Europe, are to be excepted. Robertson's Hist. (as above) p. 47, 48. See, concerning these other Americans on the Western coast of North-America who resemble the Esquimaux and Greenlanders, Cook's and King's Voyage, vol. ii. p. 521, 522.

to obviate philosophical objections against our Religion, but also that they have furnished us with additional and positive arguments in its favour.

And fince it is our national glory to have explored in the prefent age the whole furface of the globe, we may henceforth bid defiance to conjecture, and confidently affert from the testimony of eye-witnesses, that all the different people of the earth, wheresoever found and howsoever circumstanced, unite to prove the truth of the Scripture-history.

Such, particularly, are the origin and foundation of the objections advanced against our Religion from the pretentions of philosophy; from abstracted reasonings, no less than from immediate discoveries in nature. The former appear to derive their existence and support from that ignorance of the effential confti-tution of things, which must ever render us liable to be deceived by references of them to metaphyfical and supposititious causes: the latter appear to depend upon misconceptions with regard to the intention of our Religion, or upon erroneous opinions with regard to the discoveries themselves from which they are drawn.—So satisfactory therefore are the reasons, which we have to advance on this part of our subject in vindication of the Hope that is in us!

What

What then are we to think of the men, who propose k such objections, as grounds for an universal rejection of Christianity; who profess to disbelieve all the plainest truths of Revelation, and fully to approve the most adventurous fuggestions of human philosophy? If the affectation of superior wisdom, or the lust of sensual pleasure, make them dissemble their real fentiments, we need not doubtless insift upon the extreme folly of facrificing to fuch motives the glorious prospects of Christianity. But, if they are fincere in their professions, we have to lament that God has given them up to believe a lie, and that the Almighty has made foolishness the wisdom of this world. It is not however for us to judge concerning others, but to beware left we also be spoiled through philosophy. And, whilst we defend the infallible word of Revelation against different affaults of the cunning craftiness of men, let us be careful to remember, what can never be too often infifted upon, that God resisteth the proud, and giveth " grace to the humble."

I have

k "Paradoxical writers have flattered themselves that infidelity would gain ground as philosophy advanced. "So sanguine was a late projector in this way, that he would sometimes give it as his opinion, that Christimatty could not outlast the present century." Beattie's Evidences, vol. ii. p. 152, 153.

^{1 2} Theff. ii. 11. m James iv. 6.

I have now gone through the four different heads, under which I proposed to state compendiously the principal circumstances which affect our Christian faith.

The reasons, which I alledged for thus calling your attention to truths of which you must often before have heard the greater part, and which might enable us to give a satisfactory answer to every inquiry concerning the Hope that is in us, were drawn—from the opposition at present directed against our Religion,—from the extensive utility of interspersing at times some general account of our faith among the particular subjects marked out for this lecture,—and from the peculiar benefits conveyed by such general instruction to the younger part of my audience, for whose improvement this Institution was more immediately calculated.

I doubt not but these reasons will be deemed fully sufficient to justify the choice which I have made of my subject. But, independently of all such particular reasons, so unrestrained is the freedom of our thoughts and actions, and so commensurate with our whole lives is the impersection of our nature, that the oldest, the wisest, and the best among us often want to be reminded of the general truths which have been the subject of these discourses. The information therefore, which has been given

in them, may, through the grace of God, be effentially ferviceable to all who have attended to it.

May neither that grace, nor our own endeavours, ever be wanting to render such information thus serviceable to us all to all eternity!

TWO SERMONS

ON

THE DOCTRINE

OF THE

HOLY TRINITY.

SERMON IX.

ON THE DOCTRINE OF THE HOLY TRINITY.

1 Pet. iii. 15.

Be ready always to give an answer to every man, that asketh you a reason of the Hope that is in you.

IT is an observation justified by every page of Holy Writ, that Christianity means to act by rational, and not by compulsive motives. The all-perfect Author of our nature was the Author also of our Religion, and he acts not contradictorily to himself: he is every where consistently wise. From the beginning he formed mankind so as to give them reason for the regulation of their conduct; and to this great principle he refers them in Revelation for determinations in religious as well as other concerns.

St. Peter declares in the text, that we are to be ready always to give an answer to every man that asketh us a reason of the hope that

Digitized by Google

is in us; and we may fafely apply this declaration, not only to our Christian hope in general, but likewise to all the particular doctrines of Christianity; even to those mysterious doctrines of it, which are sometimes called doctrines of faith in opposition to others which are supposed to be discoverable by our own unaffisted reason. For if they are built on the foundation of inspired and infallible writings, (and such the Scriptures of our Religion have often been proved to be,) we can have no more folid foundation in reason for admitting the justest inferences, which are drawn from philosophy or history, than for admitting these doctrines. Heaven and earth shall pass away; but the words of him, who spake in Holy Writ, shall not pass away.

And (bleffed be that God who has promifed that the gates of hell shall not prevail against his Church!) the Scriptures of our Religion are not only infallible, but they have also descended to us, and doubtless will descend to our latest posterity, entire and unmixed with the opinions and disputations of fallible men. So long as the Church has these Scriptures to which it may appeal upon all occasions, as the foundation and test of its doctrines, it has effectual means of supporting itself against the assaults of all its adversaries. Bold affertions and presumptuous reasonings may

may procure admirers for a season, and become in the hands of Providence so many trials of our faith; but their end must be, that they sink successively into oblivion; while the oracles of truth remain for ever, an impregnable barrier for the Church of Christ against the assaults of heresy, schism, and insidelity.

That all the mysterious doctrines of our Religion are built upon this foundation of holy Scripture, is taught by our Church: that the doctrine of the Trinity, which is the principal one among them, is thus circumstanced, it shall be my endeavour to prove by citations from those writings themselves.

My chief intention here will be to counteract the influence arising from false explanations of single passages of Scripture, by bringing so many of these passages together, as

No passage will be cited in a sense, which is not commonly received, and which the author is not fully convinced ought really to be ascribed to it. But, as his argument is not to depend upon any single text, it is by no means his design to repeat the critical vindications, which have been given of such interpretations of Scripture either in former or in later times.

If he has thought it necessary to evince in an appendix, with regard to Jer. xxiii. 6. that the Bible-Translation is supported by the Seventy, he has entered upon this criticism merely because (as far as he knows) the Bible-Translation has not before been at all vindicated in this important respect.

x 4

to leave ingenuous minds no room to doubt for a moment concerning the meaning of the whole.

In doing this I shall cite no texts concerning the Father; his Godhead being acknowledged and appealed to upon all occasions. But I shall endeavour to state distinctly the Scripture-account, first, of the Son; secondly, of the Holy Ghost; and thirdly, of the one Godhead of the Father, Son, and Holy Ghost: afterwards I shall conclude with some observations upon the whole.

The first of these three heads will take up most of our time, both because the Son is spoken of under different characters and in very many passages of Scripture, and because the declarations of Scripture concerning him are the most common subject of controversy in the important doctrine of the Trinity.

The order, fittest to be pursued in bringing together these declarations of Scripture concerning him, will be suggested from an observation which may be made, that there is no circumstance which has contributed more to unsettle and pervert our faith with regard to this second Person of the Godhead, than the manner in which we generally set about the establishment of it. Instead of proceeding in the first place to inquire into the Scripture-account of his original condition, (with reverence

rence let all fuch expressions be understood,) and afterwards regularly tracing out the fubsequent parts of Scripture concerning him; we invert this natural method of inquiry, and, from later circumstances in the descriptions of him misinterpreted, presume to reason concerning the former, venturing by deductions of our own to determine what his first condition ought to have been, in contradiction to what Revelation declares that it really was.-Thus, from particular constructions of detached parts, conclusions are drawn subverfive of the principal doctrines of the whole: and inconfiftencies are alledged and imputed to figurative language, which derive their exiftence and support from defultory and partial views of the subject.

To avoid therefore the same satal error, I shall endeavour to lay before you the different declarations of Scripture concerning the Son in regular, and, (as far as it can be so called,) in chronological order.

It will however be necessary to premise, that he is called in Scripture "the Word of "God," and "the Angel of God," for reasons which his agency in divine Revelation will

eafily

b We are by no means to suppose from hence that our Saviour was really of any angelic nature; fince the assumption of such a nature would have been an act of condescension in him. Heb. ii. 16.

eafily fuggest; that he is spoken of also in Scripture under various 'appellations, which refer to the human nature of our Redeemer; and that, whenever he is distinguished by such reference to human nature, we are not from thence to consider the Son of God as involved in any of our impersections, but only as decondescending to be connected in time so intimately with human nature, as to give transcendent excellence to the whole character of our Redeemer, and as to be included under the same personal appellations with the man Christ Jesus.

This being premifed, I may consider the Son of God as called at times "the Word of "God" and "the Angel of God;" I may without scruple apply to him the appellations at large which are given to our Redeemer; and may begin the proposed relation of the

And after his appearance in it he is spoken of, as "the "Son of Man who is in heaven." John iii. 13.

different

c He is called "Christ" by St. Paul before his appearance in that character. 1 Cor. x. 4, 9.

d Philip. ii. 6, 7.

e Thus Hooker—" Howbeit, as often as we attribute to God what the manhood of Christ claimeth, or to man what his Deity hath right unto, we understand by the name of God and the name of man neither the one nor the other nature, but the whole person of Christ in whom both natures are." Eccl. Pol. l. v. p. 211. Fol. Ed. 1666.

different declarations of Scripture concerning him with an account of his eternal generation.

This important doctrine is deducible from those texts of Scripture, which declare that he was "" the proper Son of God;" from the Septuagint Version of that difficult spaffage in the 110th Psalm, which undoubtedly refers to the Son of God, and which our old Version renders literally from the present hebrew "the dew of thy birth is of the womb of " the morning," but which the Septuagint explains by rendering " εκ γας ρος ωρο έωσ Φορου " EYEVENTOR OE," " from the womb before the " morning flar I begat thee;" and from the declaration of the prophet Micah, "" that his " goings forth had been from old, from ever-" lafting." It is deducible also most incontrovertibly from the relation, which the Doyos must bear to God the Father, as the term *\lambda*oyos itself imports.

Correspondently with the eternity of the Son's generation, he is faid to have been "be"fore all things;" he is declared, by his type

Mel-

f Rom. viii. 32. Heb. iii. 6. John v. 18.

F Pf. cx. 3.

h By merely transposing the Silluk or stop from מְּיָשׁהָ, and affixing it to שָׁדָּר, the Hebrew agrees with the Septuagint Version.

Mic. v. 2. k Col. i. 17.

Melchizedec, to have been "without be"ginning of days;" he is called "the Alpha
"as well as the Omega, the Beginning as well
"as the Ending;" and he is not only faid
"to have life "in him," but also he says expressly of himself, "" before Abraham was,
"I am."

With regard to the generation of the Son, many of the orthodox Christians in the second and third centuries 'held that his existence was coeval with the existence of the Father, and that he came forth, or was begotten, for the purposes of creation. And accordingly later writers have 'distinguished between his gene-

m John i. 4. n John viii. 58.

rations

k Heb. vii. 3. Jortin fays on this passage, "What "Melchizedec was figuratively, Christ was really. Melchizedec had neither beginning of days nor end of life,
nothing being recorded in Scripture with regard to his
birth or death, consequently the Son of God had in
reality neither beginning of days nor end of life." Remarks on Eccl. Hist. vol. iii. p. 89.

¹ Rev. i. 8.—The speaker in the 8th, 11th, and 17th verses of this chapter must be the same person.

[•] See on this subject the third section of Bishop Bull's Defence of the Nicene Faith; and more particularly what Waterland says concerning Ignatius, Justin Martyr, Tatian, Athenagoras, Theophilus, &c. 2d Def. p. 282—306.

P They have distinguished three generations, "the In"carnation," "the going forth to creation," and "an
"eternal generation." See Waterland's 2d Def. p. 311.
and Bishop Horsley's Tracts, p. 238.

rations, using the term "eternal generation" to denote the unknown manner in which the Son's existence was thus coeval and eternally connected with that of the Father.

But indeed how the eternal generation of the Son of God is to be explained, is no where revealed in Holy Writ, and certainly is not to be investigated by human reason. The passage of Scripture, which declares that "no " man knoweth the Son but the Father, nei-"ther knoweth any man the Father, but the "Son, and he to whom the Son will reveal "him," at once declares the intimate nature of their relation to each other and our inability to explain it. We can only affert concerning this generation, that there must be somewhat in it effentially different from what has ever been attributed to any but the Son of God; fomewhat, which admits in reality of nothing "fimile" or "fecundum:" otherwise he would not be stiled in Scripture "the only be-"gotten Son of God," and "the only be-"gotten Son of the Father 'which is in his " hofom "

There

⁹ Du Pin fays, "All the Fathers of the 4th century acknowledged that the generation of the Word was eternal; but they did not think of explaining how this generation was made, and they confessed that it is inessable and incomprehensible." Eccl. Hist. vol. ii. p. 287.

¹ John iii, 16. ³ John i, 18.

There is nothing in his human generation, nothing in his refurrection from the dead, which can fatisfy us with regard to these exclusive appellations. Such satisfaction can no where be found, except in the generation which we are now confidering. The most exalted beings, which the Almighty has been pleased to create in heaven or in earth, are infinitely different from himself; and, though they are called his fons, they are fo called merely by a figure. Our Saviour in his divine and eternal nature was "" the express image " of his Father's Person," was "" one with the "Father:" and he, who was fuch, could alone be literally faid " to have been begotten " of him" and " to be in his bosom."

But though the Scripture is filent concerning the manner of this eternal generation, and we can affert nothing on the subject, except that it must be such as to exclude every creation even of the highest archangel from being in the least degree similar to it; yet we are informed explicitly of a most important truth, which appears to be essentially connected with it, and which it is of much greater consequence for us to know, "that "the divine nature was eternally possessed by "the Son;" "the Word (we are informed by

^t Heb. i. 3.

u John x. 30.

"St.

"St. John) was in the beginning with God, and was also himself God:" and this, before any being was as yet created, from governing whom by divine commission he could be fupposed to be honoured with the name, as well as invested with the power of God.

But, had the Evangelist intended to ascribe any such inserior divinity to the Word of God, he, who was so cautious in the same chapter as to inform us that *" the Baptist was not "that Light, but only sent to bear witness of "that Light," would as certainly have added, that the Word was not the true God, but only his representative and destined to bear witness of him; since a mistake in this case was more easy, and the consequences of it might be incomparably more important.

Instead however of any such distinction, he enforces his affertion by repeating immediately without the least limitation, "the same "was in the beginning with God." And

towards

w This supposition, which appears to run through the whole of Dr. Clarke's Scripture Doctrine, is sounded on no authority from Revelation. When St. John applies the term "God," when Moses (Gen. xix. 14.) and Zechariah (iii. 2.) apply the term "Jehovah," and when Zechariah applies that of "Lord of Hosts" (ii.8—11.) to the Father and to the Son separately, and in the same passages; each of these Writers must mean to ascribe the same divine nature to the different Persons thus denominated by the same appellations.

x John i. 8.

towards the end of his Gospel he makes our Saviour address the Father in words of correspondent import; "" and now, O Father, " glorify thou me with thine own felf, with "the glory which I had with thee before the world was." His existing, as God with God, is here called the glory which he had with the Father; and the time when he had this glory, instead of "in the beginning," is faid to have been "before the world was." Both are expressions of the same extent; both imply from eternity. Indeed, as we can form no adequate conception of an eternity past, and are wont to find that all our reasonings on this fubject terminate in abfurdity, these and similar expressions are necessary to assist our comprehension.

But, if we confult the other inspired writings, we shall find that this testimony of the beloved disciple to the eternal divinity of our Saviour is supported by the concurrence of numberless passages throughout the whole of Revelation.

In what explicit, what animated, language does Isaiah announce the divinity of the future Messiah! "" The voice of him that crieth " in the wilderness, prepare ye the way of Je-

y John xvii. 5.

² Compare Isaiah xl. 3, &c. with Luke iii. 4. and Mal. iii. 1.

[&]quot; hovah,

"hovah, make straight in the desert a high "way for our God. Every valley shall be ex"alted, and every mountain and hill shall be "made low, and the crooked shall be made
straight, and the rough places plain, and
the glory of Jehovah shall be revealed."

And again (as if to condemn beforehand every feeble and irresolute declaration of our Saviour's divinity) the prophet breaks forth into exclamation, "O Zion, that bringest good tidings, get the up into the high mountain: "O Jerusalem, that bringest good tidings,
lift up thy voice with strength; lift it up,
be not asraid, say unto the cities of Judah,
Behold your God."

But not to separate this passage from other passages of Isaiah, nor the testimony itself of Isaiah from that of the other prophets, the writers in general of the Old Testament prophesied, that the Redeemer to come was to be "Immanuel" or "God with us;" that he was to be "Jehovah; the Lord God; the "Lord God of Judah; the "Lord of Hosts; "the "Fellow of the Lord of Hosts; "the mighty God."

And

a If. vii. 14.

b Zech. iii. 2. xi. 13. xii. 10. Jer. xxiii. 6. See also Gen. xix. 24. and the various citations which are made hereaster to prove that the Angel of God was Jehovah.

c If. xl. 10. d Hof. i. 7.

e Is. vi. 5. Zech. ii. 8. Mal. iii. 1.

f Zech. xii. 7. g If. ix. 6.

And the writers of the New Testament declare, that "they did not prophefy deceit;" that he really was the 1 Immanuel foretold; that he 'existed in the form of God, as literally as he afterwards assumed the form of a fervant: that "in him dwelt all the k fulness of "the Godhead bodily; that he was 'God, our "God, the true God, the great God, God "whose pthrone is for ever and ever, God " over all bleffed for evermore, the Lord of "Glory, the 'Lord God of Ifrael, King 'of "kings and Lord of lords;" one of fuch a nature as to "fill all in all, as to be wherever "two or three are gathered together in his name, and as to have all *things naked and open to his eyes; that "he was the first and "the last, which is, which was, and which is " to come, the Almighty; the 'fame yester-"day, to-day, and for ever."

```
h Matt. i. 23.
```

u Ephef. i. 23.

* Heb. iv. 13.

Heb. xiii. 8.

Nor

i Philip. ii. 6, 7.

k Col. ii. 9.

¹ John xx. 28. Acts xx. 28.

m See the original Greek of 2 Pet. i. 1.

^{* 1} John v. 20. See Burgh's Inquiry, p. 130. and Waterland's Lady Moyer's Sermons, p. 208.

º Tit. ii. 13. Rev. xix. 17.

P Pf. xlv. 6. cited Heb. i. 8.

⁹ Rom. ix. 5. See Bishop Bull's Defence of the Nicene Faith, p. 77, 78. Fol. and Dr. Clarke's Scripture Doctrine, No. 539.

r 1 Cor. ii. 8.

^{*} Luke i. 16, 17.

t Rev. xix. 16.

Matt. xviii. 20.

y Rev. i. 8.

Nor is there any one among all the incommunicable attributes which are inherent in the eternal Godhead, that may not be immediately deduced from these passages of Scripture and ascribed to our great Redeemer. His Eternity, Omnipresence, Omniscience, Omnipotence, and Immutability are immediately demonstrable from them; and are too decifive to admit of a doubt how perfectly he must have been God in the beginning, to whom all these incommunicable attributes of the Godhead belong. So strongly therefore is the introduction to St. John's Gospel supported by the other inspired writings; fince it appears from numberless passages in those writings, and not merely from the words of the Evangelist himself on another occasion, "" that all "things, which the Father hath, are the Son's " alfo."

After such information, we shall receive without astonishment the next part which St. John unfolds to us of our Saviour's history: "All things were made by him, and without him was not any thing made that was made." Or, as St. Paul expresses it more

c John i. 3.

Y 2

fully,

This is deducible from those texts which call him Lord of Hosts and mighty God, no less than from Rev. i. 8.

b John xvi. 15.

fully, "" By him were all things created, that " are in heaven and that are in earth, visible " and invisible: whether they be thrones, or " dominions, or principalities, or powers, all " things were created by him and for him;" expressions too plain and comprehensive to be restrained to any figurative creation; and too forcible to be referred to a mere instrumental Creator.

Nor ought any fuch reference of them ever to have been fuggested and urged as an objection against the Catholic Faith, from the use of the preposition "da" or "by" in these pasfages; fince it is indisputable, not only that the fame mode of expression is 'used concerning the Father, but also that the Father himself refers the works of creation to the Son, without the intervention of any fuch preposition, and in terms the most unlimited and most eminently characteristical of eternal Divinity: "Тнои, "LORD, IN THE BEGINNING HAST LAID THE " FOUNDATION OF THE EARTH, AND THE HEA-" VENS ARE THE WORK OF THY HANDS. " SHALL PERISH, BUT THOU REMAINEST: AND "THEY ALL SHALL WAX OLD AS A GARMENT; " AND AS A VESTURE THOU SHALT FOLD THEM "UP, AND THEY SHALL BE CHANGED;

"THOU

d Col. i. 16, 17. e Heb. ii. 40. Rom. xi. 36.

f Heb. i. 10.

"THOU ART THE SAME, AND THY YEARS SHALL "NOT FAIL."

Besides, St. Paul afferts that all things were created " ELS AUTOV" " for him," as well as " & "aurou" "by him." The truth is, "the "Scriptures mean to refer the origin of all "things up to both, as to one individual "fource: they tell us, therefore, there is no-"thing of the Father, but by the Son, and "nothing by the Son, but of the Father:" each is a like efficient Creator. And this is a doctrine which appears to derive weight from the use of the plural term "Elohim," or "Gods," to express the Deity, and particularly in the first chapter of Genesis; also from the plural language, in which the Being thus denominated deliberates concerning the creation of man, "let us make man in our own "image," and concerning the removal of him from the garden of Eden, "the man is become " like one of us."

But, to dismiss the objection, it may be remarked that the attributes, above declared to belong to the Son, prove him qualified for this so great a work; while the manner, in which it was executed, might reciprocally be adduced to prove that all the Divine attributes must

Waterland's Lady Moyer's Sermons, p. 54.

have centred in its Author. Indeed the work of Creation is so peculiarly the effect of Almighty power, that some have questioned even the possibility of it. And, throughout the whole of Revelation, we find it insisted upon, as the criterion of the true God, "" the Gods " of the heathen (says the Psalmist) are but "idols, but Jehovah made the heavens:" and again we are informed, "" that he who built "all things is God."

Nor does St. Paul, in the passage above cited, declare that the Son of God made all things only, but also that "by him they consists" or, as we are elsewhere informed, "he supporteth all things by the word of his power." The same display of infinite perfection, which shines forth in the creation, is as conspicuous in his preservation of the world, and calls as loudly for our wonder and adoration.

And as we are affured, that no mone hath heard or feen the Father, but the only begotten Son, who is in his bosom, he hath declared him; so we shall find from the beginning, that all Revelation proceeded from the Son, and that he was a principal, though not the sole object of worship with true believers.

h Pf. xcvi. 15.

i Heb. iii. 4.

k Col. i. 17.

m John i. 18. v. 37.

Even,

Even, with regard to the antediluvian hiftory, we are informed by St. Peter, that "it "was "Christ who preached to the spirits in "prison in the days of Noah:" and, as he preached to them by means of Noah, it might be easy to infer from thence, that Christ also was the divine Person, who after the flood gave to Noah the instructions which he was to deliver down to posterity. But, not to dwell on this passage, I shall endeavour to prove more at large, that all that part of Revelation which relates to Abraham and his descendants oproceeded from the fecond Person in the Trinity, as their God; though at the same time it proceeded from him, as "the Angel of God," or, in the language of the New Testament, " as " fent by the Father."

That this Angel of God was the God of Abraham is evident, fince he peals himself by that name in different parts of Scripture. But besides it may be inferred, that he was the God who sware unto Abraham: and this will confirm, under the authority of the author of the

n 1 Pet. iii. 19.

o This is maintained by all the Fathers of the Chriftian Church, as Bishop Bull proves—Defence of the Nicene Faith, sect iv. c. 3. And it is fully admitted by Dr. Clarke in his Scripture Doctrine.

P Compare Acts vii. 38. with the 19th and 20th chapters of Exod. and Gen. xxxi. 13. with Gen. xxviii. 13. See also Exod. iii. 6.

Epistle to the Hebrews, a doctrine which has in reality been above established by an induction of particulars, "" that there was none "greater than he;" or, to use the very remarkable expression of Zechariah, that he was "the Fellow of the Lord of Hosts."

The 22d chapter of Genefis begins with informing us, that "God did tempt Abraham " and faid." At the 12th verse the Angel of God fays, "Lay not thy hand upon the lad, " for now I know thou fearest God, feeing "thou hast not withheld thy fon from 'ME." The Angel of God therefore is the same with the God before mentioned; fince no other than that God could be the person from whom Abraham did not withhold his fon. Afterwards the Angel of God called out of heaven a second time, and said, "By myself " have I fworn, faith the Lord, that in bleff-"ing I will bless thee, because thou hast "obeyed my voice." The words, "my voice," therefore confine this oath to the God who tempted Abraham, to him whose voice Abraham had obeyed: and fince he (as we have feen) is the same with the Angel of God, the

oath

⁹ Heb. vi. 13.

r If the angel of God had not been the person who tempted Abraham to offer up his son, he would certainly have used the pronoun "him" instead of "me," as the term "God" immediately precedes.

oath must be referred to this Angel, however he may himself refer it to Jehovah in the third person, and there could be none greater than he.

In confirmation of this inference it may be observed, that there is another passage of Scripture in which the Angel of the Lord swears by himself. In the 45th chapter of Isaiah we find an oath of this kind, introduced with even greater solemnity than that which we have been considering: "" I have sworn by myself, "the word is gone out of my mouth in righte-"ousness, and shall not return, that unto me "every knee shall bow, every tongue shall "swear."

Now that the speaker is the same in this as in the 48th chapter is evident, because both chapters are comprehended within one continued prediction concerning the overthrow of Babylon by Cyrus, and their several parts exactly correspond. In both the Speaker calls himself, "the universal Creator," says that "he did not speak in secret," commands "the people to assemble themselves," and asks "who hath declared these things?" And yet he prosesses, towards the conclusion of the 48th chapter, "now the Lord God and his "Spirit hath sent me," or, more properly, ac-

⁸ If. xlv. 23.

cording

cording to Bp. Lowth's Version, "now the "Lord God hath sent me and his Spirit." He must therefore be the Angel or Son of God.

Indeed our Saviour expressly says in the book of Revelation, "I am the first and the "last," assuming that very title by which the speaker "here distinguishes himself: and St. Paul *repeatedly declares, that in him the event, predicted with this oath, was to receive its accomplishment.

But to proceed—If the Angel of God was the Jehovah who thus fware unto Abraham. there can be no doubt with regard to the God of his descendants; since the Person of their God is particularly 'limited by this oath. dependently also of this circumstance, the Angel of God fays explicitly to Jacob, "I " am the God of Bethel, where thou anoint-"edft the pillar and vowedft a vow unto me;" though on that 'occasion he is called by no other name than "God," and "Jehovah," and "the God of Abraham and the God of "Ifaac." In the fame manner, when Jacob wrestles with this Angel, he is said to b wrestle with God. And, in his folemn benediction of Joseph's children, Jacob makes the God of

Abraham

t Rev. i. 17. u If. xliv. 6. xlviii. 12. x Rom. xiv. 11. Philip. ii. 10. y Gen. xxvi. 3. z Gen. xxxi. 13. a Gen. xxviii. 13. b Compare Hof. xii. 4. with Gen. xxxii. 30.

Abraham and Isaac to be the same with this Angel: "God, before whom my Fathers" Abraham and Isaac did walk, the God which fed me all my life long unto this day, the "Angel which redeemed me from all evil, bless the lads!"

Afterwards Moses informs us, that it was the Angel of God who dappeared to him in the bush, proclaimed himself to be the God of Abraham, the God of Isaac, and the God of Jacob, and first assumed the incommunicable name, "I am." To this St. Stephen also bears witness and says, that God sent Moses by the hand of this Angel. And who was the Jehovah, that conducted the Israelites on their departure from Egypt by day in a 'pillar of a cloud, and by night in a pillar of fire, but the Angel of God, as he is called at the 19th verse of the 14th chapter of Exodus, though he is elsewhere simply and repeatedly styled Jehovah? It was he also (as bSt. Stephen informs us) who gave the law on mount Sinai; though Mofes does not diffinguish him by any other name than Jehovah and God.

And here it may be remarked, that when the people, struck with religious horror at the

awful

c Gen. xlviii. 15, 16.

[•] Acts vii. 30-35.

⁸ Exod. xiii. 21. xiv. 24.

d Exod. iii. 2.

f Exod. xiv. 19, 20.

h Acts vii. 38.

awful scene, requested that they might not hear the voice of God again, and their request was approved; they were immediately informed, that '" God would raise up a prophet unto "them from among their brethren, like unto "Moses, and unto him they should hearken." And why should this prediction have been given on this particular occasion, if it was not to declare, that the God, who now appeared in tremendous majesty, should at some future time assume the same form with themselves, that is, be like unto Moses, and speak so that his hearers might not tremble at his voice?

After this delivery of the Law we are informed that Christ was the spiritual Rock which followed the Israelites, and that he was tempted by them in the wilderness; the same divine Person, who was sent to monduct them to the promised land, who would not pardon their offences, and whose appellation on such occasions was, ""the Presence of Jehovah;" the same likewise, who is said in the 6th chapter of the book of Judges to appear as the Angel

i Deut. xviii. 15-18.

k Jortin in his Remarks on Eccl. Hist. vol. i. p. 198. explains the words, "like unto me," as signifying a man like themselves.

^{1 1} Cor. x. 4, 9.

m Exod. xxiii. 20, 21.

n Exod. xxxiii. 14, 15.

of God to Gideon, and is called Jehovah in three different passages of that chapter.

But however minutely these and many other such passages in the early part of the history of the Israelites declare, that our Saviour was their God; we may observe, that no declaration of Scripture can be more full and decisive to this purpose, than that in which the royal Psalmist, foretelling the glory of Christ's mediatorial kingdom, particularly distinguishes him from the Father, and marks him out as his own Lord: "The Lord said unto my Lord, "Sit thou on my right hand, till I make thine enemies thy footstool." And, as if to command peculiar attention to this passage, our blessed Saviour himself pexpressly ascribes it to the Holy Ghost.

That the Prophets in the ages after David were inspired by the Spirit of Christ, or by the Holy Ghost as sent by him, is afferted by ^q St. Peter. And that they spake in the name of the Son, or Angel of God, has been already intimated with regard to Isaiah, when it was proved that the divine Person, in whose Name the Prophet spake, was sent "by the Lord"

o Pf. cx. i. There is a fimilar passage in Ecclus.—" I cried to the Lord, the Father of my Lord"—li. 10.

P Mark xii. 36. q 1 Pet. i. 10, 11.

If. xlviii. 16. See on this text p. 327, 328.

[&]quot; God

"God and his Spirit." The same is most conspicuously true with regard to Zechariah. The Angel of God, or the great Saviour of mankind, is introduced under the most exalted titles, as the Author of the Revelation vouchsafed to this evangelic Prophet. Thus the speaker in the second 'chapter, under the name of the Lord of Hosts, says he was sent by the Lord of Hosts: in the third chapter he is stilled both the Angel of Jehovah and Jehovah himself: in the 'eleventh chapter, under

s Zech. ii. 8—11. The Chaldee Paraphrast might not be sufficiently acquainted with a plurality of Persons in the Godhead; and therefore might suppose by a forced construction that the Person here sent was the prophet Zechariah. But such is the literal and obvious meaning of the words, and so entirely does it agree with what the same Prophet afterwards declares (iii. 2.) concerning Jehovah who calls upon Jehovah to rebuke Satan, and (to say nothing of Zech. iv. 9. and vi. 15.) concerning him who (xiii. 7.) was "the Fellow" (or, as the Hebrew word implies, the equal) "of the Lord of Hosts;" that, with our present knowledge of Christ's divine nature, we have abundant reason to conclude, that he was the speaker in the whole of the passage, being himself both the Lord of Hosts mentioned in the 8th verse and the Person sent.

What is said above, with regard to the Chaldee Paraphrast, may be applied in a similar manner to his interpretation of Isaiah xlviii. 16; and indeed to any interpretation of the passages of the Old Testament that affert our Saviour's Divinity, which is given by men who were not enlightened by Christianity on this most important subject.

t Zech. xi. 13.

the

the name of Jehovah, he declares, that "thirty " pieces of filver was the goodly price at which " he was prized:" and in the twelfth chapter, under the same divine appellation, he says, "" they shall look on me whom they have " pierced:" in all these passages most unequivocally declaring, who and what he was, that spake by the Prophet; even "the Lord of "Hosts" and "Jehovah," that great Personage, who, as the Redeemer of mankind, was faid to be "fold for thirty pieces of filver, and " to be pierced for their fins." In Malachi also the speaker, who is the Lord of Hosts, declares, "" I will fend my messenger, and he " shall prepare the way before me," which is faid by three of the Evangelists to have been before Christ: he therefore must himself have been both "Chrift," and "the Lord who was " fuddenly to come to his temple."

Our Saviour moreover was not only the Author and Giver of Revelation to the Jews; his also was the Majesty visibly displayed in their economy. For whose was the glory displayed in the old Temple, but that Christ's to whom this glory (when represented in Isaiah's vision) is expressly referred by *St.

John?

^u Zech. 12. 10. See, in vindication of the sense in which this text is cited, Pearson on the Creed, 5th Ed. Fol. p. 201.

w Mal. iii. 1.

[▼] John xii. 41.

John? And what less than the personal appearance of the same God, whose brightness shone forth in the first Temple, and who is said to have been "" greater than the Temple," made " the Glory of the alatter House greater than that of the former?"

Our bleffed Saviour then, or the Angel of God, appears to have been the actor in the most discriminating occurrences of the Jewish theocracy; and the names Jehovah and God appear from collateral passages of Scripture to have been frequently given him without any particular marks of distinction. We may therefore conclude, that he is intended at various other times when those names are mentioned at large; and that all that part of Revelation, which relates to Abraham and his descendants, proceeded from him as their God, though at the same time it proceeded from him as "the Angel of God," or, in the language

y Matt. xii. 6.

z Hag. ii. 9. Or, as this passage is rendered by Dr. Blayney and conformably to the Septuagint Version, "made the latter Glory of this House greater than the "former."

[&]quot; Ubicunque non merum angelum sed ipsum Deum apparuisse liquet, ibi non Patrem sed Filium intelligendum esse primævæ antiquitatis consentiens judicium religiose sequentes constanter affirmamus." Bulli Fid. Nic. Des. sec. 4. c. 3. §. 16.

of the New Testament, "as sent by the Fa"ther."

Thus we have feen that descriptions of the divine nature of our bleffed Saviour pervade every part of Revelation: that he was from everlasting the Son of God, and himself God, invested with all the titles and attributes of real divinity: that he was the Creator and Preserver of all things, the Deity and Object of highest adoration with true believers before the Gospel dispensation; not however to the exclusion of the Father and the Holy Ghost, as appears from their b cooperation with him in the creation; but in concurrence or union with them. Indeed if that jealous God, who will not give his glory to another, has thus permitted every diftinguishing mark of the Godhead to center in his beloved Son. furely he is not another, but the same God; furely he and the Father must be one in the ftrict and proper sense of his own affertion, "I " and my Father are one."

In the declarations of Scripture concerning our bleffed Saviour already confidered, he is represented in no other than his divine capacity: the Son of God had not as yet assumed any inferior condition. Here therefore we may seek for descriptions of his own natural

b Gen. i. 2, 3.

c John x. 30.

flate

J

state without danger of misapprehension. And these we have found conveyed in terms so sull and so expressive of perfect divinity, that no believer in Christianity could ever have denied the divine nature of the great Saviour of mankind, were it not that the Lamb of God, who was slain for our salvation from the soundation of the world, is spoken of also under a very different nature from that which we have been hitherto considering.

What this nature is, and how the remaining parts of our bleffed Saviour's history (if I may so call it) are to be understood, we need only on some future occasion consult the same Scriptures, and pursue the same order, to be fully informed.

SERMON

SERMON X.

ON THE DOCTRINE OF THE HOLY TRINITY.

1 Pet. iii. 15.

Be ready always to give an answer to every man, that asketh you a reason of the Hope that is in you.

In a former Discourse on these words I stated that Christianity means to act by rational, and not by compulsive motives: that the text declares we ought to "be ready always to give" an answer to every man, that asketh us a rea"fon of the hope that is in us:" and that we may safely apply this declaration to all the particular doctrines of our religion, even to the mysterious doctrines of it; since these latter are admitted by us, because they rest on the foundation of writings, which have often been proved to be inspired and infallible.

That they actually do rest on this foundation, I was to endeavour to prove, as far as relates to the doctrine of the Trinity, by pasz 2 sages

fages of Scripture, fo numerous as to leave no room for misinterpretation with ingenuous minds, and fo disposed as to state distinctly the Scripture-account, First, of the Son; Secondly, of the Holy Ghoft; and, Thirdly, of the one Godhead of the Father, Son, and Holy Ghost: afterwards. I was to conclude with fome observations upon the whole.-In stating the Scripture-account of the Son I was likewise, for reasons then alleged, to proceed in regular and (as far as it can be fo called) in chronological order; and in this order I have proceeded to the end of those declarations of Scripture, which apply to him before his manifestation in any other than his own divine nature.

I shall now resume the series, which I have been pursuing, of Scriptural declarations concerning our Saviour, and conclude this part, and the remaining parts also of my subject.

The Prophets under the Jewish dispensation, while they proclaimed the divinity of the great Redeemer, foretold, that he was to be "" pierced," that he was " to be brought as a " lamb to the slaughter," " to be cut off from " the land of the living," " and that his soul " was to be made an offering for sin." It was

neces-

² Zech. xii. 10.

b Isaiah liii.

necessary therefore, that the impassible Son of God should assume some nature capable of these sufferings. And "verily he took not upon him the nature of Angels, but the seed of Abraham:" he was made a little lower than the Angels for the suffering of death."

Of this wonderful condescension St. Paul informs us most fully in his exhortation to the Philippians, "Let this 'mind be in you, "which was also in Christ Jesus, who, being "in the form of God, thought it not robbery to be equal with God, but made himself "of no reputation," (or, as the words 'eautou exerware certainly imply, divested himself of his own proper character,) "and took upon him "the form of a servant, and was made in the "likeness of men."

With reference to this affumption of human nature, the Saviour of mankind, whom we have before feen described exclusively in a divine character, will hereafter be found to be described at times in the same exclusive man-

c Heb. ii. 16. d Heb. ii. 9.

e Philip. ii. 6, 7. "I have taken the pains to examine "nearly all the Fathers of the three first centuries who re- fer to this text: and now I declare, upon the whole, I "have not the smallest doubt remaining upon my mind that it is justly translated in our English Bible." Burgh's Inquiry, &c. p. 229. See also p. 9. 144—156.

ner as man. But when he is thus spoken of in a human character exclusively, we must not forget that the divine nature was so intimately connected with the human in our Saviour's person, (even while the man Christ Jesus was acting under the guidance of the Holy Spirit,) as to give transcendent excellence to every part of his character. For if Zechariah declares. that " Jehovah was pierced;" and the New Testament, that "the Church of God was " purchased with his own blood;" we must conclude, from fuch references to God of what was fuffered by man, that fo intimate an union was formed between them in our Saviour's person, as necessarily to communicate transcendent excellence to that nature in which he "offered himself up, through the " eternal spirit, without spot to God."

I before infifted upon this excellence, when I ftated that we were not to confider the Son of God as involved in any of our imperfections, because he is sometimes mentioned in Scripture under personal appellations which refer to the human nature of our Redeemer. I now insist upon it more fully in vindication of the dignity communicated to this inferior nature of our great Redeemer by its wonderful connection with the divine.

With reference however to the assumption of human nature by the Son of God, the first prophetic intimation given of a Redeemer marks him out, as "the feed of the "woman." Afterwards he is distinguished, as "the kfeed of Abraham;" then, as "the '" fruit of David's loins;" and the subsequent prophecies, while they declare in the most decifive language his divinity, not only describe him under "circumftances totally inapplicable to his Godhead and call him "God's "fer-"vant," but also expressly "the "man of for"rows," and "the "man whose name is "Branch." Even the remarkable passage before cited from the prophet Micah, which informs us that "his agoings forth had been "from old from everlasting," declares, that he was to "come forth," or to be born, "at " Bethlehem."

In compliance, as it were, with the same design of instructing us distinctly concerning the human nature of the Redeemer, St. John, as soon as he has afferted the eternal divinity of the Word, adds immediately, that "he was "made slesh and dwelt among us;" or, as the

```
i Gen. iii. 15. k Gen. xxii. 18.
```

words

¹ Pf. exxxii. 11, Acts ii. 30.

m Isaiah liii. n Isaiah xlii. 1.

o Isaiah liii. 3. P Zech. vi. 12.

⁹ Mic. v. 2.

words " εσκηνωσεν εν ήμιν" ought to be rendered, "" tabernacled in us;" that is, pitched his tabernacle in our flesh, as he had before condescended to do in the Jewish sanctuary. And thus our blessed Saviour himself calls his Body his Temple: "" destroy this Temple, says he, " and in three days I will raise it up."

Confistently with these predictions and these declarations of Scripture, when the fulness of time was come, "the 'Holy Ghost came upon "the Virgin Mary, and the power of the "Highest overshadowed her, and that holy "thing, which was" (thus mysteriously) "born " of her, was called the Son of God." He, who in his divine nature was the proper Son of God, was, in his human nature also, his Son more immediately than any other that was ever born of woman. Some time after the appearance of our Saviour in this state of humiliation, St. Luke declares, that "he "in-" creafed in wisdom, and stature, and in fa-" vour with God and man." This must refer to his human nature exclusively. It is with the same reference also not only that all his

bodily

r See Scott's Christian Life, p. 349.

^{*} John. ii. 19. t Luke i. 35.

u Luke ii. 52. That Christ had a human soul as well as body is evident from this text. It is a doctrine which was maintained by all the Fathers. See Waterland's 2d Def. p. 228.

bodily appearances, but likewise all his infirmities and all his sufferings are to be explained; that (as 'Irenæus insists) "he felt hunger after "long fasting, was wearied with a journey and sat down, manifested his love of Lazarus by tears, sweated forth drops of blood, was sor-"rowful in his soul, and shed from his side both blood and water."

But the predictions of the Old Testament are fo determinate concerning the human nature of Christ and the derivation of this nature from the stock of Abraham, that the Jews appear never to have doubted of the humanity of their Messiah: and the proofs of the accomplishment of these predictions in our Saviour's person were so unequivocal, that the enemies of our faith have very rarely attempted to deny that "from Abraham (as con-" cerning the flesh) Christ came;" or, as it is expressed in 'different places with the same remarkable limitation. "that of the fruit of " David's loins (according to the flesh) Christ "was raifed up." It is therefore needless for me to produce more passages of Scripture to prove that our Saviour is spoken of exclusively

^{*} Irenæus adv. Hæref. l. iii. c. 22. Ed. Maffuet.

Irenæus concludes the passage, here referred to, with these very remarkable words—" Ταυτα γαρ παντα συμθολα σαρχος της απο γης ειλημμενης, ήν εις αυτον ανακεφαλαιωσατο, το ιδιον ωλασμα σωζων."

y Rom. ix. 5.

² Acts ii. 30. Rom. i. 3.

as man: his human nature may be confidered as distinctly stated in holy Writ and as universally acknowledged.

But there is a circumstance, which here offers itself to our consideration, and on which we can never too much infift. If our Saviour be spoken of thus exclusively in his different natures, it ought not to be matter of wonder, that this Son of God and Son of man should be described occasionally with all that difference of character, which subsists in an infinite degree between God and man. It ought not to be matter of wonder, that he, who in the former capacity was "to make the dead hear " his voice," should in the latter " receive bau-"thority to execute judgment;" that he, who in the former "knew 'all things," should in the latter "not know the day and hour " when judgment was to be executed by him-" felf;" that he, whom in the former "no " man knoweth but the Father." should tell the Jews in the latter, "that 'they both knew

" him

^a John v. 25. ^b John v. 27.

c John xvi. 30. xxi. 17.

d Mark xiii. 32. In the fame nature, in which our Saviour is faid by St. Luke (ii. 52.) to grow in wisdom, he is here said not to be absolutely perfect in it. "The divine $\lambda 0 \gamma 0 \varsigma$, says Waterland, assumed with human nature the "ignorance and other infirmities belonging to it." Lady Moyer's Serm. p. 271.

c Matt. xi. 27. f John vii. 28.

"him and whence he was;" that he, by whom, as God, "all sthings confift, should fay of himself, as man, "and now I am no "more in the world."

The importance of attending to this distinction between our Saviour's natures may be inferred from the question which he himself put to his insidious enemies,—" how 'Christ could be David's Lord and at the same time his Son?"—a question, by which they were so affected, that, as St. Matthew declares, " no man was able to answer him a word, neither durst any man from that day forth ask him any more questions."

But important as it is, that we should attend to the different natures of our Saviour, to which different parts of Scripture are exclusively applied, such application of Scripture is still no more than must necessarily be expected. For if (as some seem to think) we could have reason to expect that a person so compounded should not be mentioned in language exclusive of his divinity, it would be as reasonable also for us to expect, that he should not be described in terms inapplicable to his humanity: and what then would become of every description of his divine nature?

⁵ Col. i. 17. ^h John xvii. 11. ⁱ Matt. xxii. 43. Besides

Besides, as our great Redeemer was to suffer for us and his divinity was exempt from all suffering, he could not possibly have been mentioned on some occasions otherwise than in his human nature exclusively. Who therefore can wonder, that, in this nature, he should be described merely as a "" righteous servant?" Or who can doubt of the nature in which he is thus described; when, in opposition (as it were) to his impassible divinity, his suffering nature is expressly said in the Epistle to the Hebrews to be the source of his obedience, "" though a Son, yet learned he obedience "by the things which he suffered."

Indeed, as an irrefragable proof that every description of the incarnate Redeemer, which makes him inferior in essence to the Father, must be referred to his human nature exclusively, it may be observed, that, amidst his humiliation and suffering here, he afferted the equality, which in his divine nature he then and always had with the Father; as well by permitting at all times the worship which was offered him, (though such worship, when said in Scripture to have been offered to good men or angels, is immediately disclaimed by them,) as also by not attempting, when his hour was now come, to divert the Jews from the con-

k If. xlii. 1.

1 Heb. v. 8.

fequence

fequence which they drew from his words when he called himself the Son of God, or to divert them from any of his former affertions from which they had before drawn the same consequence, "that "he made himself equal "with God."

It is in vain to urge that the Jews might mistake his meaning in these assertions. For can we suppose that the meek and humble Jesus would have afforded his enemies any pretence for the reason, which they alleged for putting him to death, if he had not meant to claim an equality of this kind?

Whence also could have arisen the extreme guilt of the Jews on the occasion, if he had not in reality been equal with God? They condemned him to die, (as all the Evangelists assure us,) because they inferred that he claimed this equality. And whence could have arisen their extreme guilt in this his condemnation? Certainly not from any misapprehension of the true meaning of his words; not from an error, which is no where imputed to them by the Apostles, and with which so many millions among ourselves are every day chargeable, if they were then. No: it must have arisen in an eminent degree from a very different cause; from that inveterate opposition to

m John v. 18.

our

our Saviour's doctrines and pretentions, which they most maliciously consummated by condemning him to death expressly for afferting his claim to an equality with God, which he actually possessed, and which their own "prophets had so explicitly ascribed to the great Redeemer of mankind.

Since then it appears that our bleffed Saviour is both God and man, and spoken of exclusively in each capacity; I may safely affirm that what is said of him in one of those capacities by no means impairs the perfect reality of the other, and may proceed to consider the latter part of his Scripture-history in which he acts in both capacities united.

It was neither as God, nor as man, simply, but as God and man united, that our blessed Saviour after his resurrection was to act in his mediatorial office. And though, during his abode upon earth, it is dissicult to determine to which of his natures, or whether to both in conjunction, particular circumstances are at times to be referred; yet, as soon as he had completed our salvation by his resurrection from the dead and had fully entered upon his office of Mediator, he appears from thenceforth to act in his mixed character of God-

man.

ⁿ See the citations above made from Isaiah, Zechariah, &c.

man. The Son of man, having visibly ascended into heaven, was from that time exalted to the right hand of the power of God, "angels, and authorities, and powers being "made subject to him," there to reign with the Son of God in his mediatorial kingdom, as Head of the Church. God, having been gloristed by the Son of man, now in return "gloristed phim in himself;" or, what is the same, in conjunction with the divine nature of his Son, and therefore in conjunction with his own.

In this state of glorification he was seen and worshipped by ^qSt. Stephen: in this he appeared to ^rSt. Paul: in this he is described at large by St. John in different parts of the book of Revelation: and in this his disciples are every where said in Scripture to call upon his name; ascribing 'glory to him both now and for evermore; and "praying for grace,

o 1 Pet. iii. 22.

P John xiii. 32.

⁹ Acts vii. 56.

r Acts ix.

s Acts ix. 14. xxii. 16. Rom. x. 11—14. 1 Cor. i. 2. 2 Tim. ii. 22.

^t 2 Pet. iii. 18. Rev. i. 5, 6. v. 11, 12.

u See the proofs in Dr. Clarke's Scripture-Doctrine, p. 369. See also particularly in 1 John v. 13, 14, 15. the unlimited extent of the prayers which are to be offered to our Saviour; and in 2 Cor. xii. 8, 9. a strong and very clear declaration of the addresses which St. Paul was accustomed to offer to him: though this last text is unfor-

peace, bleffing, direction, affistance, and comfort from him. And to this state we are to refer all those texts concerning the glorification of the Son and the honours conferred upon him in consequence of the redemption; even that distinguished exaltation, foretold of himself by the God of Israel with a folemn oath and declared by St. Paul to be literally accomplished in the person of Christ, "" that at his name every knee should bow of things in heaven, and things in earth, and things under the earth; and that every tongue should confess that Jesus Christ is Lord, to the glory of God the Father."

Thus invested with all power both in heaven and earth, the Son of God and Son of man shall continue to reign in his mediatorial kingdom, till the judgment by the Son of man shall be completed; after which time he is himself to be made subject to the Father, "that "God (not the Father simply) may be "all in all."

In the mean time the eternal divinity of the second Person in the Godhead, which was so frequently declared and so awfully displayed before his incarnation, and which (as

unfortunately thought ambiguous by Dr. Clarke. See also Ps. ii. 12.

I in-

^{*} If. xlv. 23. y Philip. ii. 9, 10, 11.

z 1 Cor. xv. 28.

I infifted) was not impaired by the nature of a fervant that he mysteriously assumed for our redemption, is equally unimpaired by the mediatorial kingdom, which he holds at prefent under the Father for the government of the Church and the falvation of his faithful fervants. When this kingdom shall be superfeded by the accomplishment of its end; when Christ shall have "" presented to HIMSELF "a glorious Church," and "God 'thall be "all in all;" we may rest assured, that he, who was "God with God in the beginning," who was "" in heaven with God" whilst he was incarnate here on earth, and who, as the Lamb, is at present in his mediatorial kingdom "the fame bTemple with the Lord God Al-"mighty," will be also one indivisible God with him to all eternity.

Such is the regular, and (as far as it can be fo called) chronological relation, which I proposed to give, of the different declarations of Scripture concerning the Son of God or our blessed Saviour. I shall therefore pass on to the second head, and state the Scripture-account of the Holy Ghost.

As the Son was begotten of the Father, fo

A a

we

y Ephef. v. 27. z 1 Cor. xv. 28.

John iii. 13. See also the original Greek of John vi. 46.

b Rev. xxi. 22.

we are informed that the Holy Ghost " pro" ceeded from the Father." But he must also
have proceeded from the Son; for he is
called the "Spirit of the Son," no less than
of the Father; and by a most significant allusion he is made to issue, as it were, immediately
for him: "he breathed on them," declares
"St. John, and said unto them, "Receive ye
"the Holy Ghost."

Since the Scripture has faid nothing concerning the manner, in which the Son was begotten and in which the Holy Ghoft proceeded, and human reason can make no discovery on such subjects; we cannot possibly ascertain the difference between this generation and procession. We know indeed that our blessed Saviour is called the only begotten Son of God to the exclusion of all creatures from any similar Sonship; and that this appellation must exclude the Holy Ghost also from all reference by Sonship to the first Person of the Trinity.

But, whatever be the difference between the generation of the Son and the procession of the Holy Ghost, as we have seen that a distinct personality was possessed by the Son, so we

shall

c John xv. 26.

d Gal. iv. 6. Rom. viii. 6. 1 Pet. i. 11. Philip i. 19.

[•] John xx. 22.

shall find that a personality of the same kind is explicitly ascribed to the Holy Ghost. "When "he," fays our bleffed Saviour, "the Spirit " of Truth, is come, he will guide you into all "truth: for he shall not speak of himself: "but whatfoever he shall hear, that shall he " speak; and he will shew you things to come. "He shall glorify me; for he shall receive of " mine, and shall shew it unto you. All "things, that the Father hath, are mine: "therefore faid I, that he shall take of mine, " and fhew it unto you." No language can more determinately and fully ascribe a personality to the Holy Ghost, distinct from that of the Father and that of the Son: the Holy Ghost is not only made to act and to speak, but likewise to hear.

Accordingly, as a person he was manifested by an external appearance, "descending sin "a bodily shape" upon our blessed Saviour; and he is joined in the form of baptism with the two other Persons of the Godhead, being mentioned in it after the Son, and not immediately after the Father, as might be expected if he were to be considered in any light as an attribute of the Father.

A a 2

Like

f John xvi. 13, 14, 15.

Luke iii. 24.

h Matt. xxviii. 19.

i See Bishop Horsley's Tracts, p. 305.

Like the Son too, the Holy Ghoft is described as possessing the divine nature in the fullest and most perfect manner. He is proved, by immediate inference from various passages of Scripture, to be one and the same with God and Jehovah; and therefore himself both God and Jehovah. The incommunicable attributes of the Godhead are also indisputably ascribed to him. His eternity is expressly asferted, when he is called "the meternal Spi-" rit." His infinite Holiness is declared, both when he is emphatically faid to be "the Holy "Spirit," and when Christ is said to "have " offered himself up through him without " fpot to God," affording us an example of that perfect goodness which belongs to God *alone. His Omnipresence is evident, since he not only refides in all good Christians, but he also at the same time "" searcheth all "things." His Omniscience is impressed upon us by the judgment inflicted on Ananias and Sapphira for "tempting this Spirit of the "Lord," or for doubting of his infinite know-

ledge;

k Acts v. 3, 4. Compare 1 Cor. vi. 19. with 1 Cor. iii. 16, 17. See Luke i. 35. 2 Sam. xxiii. 2, 3.

Compare Exod. xxxiv. 34. with 2 Cor. iii. 17. and Isaiah vi. 9. with Acts xxviii. 25.

m Heb. ix. 14. n Heb. ix. 14.

Luke xviii. 19. P John xiv. 16. 1 Cor. vi. 19.

^{7 1} Cor. ii. 10. Acts v. 4—11.

ledge; and again more fully, by that most remarkable declaration of St. Paul, "that 'he "searcheth all things, yea, the deep things of "God." And his Omnipotence may be inferred from the miraculous powers which he bestowed, even those exercised by our Saviour himself. Moreover, blasphemy against him is the only sin which is declared to be unpardonable.

Since the Holy Ghost is no where said to have assumed any inferior nature, he is no where described as essentially inferior to either of the other Persons of the Trinity. Even when it is said in St. Mark, "of that day and "hour knoweth no one; no, not the Angels "which are in heaven, neither the Son;" it is by no means added, "neither the Holy "Ghost." It may be objected here, that he is said to be sent by the Father and by the Son, as the Son himself was sent by the Father or was his Angel. This however might be designed, in part, to declare the concurrence of the whole Trinity in each individual operation; whilst it more fully declares that "subordination

¹ 1 Cor. ii. 10. ¹ Mark xiii. 32.

u See Dr. Randolph's Vindication of the Trinity, p. 21. Dr. Clarke has produced 240 texts of Scripture to prove that the Son is fubordinate to the Father, which no found member of the Catholic Church has ever denied. He certainly would not have taken all this trouble (as Dr. Waterland remarks) if he could have shewn by any one

of Persons in the Godhead, by which it must ever be remembered that the second Person of the Trinity is inserior to the first, and the third to both the others. But in whatever manner we explain such missions, it is evident from the titles used on these occasions by Zechariah, that there was an equality of nature in the Person sending and in him who was sent; since he says, "" the Lord of Hosts was "fent by the Lord of Hosts."

Without paying more attention therefore to fuch objections, I shall proceed thirdly to state the Scripture-account of the one Godhead of the Father, Son, and Holy Ghost.

This head will not necessarily require much of our time after what has been already said. If each of these three Persons is proved from Scripture to be God and Lord, we are compelled by the Christian verity (as the Athanasian Creed expresses it) to acknowledge each by himself to be God and Lord. And if we are forbidden by the same Scripture, or (to use the

text that the Son is not really God of the same nature with the Father.

Among these texts, that in St. John's Gospel (xiv. 28.) "my Father is greater than I," may perhaps be much more properly applied to the divine nature of the Son in proof of his subordination to the Father, than many others in Dr. Clarke's list.

* Zech. ii. 8—11.

words

words of the Creed just mentioned) by the Catholic religion to say there be three Gods or three Lords, then these three Persons must be one God and one Lord.

However we need not rest our cause upon this argument, though it is certainly conclusive: these three Persons are actually spoken of in Scripture as one divine Being. This doctrine and all that has before been said, concerning the sending of the Son and the Holy Ghost by the Father, is emblematically at least, if not literally, represented in the 18th and 19th chapters of the book of Genesis.

The same doctrine is evidently set forth in the 'passage of the prophet Isaiah which has been cited above, and in which the speaker, who is the only God and eternal Creator, says, "he was sent by the Lord God and his Spirit:" or, "more properly "he and the Spirit were "sent by the Lord God." That no one could be greater, than the Person speaking on the occasion, has been proved from the oath which he sware by himself; that the Lord God and his Spirit were not less is indisputable, from what has been said above: and as he repeatedly declares, "there is no God besides him," they must be the same God with him.—It ap-

y Isaiah xlviii. 16. ² See Bishop Lowth's Version.
A a 4 pears

pears also most evidently from the threefold doxology contained in the fixth chapter of Isaiah, "Holy, holy, holy, is the Lord God of Hofts." This doxology is addressed to a Being that fays of himself in the plural number, "Who will go for us?" It certainly applies to the Father, from the impossibility of excluding him from the attribute and appellation here introduced: it applies also as certainly to the Son, whose glory, *St. John fays, the prophet was then feeing: and to the Holy Ghoft, because we are 'expressly told it was the Holy Ghoft, who fpake by Ifaiah the words, which are immediately after uttered by the divine Person, that said, "Who will go for us?" It is notwithstanding addressed to one Lord of Hoffs.

But no where is the one essential Godhead of the Father, Son, and Holy Ghost, taught in Scripture with more precision and force than in the form of baptism: "" Go ye," says our Saviour to his disciples, " teach all nations, bap-" tizing them in the name of the Father, and " of the Son, and of the Holy Ghost." Doubtless in a matter of so much consequence, as the original institution, or the first enunciation, of that faith which Christians were for ever

^{≖ 1} John xii. 41.

⁷ Acts xxviii. 25.

Z Matt. xxviii. 19.

*to avow and defend, our Saviour must mean to have the declaration full and determinate: he must mean rather to clear up, than to increase, the doubts of weak minds. This baptismal form therefore may be considered as decisive on the subject before us.

For, if there are confessedly in the holy Scriptures many passages, afferting the Godhead of the Son and of the Holy Ghoft, as well as of the Father; and many passages asferting the unity of the divine effence; and if our bleffed Saviour at the time of his final departure from mankind, when he could be ignorant of no part of the Revelation which was to be delivered down to his Church, when he was instituting the form itself of admission into it, and moreover when he had lately been put to death for making himself equal with God, was, notwithstanding, so far from explaining in a different sense any of these passages, that, having joined the three Persons together in one name, he commanded his disciples to teach all nations and baptize them in this name; what less can we infer from thence,

than

That this form of Baptism was in constant use among Christians from the beginning, we may infer both from the question proposed in the Acts of the Apostles to some who had not heard of the Holy Ghost, "to what then "were ye baptized?" and from their answer, "to "John's" (not to Christ's) "baptism." Acts xix. 3.

than that our Saviour meant to instruct us, in the most decisive and solemn manner, that these three Persons are one indivisible God? And what additional need of citation can there be to prove that this important doctrine of our Church is built on the soundation of inspired and infallible writings?

Thus I have endeavoured to state distinctly the Scripture-account, First, of the Son; Secondly, of the Holy Ghost; and, Thirdly, of the one Godhead of the Father, Son, and Holy Ghost. I am now to conclude with some observations upon the whole.

The doctrine of the Trinity, as professed by our Church, is built then, as we have seen, upon the foundation of Scripture. But how the three Persons of this Trinity exist in one Godhead, is a question on which Revelation is silent, and concerning which, (as hath been observed with regard to the eternal generation and the incarnation of the Son, and the procession of the Holy Ghost,) our own reason can teach us nothing. Such existence has nothing similar to it in the created world. The divine nature is, and must be, a nature "sui generis" in the highest sense of the words, and can admit of no comparison with the things without it.

Indeed we have not the least foundation for such a comparison. We know nothing of spirit,

spirit, nothing of self-existence, nothing of eternity: and furely we cannot reason concerning them from matter, creation, and time, things totally incommensurate with them. The method then of reasoning by banalogy, from which we univerfally derive our knowledge of the natures of things and not from their efsences themselves, can have no place with regard to the divine nature. It certainly therefore ought not to be matter of objection or of aftonishment with men accustomed to philofophical reasoning, that the manner, in which the three Persons of the Godhead exist in one divine essence, is entirely above our comprehenfion, or that it should ever remain a mystery to us.

'Illustrations of this doctrine may indeed

b Mr. Hume fays very justly, (as far as relates to a phyfical consideration of the divine nature,) "The Deity is a "fingle Being in the universe, not comprehended under any genus or species, from whose experienced attributes or qualities we can by analogy infer any attributes or qualities in him." Essays, vol. ii. p. 162.

c Dean Sherlock, in his Vindication of the doctrine of the holy and ever-bleffed Trinity, endeavours to illustrate the manner in which the three Persons of the Godhead exist in one God by making their union consist in mutual consciousness. "They are distinguished, as three finite "and created minds would be, by self-consciousness. "They are united into one by a mutual consciousness to "each other, which no created beings have." 4¹⁰. p. 67. And again: "This is that essential unity, which is be-

be attempted from a defire to obviate in weak minds objections against its belief. It may be infinuated, that as parts exist in created beings, fo intelligent persons (though not in the same manner, nor fuch persons as we can comprehend,) exist in the all-perfect God; and that the three Persons, Father, Word or Son, and Spirit, are included in the very idea of God; so that if there could be three Gods, there would be three Fathers, three Words or Sons, and three Spirits. But we have not faculties to proceed far in such illustrations. Rational minds, which are convinced that the doctrine rests on the authority of Scripture, can require no other fatisfaction concerning it than merely to know that it contains no absurdity.

And this it is so far from containing, that nothing is in reality to be found in it, which is in the least inconsistent with the deductions of sound reason on the subject. The different demonstrations of the unity of the Godhead,

which

[&]quot;tween the Father, Son, and Holy Ghost, who are effentially united by a mutual consciousness to whatever
is in each other, and do by an internal sensation (I
want other words to express it) feel each other, as they
do themselves: and therefore are as essentially one, as
a mind and spirit are one with itself," p. 68.

d See Bishop Horsley's Tracts, p. 262. See also, on this subject, Dean Sherlock's Vindication of the Trinity, p. 129.

which have been attempted from reason in modern times, either fall entirely 'short of their intended proof, or they prove 'only the unity of the divine nature: and this they prove from the impossibility of any difference in nature between all-persect beings. Such reasonings therefore, as far as they are at all effectual, extend to the exclusion of separate divine natures; but not to the exclusion of separate divine Persons in the same nature.

Among the ancients the Platonists are most distinguished by reasonings of this kind; and, from whatever source they may ultimately have drawn their principles, they are so remarkable for professing tenets concerning the Deity which correspond in many respects with our doctrine of a Trinity, that the doctrine itself has been said to be derived from them. But the passages, which are cited above from Scripture-writers who lived many hundred years antecedently to the existence of the Platonic sect, conspicuously prove the

erro-

^{*} See Grotius and Clarke.

f See Dean Hamilton's attempt to prove the existence of the Supreme Being in a demonstrative manner.

⁵ Among the ancient Pagans there were others besides the Platonists, who had some notion of a Trinity: this hath been fully proved by Cudworth.

h Probably from tradition derived from the most ancient Revelation. See Bp. Horsley's Tracts, p. 42—46. 224, &c.

erroneousness of those 'philosophers and historians, who refer the origin of the Scripture-doctrine of a Trinity to this sect; and leave us the unallayed possession of every plea in vindication of the general reasonableness of our doctrine, which can be urged from the profession of similar tenets by men, who, before the Christian Era, were eminently distinguished by inquiries, and argumentative deductions, concerning such subjects.

Much has been done in confirmation of the

1 Dr. Priestley and Mr. Gibbon.

* The only plaufible objections, which can be urged independently of Scripture against the doctrine of the Trinity, must be drawn from the opinions of the early Christians concerning it. Objections of this fort have been repeatedly urged and as often answered. With what fuccess they have been answered, we may judge, in fome measure, from the concession of Dr. Priestley in his Defence of Unitarianism for the year 1787. "I allow " (says he, p. 139.) all that Bishop Bull and Mr. Burgh " ascribe to the Fathers of the second and third century; "I allow that they held the doctrine of the divinity of "the Son, at least; but it was in a qualified fense, and by "no means the same that was maintained after the coun-"cil of Nice. I have also distinctly shewn whence the " notion of these Fathers was borrowed; but what I "maintain, and by evidence drawn from their own writ-"ings, is, that while the learned Christians were Trini-" tarians, the common people, who had no notion of Pla-"tonisin, were simply Unitarians."

As to the authentic and uninfpired writers of the first century, they are very few, and their productions not very considerable. But Bishop Bull and Mr. Burgh might

doctrine of the Trinity by appeals to the Fathers and to the tenets of the primitive Church. But on the foundation of Scripture it must rest for its principal support even with men of learning. On this it rests for its whole support with the generality of Christians. It is therefore of extensive importance that it should be fully and often stated from thence; and so, as to be intermixed as little as possible with foreign and fallible matter.

That the body of evidence, here produced from Scripture in defence of this doctrine, should be collected from all the different parts of it, is no more than might be expected in citations from a Revelation which reduces not its doctrines to regular systems; and ought to afford no matter of objection to those, who allow that all Scripture proceeds from the same

might have furnished Dr. Priestley with abundant reason for including them also in his concession.—And as to the saith of the unlearned Christians of the first ages, (to say nothing of the inferences which must ever be drawn in favour of its orthodoxy from the Trinitarianism of their learned brethren) was it not of these, that Pliny says, it was their custom to sing hymns "Christo tanquam Deo?" Besides, (as Jortin says in his Remarks on Eccl. Hist. vol. ii. p. 90.) "if the opinion of Christ's Divinity had not prevailed commonly among the Christians of the first and second centuries, how came it to pass that Adrian is said to have designed to desty Jesus Christ, or that "Severus Alexander intended it?"

infal-

infallible Spirit. The enemies of our establishment generally wish on this occasion to separate some parts from other parts of Scripture; but before they can do this 'effectually, they are forced to deny the universal inspiration of it. While therefore we defend the universal inspiration of Scripture, we ought never to depreciate any part of this evidence by a discriminating and unqualified preference of the rest.

The Scriptures are one great body, one complete whole. Nor do the Jewish and the Christian Revelations reflect light on each other merely in general points of view, but also with regard to particular doctrines. Why he, who spake in times past by the Prophets, has afforded to different ages different degrees of information on the important subject before us, it might be presumptuous in us to inquire. Those, who had such information from particular parts only of Scripture, were answerable in their faith for no more: we, who have

means

¹ See, in Dr. Priestley's Defence of Unitarianism for 1787, p. 66, the opinions of both himself and Dr. Price on the inspiration of Scripture.

Though Dr. Clarke entitles his book on the Trinity of The Scripture-Doctrine," without citing any text from the Old Testament in the body of his work, yet he very fully acknowledges the importance of such texts of Scripture in various notes.

means of founding this article of our Religion upon the whole of that divine Revelation which was defigned for the instruction of mankind, can never be too cautious not to neglect or undervalue any part of it.

If I have omitted many passages of Scripture which might have been cited on the occasion, this omission has not arisen from any persuasion of their want of importance or from any disposition to give up at once those among them which have been disputed; but from a persuasion that the citations, which I have made, are numerous enough to secure the great object which I at first proposed, and to preclude with ingenuous minds all danger from salse explanation.

After all however, if the passages of Scripture here cited, or indeed if all that can be cited, in vindication of the doctrine of the Holy Trinity should be thought liable to objection and should not be sufficient to convince every one, let it be remembered, that so various are the minds of men and fo inexhaustible the fources of objection, that the arguments adducible from reason, in vindication of the plainest articles of natural Religion, are not sufficient to convince every one. Let it be remembered also, that as the general faith of a Christian is to be a virtue, as no demonstration of the truth of Christianity in general is в b either either promised or given, so as to amount to a compulsive evidence in its favour, we ought not to be surprised if we meet with circumstances of the same kind with regard to some of its particular doctrines. If the passages of Scripture here cited are abundantly sufficient to surnish judicious and humble Christians with reasons for believing in this great article of our religion, with reasons for the faith which in this respect is in them, it is all that ought to be thought essential to its establishment and vindication.

But whilft we are always to be ready to bring forward fuch reasons in answer to our opponents, and in vindication of our religious profession; we must be careful never to depart from the spirit of Christianity, so as to engage in a subject of this nature with any other disposition, than that which is enjoined in the words immediately following the text, namely, "with meekness and fear."

APPEN-

APPENDIX.

AMONG the texts of the Old Testament, by which it has been 'proved that the incommunicable name, "Jehovah," is applied to our blessed Saviour, I have referred to Jer. xxiii. 6.

It is well known, that this text has been fo rendered in a late very learned translation of Jeremiah, as entirely to exclude the use which I have made of it. I therefore think it necessary to say somewhat in vindication of the Bible translation of the passage: otherwise, as it has not hitherto been vindicated, the reference which I have made to this text may be thought in a great degree, if not entirely, indefensible.

The Hebrew words of the passage in question are, "וזה שכו אשר יקראו יהוה צדקנו"—
Our Bible-translation is, "And this is his name
"whereby he shall be called, the Lord our
"Righteousness."

* See page 319.

The

The new translation is, "And this is the "name by which Jehovah shall call him, our "Righteousness."

To this translation is subjoined the following note, after another which is not necessarily connected with it: "I doubt not but some " persons will be offended with me for depriv-"ing them by this translation of a favourite "argument for proving the divinity of our "Saviour from the Old Testament. But I " cannot help it: I have done it with no ill " defign, but purely because I think and am " morally fure that the text, as it stands, will " not properly admit of any other construc-"tion. The Seventy have fo translated before " me, in an age when there could not possibly " be any bias of prejudice either for or against "the beforementioned doctrine; a doctrine "which draws its decisive proofs from the "New Testament only. In the parallel pas-" fage, ch. xxxiii. 16. the expression is a lit-" tle varied, but the fense according to a just " and literal translation is precisely the same: " And this is he whom JEHOVAH shall call, "Our Righteousness."

As the Hebrew words will admit of either of the two translations, the reference, which the Author of the new translation makes on this occasion to the Seventy, is highly proper: we certainly need not be afraid to abide by their

their version, without any notice of the undisputed support which our translation derives from every other old version; since it is most decisive in favour of the Bible-construction of the word "יהורה" in the passage which we are considering.

The words of the Seventy are, b και τουτο το ονομα αυτου, δ καλεσει αυτου Κυριος, Ιωσεδεκ. This is a literal version of all the Hebrew text (except the affix 1) which is virtually 'included under Ιωσεδεκ) with this addition, that, whereas 'η may be rendered "they," or "men," fhall proclaim;" or fingularly and indefinitely "one shall call him;" or again definitely "he shall call him;" or again definitely "he shall call him;" but also infert the particular "He," namely "κυριος." For, that the Seventy did not give this term "κυριος" as a translation of the "חור" is indubitable, since they join that term to "צרק" forming " Ιωσεδεκ" from both; and there

To

b See Grabe's Edition.

c Theodoret in his Comment on the passage says, δηλοι το ονομα Κυριος δικαιοσυνη ήμων."

d Verbs plural are often used impersonally in Hebrew. See Job xix. 26. Pf. cxlv. 6. See also " καλεσουσι" used in the same manner. Matt. i. 23.

e That "ההה" is joined to "צדק" in the word " Iwore" δεκ" will not be doubted by those who consult Leusden's Onomasticum, and who see that Stock in his Clavis to the Greek Testament derives Iwpau from הוה and בים, and Iwoapar from שמש משמש.

cannot be affigned a probable reason, why they should join any "הוה" to "אדק" if they had not thought that "הוה" was actually connected with it in the original. It is very obvious why they might insert the "הוה" or "Kupios," in the other case; since if they thought the subject to be definite and the verb of the singular number, the nominative, which they would give to it, must be "He" or "Kupios."

But what places this matter beyond all doubt, is the parallel passage in Jer. xxxiii.

16. to which also the Author of the new translation refers the reader.

The Hebrew words in that passage are, "וה אשר יקרא לה יהוה צדקנו " I entirely approve of the criticism in the new translation on the pronoun "לה" it ought to be rendered "him," not "her." Accordingly, the passage may be rendered in the words of our Bible with an alteration of the pronoun "she,"

To obviate any objection, which may arise from these compounded names, against the application of the term to our Saviour, as a proof of his divinity, it must be observed, that it is not applied by Jeremiah to him in any such compounded manner, as it is used in "Joram," "Jeho- faphat," "Jedidiah," &c.; but it is applied separately, "upph" being mentioned distinctly after it. And this is done also by the Seventy, as soon as they are at liberty to do it without an awkward repetition of the word "Ku- plos." Jer. xxxiii. 16,

" and

"and this is the name wherewith he shall be "called, the Lord our Righteousness."

The new translation of the passage is given in the Translator's note which is cited above.

But how do the Seventy render it? They render it thus, "" Και τουτο το ονομα ώ κληθησεται, " Κυριος δικαιοσυνή ήμων." As the Hebrew word

e As doubts have been entertained whether Jer. xxxiii. from verse 14, as it stands in our editions of the Septuagint, be really part of the Septuagint Version, it may be useful to prove, that the verse in question, namely, the 16th, is part of this Version, from the authority of Theodoret, who gives us this verse as it stands in Grabe and Breitinger, except that he has i instead of w before xxyonσεται; and he thus comments upon it. " Τουτο το ονομα " xai ev Tois mooden entedeine th two Ebpaiwn-xaderas pany 48 Ιωσεδεκ." Theodoret therefore has not only preferved the Septuagint Translation of the verse, but he has also been remarkably express in referring it to its proper author by ascribing it to the translator, who " ev Tois Troo Sev " εντεθεικε-Ιωσεδεκ," that is, " had before introduced-46 the term Ιωσεδεκ," and this was undoubtedly the Septuagint Translator. Jer. xxiii. 6.

Befides, Theodoret's commentary gives full authority to this passage, as part of the Septuagint Version; because (as Hody proves) he believed in the miraculous stories which had been related concerning the manner in which this Version was made, and therefore would doubtless use no other; and besides, because he actually uses it, even when he prefers particular parts of other Versions in his explanatory observations, as may be seen at once by an examination of the text commented upon by Theodoret in the passages cited by Hody de Bibl. Text. p. 327.

B b 4

"יקרא"

" יקרא" is properly rendered passively " אקרא" יקרא," and the word " Kuquos" has not been added on the occasion; the Seventy now at once render the terms " יהוה צדקנו" " Kuquos איהוח הוחדי " ווול משני הוחדי " ווול משני הוחדי ווול משני הוחדי הוחדי וווול איי וווול משני הוחדי הוחדי ווווול משני הוחדי הוחדים הוחדי

It is hardly possible that a concurrence of stronger circumstances should be desired to prove, as far as the Septuagint Version can prove it, that the term "Jehovah," or "Lord," is properly connected with "our Righteoussis and consequently that the term "Jehovah" is really applied to our blessed Saviour in Jer, xxiii. 6.

f Jer. xxiii. 6.

A VIN-

A VINDICATION

OF

CERTAIN TEXTS IN ZECHARIAH

WHICH RELATE TO THE DOCTRINE OF

THE HOLY TRINITY.

TO WHICH IS ADDED,

AN ANSWER TO THE OBSERVATIONS ON THIS SERMON CONTAINED IN DR. BLAYNEY'S APPENDIX TO HIS NEW TRANSLATION OF ZECHARIAH.

SERMON XI.

ZECH. ii. 8-11.

For thus faith the Lord of Hosts; After the glory hath he sent me unto the nations which spoiled you: for he that toucheth you, toucheth the apple of his eye.

For behold I will shake mine hand upon them, and they shall be a spoil to their servants: and ye shall know that the Lord of Hosts hath sent me.

Sing and rejoice, O daughter of Zion: for lo, I come, and I will dwell in the midst of thee, suith the Lord.

And many nations shall be joined to the Lord in that day, and shall be my people: and I will dwell in the midst of thee, and thou shalt know that the Lord of Hosts hath sent me unto thee.

THERE is no part of holy Scripture that more fully vindicates those confessions of our faith, which call upon us to acknowledge a plurality of persons in the Godhead, than the very remarkable passage of Zechariah which I have now read to you. Nor ought any believer in the New Testament to be surprised at the declarations contained in it, or to be deterred

in

in the least from receiving them in their obvious and literal sense.

A passage, which, like the text, declares, "that the Lord of Hosts was sent by one "who himself is the Lord of Hosts" ought not for a moment to excite astonishment in a Christian; knowing, as he must, that the second Person in the Trinity, who is so often said "to have been sent by the Father," is not only called in the New Testament "God," but also is called by a name which is allowed to be equivalent to "the Lord of "Hosts," namely, "the Almighty."

It might indeed stagger the faith of those, who had no opportunity of being instructed by such additional information on the subject. We must not therefore wonder, that the Chaldee 'Paraphrast interprets the passage, as if the Prophet himself was the person sent.

This interpretation has been adopted, probably without much confideration, by a dearned writer, whose comment, in connection with that of another learned member of our Church, extends to the whole of the Old Testament, and is in common use among us.

I fay,

^a John i. 1. Rom. ix. 5, &c. b Rev. i. 8

c The Chaldee Paraphrast concludes his interpretation of the text with inserting לאתובאה, "to prophefy," so as to render the last words "hath sent me to prophefy to "thee."

d Lowth. Patrick.

I say, probably without much consideration, because in so doing he contradicts himself. For, in the 'parallel passage of Isaiah, he considertly asserts, that Christ, and not the Prophet, is the person sent, in opposition to the Chaldee Paraphrast; and refers, in consirmation of his comment on that passage, to this very text in Zechariah, which he notwithstanding explains afterwards in so different a sense.

In consequence however of such degrading interpretations, the text appears lately to have been overlooked or disregarded. The generality of readers appear to have acquiesced in a consused kind of persuasion, that the Prophet is the person sent: and not a single observation with regard to this person has been made by the learned hauthor of the New Translation of the Minor Prophets.

But whatever deference may thus have lately been shewn to the Chaldee Paraphrast, no such attention was paid to his interpretation of the passage before us by learned writers of the Christian Church in former ages.

Jerome

f If. xlviii. 16.

⁵ Though Lowth refers to verses 10 and 11 only of the text; yet in his comment on those verses he explains, "who was the person sent," by referring to the preceding verse; so that his reference from Is. xlviii. 16. may be considered as made to the whole text.

h Dr. Newcome, now Primate of Ireland.

Jerome and Theodoret, in their respective comments, explicitly and exclusively consider the Lord of Hofts, mentioned in the beginning of the text, as the Person sent. Their words are fo full and pointed, as to deserve the most particular attention. On the first verse of the text Jerome remarks, "Vox Sal-" vatoris loquentis inducitur, qui omnipotens " Deus a Patre omnipotente missum se esse "dicit." And again, in another part of his works, he cites the two last verses, and thus explains them, "Hæc dicit Dominus miffus " a Domino cui nomen Omnipotens."—The comment of Theodoret also is not less decifive, "" The prophet, therefore," (fays Theodoret) " has given us to understand not only "that there are two Persons, but also two " Persons of the same rank: For thus saith the " Lord of Hosts, after the glory hath he sent " me; and, to shew who the Person sending " is, he subjoins, And ye shall know that the " Lord of Hosts hath fent me: therefore both " the Person sending is Lord of Hosts, and the

" Person

i See Works, vol. iv. p. 132. edit. Par. 1624.

κ "Υπεδείξε τοινυν (fays Theodoret) ήμιν ό προφητης σε « μονον την δυαδα των περοσωπων, αλλα και το όμοτιμον των « προσωπων ταδε γαρ λεγει κυριος παντοκρατως, οπισω δοξης « απεςαλχε με. Και δείκνυς τις ό αποςείλας επαγει και γνω-« σεσθε ότι κυριος παντοκρατωρ απεςαλχε με. Τοιγαρουν και ό αποςείλας κυριος παντοκρατωρ, και ό αποςαλείς κυριος παντο-

" Person sent is Lord of Hosts, and there is "no difference of dignity between them."

I might produce citations also to the same effect from the learned comment of Cyril, Bishop of Alexandria.

Nor are Commentators the only writers of those early ages, from whom such citations may be produced. Athanasius himself says expressly, in his treatise "On the Trinity and "the Holy Spirit," which is still extant in the Latin translation, "" Omnipotens autem " Filius Dei de quo Zecharias ait: Propterea " fic dicit Dominus Omnipotens, post gloriam " mist me." St. Augustine also, having spoken of the parallel passage in Isaiah, "says, "Est "et alius locus apud Zechariam, qui hoc " evidenter oftendit, quod Omnipotentem mi-"fit Omnipotens. Quis quem, nisi Deus Pa-"ter Deum Filium? Nam ita scriptum est: " Hæc enim dicit Dominus Omnipotens, post " gloriam mist me."

To these distinguished writers of the early ages may be added Vatablus, Castalio, Drusius, and other eminent critics in later times,

Even

¹ See Athanasius's Works, vol. ii. p. 978. edit. Par. 1698.

m De Civitate Dei, lib. xx. c. 30.

n No one of those whose expositions of Scripture are collected in the "Critici Sacri" appears to entertain a fuspicion that the Prophet is the person sent.

who are unanimous in declaring, that the person who was sent is not the Prophet, but the Messiah. Indeed we find it expressly declared by them, that the Lord of Hosts and Person sent in the beginning of the passage is Christ; and that the same appellation, when repeated at the conclusion, points out the Father as the Person sending.

Upon the concurrent support of these, and (as I might add) of all the diftinguished "Theologians of antiquity, whose opinion on the subject can at present be ascertained, I might with confidence rest the explanation of the text: especially too, as, in the very middle and most exalted part of it, Jehovah fays, with manifest reference to his being sent, "Lo! "I come:" "Sing and rejoice, O daughter " of Zion: for lo! I come, and I will dwell " in the midst of thee, saith Jehovah. And " many nations shall be joined to Jehovah in "that day, and shall be my people:" and then, as if to preclude all possible doubt that the Person sent is Jehovah, who says to the daughter of Zion, "Lo! I come," the speaker not

Even Grotius supposes, that the person who was sent is not the Prophet, but Michael. Menochius, who lived nearly at the same time with Grotius, calls him Gabriel.

n Rabbi David Kimchi himself refers to the Messiah, Zech. ii. 10. 11. See Allix's Judgment of the Jewish Church against the Unitarians, p. 37. Lond. 1699.

only

only repeats, what was connected with it, "and I will dwell in the midst of thee;" but changing the number concludes, "and thou," not "ye," (as it stands in the ninth verse,) that is, "thou daughter of Zion, shalt know "that the Lord of Hosts hath sent me unto "thee."

But I mean not to rest my explanation of the text upon human authority folely; neither shall I dwell upon the very powerful support. that I might derive from the parallel passage in the 48th chapter of Isaiah, and which, not only the learned commentator above contrasted with himself, but also his immediate odescendant and great successor in the illustration of Isaiah, refers expressly to Christ. For this passage, as well as the text, has been otherwife interpreted by the Chaldee Paraphrast. I shall therefore not dwell upon it; but endeavour,—after an observation or two upon the language used by the speaker in the text,to confirm and enforce the explanation here given of it by three fimilar passages in the Prophecy of Zechariah; which are all totally misinterpreted in the new translation of the Minor Prophets, and which must be vindicated, as they stand in our English Bible, before it can be expected that their authority

· Bishop Lowth.

٦.1

C C

and

and application to our subject should be fully admitted. Afterwards I shall conclude with a few observations upon the whole.

To establish a doctrine of so great importance as that which is deduced from the text, it is exceedingly desirable, in the sirst place, that the language of the passage should be clear and determinate. And what language can be more so, as far as relates to the person of the speaker, than those remarkable words, with which the text begins? "For thus saith "the Lord of Hosts; After the Glory hath he sent me." Whom can these words permit

P In speaking of the language of the text, it might be urged, that verse the 8th, with which the text commences, is connected with the preceding part of the chapter by the illative particle "for;" that the word "Glory," mentioned in this verse, refers to the same word in verse the 5th; and that after Jehovah has declared, in the 5th verse, "he will be Glory in the midst of Jerusalem," the same divine Person proceeds in the 8th verse to declare, " For thus faith the Lord of Hofts, After the Glory hath "he fent me:" and from thence it might immediately be inferred, that the Person sent was the same Jehovah, who, it was before faid, should "be Glory in the midst of "Jerusalem." But though such a construction of the pasfage, as far as relates to the word "Glory," is not without advocates of very great name; yet as this explanation, no less than every other which has been given of the word, is attended with difficulties, I wish to leave its meaning undecided, and to draw no other argument from the text itself, than what results from the obvious con-Aruction of the other parts of it.

of Hosts? The subsequent language also corresponds with this and no other interpretations I will shake mine hand upon them," says the speaker, "and they shall be a spoil to their servants." Could this be the Prophet's hand?—It is the hand of Jehovah himself, and of the Lord of Hosts himself, which is said, in one passage of Isaiah, to be shaken over the river; and in another, over Egypt: and no where else (except in the text) is the same form of expression used in holy Writ.

And again the text proceeds, "Lo! I "come, and I will dwell in the midst of thee, saith Jehovah. And many nations "shall be joined to Jehovah in that day, and "shall be my people; and I will dwell in the "midst of thee, and thou shalt know that "the Lord of Hosts hath sent me unto thee." Can any part of this be separated from the rest, and referred to the Prophet? Or can there be a doubt, from the construction and connection of the whole text, that the same Person both speaks throughout, and says, in the conclusion, that he was sent: especially also, when (as I have before insisted) in the

^{1 9} Isaiah xi. 15. 1 Ibid. xix. 16.

ש "Upon" and "over" are both expressed in the Hebrew by the preposition איל, which, properly and agreeably to its derivation, denotes "above."

very middle and most exalted part of the passsage Jehovah says expressly, and with reservence to his being sent, "Lo! I come."

But indeed, to demonstrate the obvious meaning of the whole, if, consistently with the exalted language, any created being could be substituted, and that part assigned to him in the text, which is now confessedly sustained by the Lord of Hosts and Jehovah; it may be afferted, without danger of contradiction, that there would not in that case be a surmise of doubt, but this same 'individual Being was the speaker from the beginning to the end of the text, and also the Person sent.

Not to dwell longer therefore upon a fubject, which is so incontrovertible as the literal meaning of the text, I shall endeavour to supersede the necessity of looking out for any sigure, or irregular change of Person, in it, by

In the 10th and 11th verses the speaker is called Jehovah, and is said to be sent by the Lord of Hosts. Doubtless I need not observe on this occasion, that Jehovah is the same with the Lord (or Jehovah) of Hosts, who before was the speaker, and was said to be sent.—It may, however, be added, as a circumstance worthy of observation, that, as in the two former verses, the Lord of Hosts, who was sent, speaks of another Lord of Hosts that sent him; so, in the two latter, Jehovah, who says, "Lo!" I come," speaks of another Jehovah, saying, that many nations shall be joined to Jehovah in that day, and shall be my people."

proceeding to confirm the literal construction by other passages in the writings of the same Prophet.

The passages, which I am to use for this purpose, and which I am also to vindicate as they stand translated in our Bible, are three, in number.—The first is found in the beginning of the third chapter, or, as it may be stated, in the part of Zechariah which almost immediately follows the text. Here we have a passage, which asserts in reality the very doctrine for which I am contending, namely, that a Person, called by a name peculiar to the supreme God, is sent by another of the same name.

To prove this, I need only infift upon a circumstance, which no one will deny; that, by the angel of the Lord, or of Jehovah, is, meant a Person sent by the Lord, or by Jehovah; and proceed to the passage itself, which is thus translated in our English Bible:-" And " he shewed me Joshua the high priest, stand-" ing before the angel of the Lord, and Satan " standing at his right hand to resist him. " And the Lord faid unto Satan, The Lord "rebuke thee, O Satan."-Now that the Lord or Jehovah, who spake unto Satan, was a different Person from him who was called upon to rebuke Satan, is evident. He was unquestionably the angel of Jehovah before whom **€** C 3

whom Joshua was standing. Consequently the angel of Jehovah, or one who was sent by Jehovah, is himself called Jehovah. No passage can be more clear in its meaning; and none can more satisfactorily confirm the great doctrine which is contained in the text. For the confirmation is in some measure incidental, and therefore the more convincing.

That Jehovah who spake unto Satan was the angel of Jehovah, mentioned in the preceding verse, must have appeared indisputable to the author of the new translation; fince his misinterpretation of the passage arises from a wish to call both by the same name. which he accordingly does by inferting in brackets "the angel of" before "Jehovah;" though fuch an alteration of the passage must cut up by the roots the powerful argument, which Christians from the "beginning have drawn from hence in proof of a plurality of Persons in the Godhead. Admit for a moment that the alteration ought to be made, and our argument is annihilated: the angel of Jehovah, mentioned in the first verse, is merely called the angel of Jehovah in the fecond; and not, as we contend, Jehovah him-

felf,

u Jerome and Athanasius take particular notice of this passage, and apply it in vindication of our Lord's divinity. See Jerome's Comment in locum, and Athanasius de Trinitate et Spiritu Sancto. Works, vol. ii, p. 970.

felf. Doubtless nothing more can be necessary to evince a want of proper foundation for this alteration, than simply to state, that it is made in direct opposition, not only to our Bible-Version, but also to every other Version except the Syriac, and to every Manuscript extant.

The fecond paffage in Zechariah which I shall use to prove, that the term Lord of Hosts in the text is applied both to the Person sending and him who was fent, and of which also I shall endeavour to vindicate the translation given in our Bible, is found in the 7th verse of the 13th chapter: in which the Lord of Hofts, foretelling the fufferings of the Redeemer, speaks of him as equal to himself; he bids the fword awake against his shepherd, against the man that is his "Fellow," or, as that term means in our translation, his " Equal." The original word is עמיתי, and, exclusively of this passage, it is found in the Book of Leviticus only. There it is often used, and always so as to fignify a "fellow-citizen," or "one "upon the same level in society." It appears therefore with the greatest propriety to be rendered in our Bible by the term "Fel-"low."

But this term, expressive as it is of the real meaning of the original word, and respected as it ought to be from its necessary reference

cc4 to

to the divine nature of our Redeemer, is entirely excluded both in expression and substance from the new translation, which gives the passage thus: "Awake, O sword, against "my shepherd, and against the man who "is near unto me, saith Jehovah God of "Hosts."

Now though the word לעמח, which from its common acceptation may be confidered as a preposition, signifies "juxta" or "proxime " ad;" and though עבית fhould be rendered from thence "proximus;" x" non eft," as we may infer with different commentators from the use of this noun itself, "proprie quivis " proximus, fed intime familiaris, nobis con-"junctus quodam jure." For unquestionably, as we find our noun itself very frequently used in Leviticus, we ought to determine its meaning from thence, and not from any passage or passages in which the preposition only is concerned. In Leviticus the meaning of the noun is obvious; "" Thou shalt not hate thy brother in thy heart; thou shalt in any " wife rebuke" את עביתך " thy neighbour :" " And if thou fell ought" לעמיתך " to thy " neighbour, or buyest ought" מיד עמיתן " of thy neighbour's hand, ye shall not op-

x See Poole's Synopsis in loc.

[&]quot; preß

"press one another;" or, as the original words fignify, " every man his brother." "Ye " shall not steal, neither deal falsely, neither " lie" איש בעמיתו one to another: Ye " fhall not oppress" איש את עמיתו " one "another." In these passages, and indeed in all the others in which the noun is used, its meaning is obviously such, as to justify the explanation which I have above given of it; and also to confirm the derivation assigned to it by Schultens from an Arabic word, which fignifies "glomerare, seu potius filum filo "fimili intorquere;" " unde" (as Simonis remarks) " ad fimilitudinem et interiorem pro-" portionem metaphora facta, quemadmodum " συγκλωτον proprie glomeratum apud Græcos " transfertur ad fimilia et æqualia, quæ ejus-" dem sunt generis et naturæ."

The Septuagint-Translators, from the same want perhaps of a proper conception concern-

a Lev. xix. 11. b Ibid. xxv. 17.

see the word ממת in Simonis's Hebrew Lexicon.

d In the Preface to King James's Bible, errors are afcribed to the Seventy, and some to their ignorance; "They (the Seventy) did many things well; but yet, as men, they stumbled and fell; one while through oversight, another while through ignorance; yea, formetimes they may be noted to add to the original, and sometimes to take from it; which made the Apolifies to leave them many times when they left the Heff brew, and to deliver the sense thereof according to the truth

ing the different Persons in the Godhead, which has before been attributed to the Chaldee Paraphrast, have indeed rendered the term in question " weλιτην," or "Citizen." And from thence others may have been induced to lower down its meaning: yet even the Chaldee Paraphrast has it, "Socium ejus qui " est similis ei;" and the Arabic Translator, aware that a connection of intimacy and equality was intended, though translating from the Septuagint, expresses it "Fellow-Citizen:" Aquila also renders it from the original " \(\sum_{\nu}\nu_{\nu}\) " Φυλετην," " One of the same tribe or ward;" and the Vulgate "Cohærentem mihi;" which Jerome explains by referring to our Saviour's declaration, " Ego in Patre et Pater in me;" dothers by faying that it is to be understood, "Consubstantialiter secundum divinam natu-"ram, et hypostatice secundum humanam;" and again, others by making it fignify not only "Conjunctum," but also "Coæqualem " quatenus Deus est."

I need not infift that the word "near" in the new translation conveys not the most distant idea of equality between the speaker and the Person of whom he was speaking:

and

[&]quot; truth of the word, as the Spirit gave them utterance." Fol. p. 3.

d See Poole's Synopsis.

^{· · •} See Menochius's Comment.

and shall only add, that, though the new translation thus degrades, and, if I may so say, entirely annihilates, the awful sense so justly ascribed to the term "עמיתי," we have, in the appendix subjoined to it, Archbishop Secker's interpretation of the term, who says expressly, that "it seems to mean, a person franding upon the same level in society."

The third and last passage, to which I shall appeal in support of the doctrine ascribed to the text, and of which I am also to vindicate the Bible-Translation, is found in the 10th verse of the 12th chapter of Zechariah: "And I will pour out upon the house of David" and upon the inhabitants of Jerusalem the spirit of grace and supplications, and they shall look upon me whom they have pierced."

That the speaker here is Jehovah, is evident, because he is the speaker through the whole of the chapter, and because no other could pour upon man the spirit of grace and supplications. The only question then is, whether the passage be genuine, as it stands in the copy from which our Bible is translated, and it ought to be rendered, "look "upon me;" or we are to give up at once all possibility of drawing any argument from it in support of our blessed Saviour's divinity, and

and render it, with the new translation, "look " upon him."

The Hebrew copy, from which our Bible-Translation is made, rests in this passage upon the 'authority not only of the greatest part, but also of the most valuable, of the Hebrew manuscripts; upon that of all the ancient verfions, including, as far as can be proved by inference, those of Aquila, Symmachus, and Theodotion; and upon the united authority even of the most svirulent enemies of Christianity.

On the contrary, it is urged, that St. John, in his 'Gospel and Book of 'Revelation, has " look upon him;" and that some of the Fathers, and many manuscripts, support the same reading.

h John xix. 37.

Now

f See De-Rossi's Variæ Lectiones Vet. Test. in loc.

g Among other arguments against the adoption of the reading, "look upon him," De-Rossi urges: "Non mode " plerique ac meliores codices ab hac corruptione im-" munes funt, sed et ex tot scriptoribus antichristianis, " quos legi ac magno numero possideo, ne unus quidem " oft, quod feiam, qui objicientibus Christianis Zachariæ " prophetiam, respondeat Zachariæ textum esse אליי, nul-" lus qui vel folam oppopat vel memoret, codicum varie-" tatem. אלי aperte et fine ullo alterius lectionis indicio " legunt præsertim acerrimi Christianorum hostes Lip-"mannus," &c. &c. . i Rev. i. 7. . .

Now it is the opinion of 'learned men that the elliptical form of expression, found in the Greek of the passage which is urged from St. John's Gospel, " els or exercipare," leaves strong doubts concerning the antecedent to "o" in the original Hebrew from which it was translated.—And whoever considers the words of Zechariah, which are used in the passage referred to in the Book of Revelation, will see at once, that they are not introduced as a citation; and that, adapted as they were to the other parts of the 5th, 6th, and 7th

k See the latter part of De-Rossi's Observations on Zech. xii. 10.—When Jerome, in his Epistle to Pammachius, says that St. John cites this passage of Zechariah, "juxta Hebraicam veritatem," and afterwards that St. John's and his own translation differ; he probably means that they differ in the form of expression only, not in having been made from Hebrew copies, of which one read "look upon him," and the other "upon me;" as Jerome neither mentions this important difference, nor could he have intended to recede himself from that "He-"braica veritas" which he admits in St. John's citation.

This also appears fill more probable from Jerome's Comment on this passage.—Having there discussed the question, whether "whom they have pierced," or "whom "they have insulted," is the proper reading, he speaks of St. John's attention to the Hebrew more fully and pointedly than in his Epistle to Pammachius; and, without the most distant intimation that St. John did not read "אל", he himself adopts this reading, and translates the passage "look upon me."

) . . .

verses,

verses, they could not be spoken of Christ otherwise than in the third person.

Besides, exclusively of all such considerations, it is well known, with respect not only to the Evangelists, but also to the Fathers, that they often cite the general sense of a passage without attending to the particular person of whom it is spoken, when their argument depends not upon fuch attention.-Thus the Prophet Malachi fays, "Behold "I will fend my messenger, and he shall " prepare the way before me:" and though h St. Matthew and i St. Mark. h Tertullian and ¹Chrysostom, referring to this passage, all use the words, "before thee;" yet no one doubts that the original reading is, " before "me." The author of the new translation himself appears not to doubt it, however he may endeavour in his observations on this pasfage to preclude the "inference which ought to be drawn from thence, that our bleffed Sayiour is "Lord of Hofts."

That

h Matt. xi. 10. i Mark i. 2.

k Tertullian says, "Ecce ego mitto angelum meum ante faciem tuam, id est Christi, qui præparabit viam tuam ante te." Adv. Jud. p. 194.

¹ Chrysostom also says, ίδου απος ελλω τον αγγελον μου τρο προσωπου σου ός κατασκευασει την όδον σου εμπροώτ σου. Works, edit. Montfaucon, vol. vii. p. 415.

m See on Mal. iii. 1. Allix's Judgment, &c. p. 303.

That different manuscripts should favour the contrary reading, is an objection which we shall not be disposed to receive with alarm, if we either admit with De-Ross, that the Jews corrupted the passage from attention to the analogy of construction; or, with others, that this is one of the eighteen passages which the Massorah in several places confesses which the Massorah in several places confesses which latter opinion the alteration of a passage of similar meaning in the Psalms tends immediately to confirm; and besides it is strenuously maintained by Bishop Pearson, who psays that it appears from the direct confession of a Jew to Mercerus.

Whoever therefore considers the satisfactory manner, in which the objections to the established reading may be answered, ought not for a moment to suffer them to weigh against the very superior arguments which may be accumulated in its desence: much less ought he to think himself justifiable in submitting at once to the obliteration of a reading, which has ever been deemed by the most learned men, both in 'ancient and 'mo-

º Pf. xxii. 17.

P See Pearson on the Creed, fol. p. 201. 5th edit,

⁹ See De-Rossi in loc. r See Theodoret in loc.

s See Bp. Pearson on the Creed, as above, p. 201. Allix says, "We cannot have a better proof that the Mes"fias

dern times, an irrefragable proof of the Divinity. of our great Redeemer.—So fully may our Bible-Translation be defended in this, no less than the two other passages of Zechariah, by which I was to support the literal interpretation of the text.

And when, in addition to the arguments which may be urged from human authority and from the parallel passage of Isaiah in favour of this interpretation, we find it confirmed, not only by the language of the text itself, but also by the three distinguished passages of the same Prophecy which I have been citing and vindicating; I trust I shall not speak without the conviction and approbation of my audience, when I assert, that the text ought not to be construed in any other, than its obvious and literal sense, and that the speaker in it is at the same time both Lord of Hosts and the Person sense.

"fias should be Jehovah, than Zech. xii. 10. which the "Targum also interprets of the Messias." Judgment, &c. p. 284.

t The same words, which are twice used in the text concerning the person sent, "and ye" (the Jews) "shall," or "thou" (the daughter of Zion) "shalt, know that the "Lord of Hosts hath sent me," are used also in Zech. iv. 9. and vi. 15. Lest therefore the use of them in these other passages should be thought capable of being converted into an objection against the interpretation here given of the text; it may be proper, before I quit the subject,

No four passages in any one of the inspired books can more immediately support each other, or more fully evince a plurality of perfons in the Godhead, than the text and the other three passages of Zechariah, which I have so often brought before you. But though they most powerfully support each other, it by no means follows from thence, that they must stand or fall together in the confirmation which they yield to the great doctrine deduced from them concerning the persons of the Godhead. Any one of them might be fufficient to confirm this doctrine independently of all the others. Such therefore is their importance, even taken separately, that to have rescued but one of them from inattention or misinterpretation, would be an ample recompence for any degree of pains and exertion.

It has been remarked, that few things are more evidently injurious to the cause of revealed religion, than the weak and unfounded

fubject, to remark, first, that it is by no means certain, either from the context or from the expositions of commentators, that they are spoken of the Prophet in Zech. iv. 9. and vi. 15: and secondly, that if this was certain, it would not follow from thence that they must be spoken of him also in the text, which begins with an express declaration from the Lord of Hosts, "that he himself "was sent," and, in its progress, makes him say, under the name of Jehovah, "Lo! I come."

рd

argu.

arguments, used by many of its injudicious friends with a wish to defend or to illustrate its truth. While I admit the justice and full force of this remark, the preceding observations necessarily suggest and evince, that we may find, in the liberality of modern concession, an opposite extreme, not less to be dreaded and opposed.

I speak not of concessions, which proceed from an indifference to all religion, and which often obtrude themselves upon us; but of those, which we find, and particularly with regard to the doctrine of the Trinity, in the writings of men distinguished by their piety and zeal for Christianity; who, because they fee the imprudence of firaining texts of the Old Testament to make them bear upon this doctrine, pass hastily on to the opposite extreme, and employ all their learning and ingenuity to explain away the meaning of others which obviously and necessarily support it; who not only give up every argument of the kind, which is allowed by the generality of learned Christians to be inconclusive, but also feel no difficulty in expunging from their Bible readings, which a very great majority of the orthodox defenders of our religion have ever thought unantwerably decisive in their favour.—To say that this has been done in modern times, would be to affert merely what I truft

I trust (as far as the present attempt was to extend) has already been proved.

But, while I trust that this has been the case, I cannot refrain from insisting, that to be under a necessity to bring forward proofs of such a nature, *before we can avail ourselves of those passages of Scripture, to which we have been accustomed to appeal without reserve in our Bible-Translation, is to be placed in a very undesirable situation.—But the importance of the passages, which I have been vindicating, ought to stimulate our exertions; and to make us submit, however reluctantly, to this necessity.

Great is the consolation, which believers may derive from these passages when properly interpreted and enforced. Every where therefore ought they to be held up to view and vindicated. But besides, in this place, bad consequences of the most extensive nature must arise from indifference or silence on such occasions.

In the pursuit of theological knowledge, the young student, on whom the faith of thou-fands may depend, is always directed to apply for instruction, concerning difficult or dif-

D d 2

(. !

puted

^{*} When the author appealed to different passages of Zechariah, in the two Sermons which he published on the Trinity, he had not at that time read any part of the new Translation of the Minor Prophets.

puted passages of Scripture, to translations and comments. And, so prevalent is the aversion to laborious research in the present times, it is much to be seared, that the greater part will content themselves with applying to modern writings of both these descriptions, rather than submit to the satigue of following the ancients in their learned and elaborate investigations and displays of divine truth.

Among these modern productions, "The "attempt towards an improved version—"and an explanation of the Twelve Minor "Prophets" will certainly not escape their attention.

In such hands therefore, the greater the general excellency of any work, the more respectable the character of its author, and the more elevated his rank; the more ferious and lasting danger is to be apprehended from such misinterpretations of important parts of Scripture, as have above been subjected to your consideration. For, in translations of Scripture, the translator does not merely give an opinion, but he decides absolutely; he alters the text itself of holy Writ: and thus, if he decides wrong, he poisons the sources of theological information, he digs up the very soundations on which the superstructure of a sound and orthodox saith must ever be raised.

However undefirable therefore it may be

to be called upon thus to vindicate our Bible-Translation, the employment itself, which is every where an obvious duty, becomes in this place a duty of the most extensive importance.

God grant that the vindication here attempted of the true meaning both of the text, and of the three important passages by which it is confirmed, may tend to establish and comfort us in the belief of the great myftery of the Holy Trinity; and may induce us, in all our scriptural inquiries, first, to regard with veneration the excellent translation of the facred Scriptures which our Bible exhibits; and then to decide concerning fuch important passages in it, as may be thought capable of an improved exposition, by the only means of decision, which we can with confidence adopt, where modern interpreters, notwithstanding all their advantages, disagree, and Scripture admits of different constructions; namely, by a laborious and patient examination into the writings of the most learned men of antiquity, and into the support which the passages in question derive from other and fimilar parts of holy Writ!

APPENDIX.

As Dr. Blayney, the Regius Professor of Hebrew, has subjoined to his new translation of Zechariah an Appendix, in reply to various passages of the preceding Sermon, it is incumbent upon me to return some answer, lest it should be inferred that I have nothing to urge in vindication of my own interpretation of them.

I must previously however remark, that he by no means attempts to defend even the greater part of the positions which are controverted in my Sermon; that he gives up those of the Chaldee Paraphrast and Lowth, concerning the text, or the first of my four passages, and those of the Primate concerning the second and sourth; and that I might hold myself excused from paying attention to the new positions, from which he argues against my conclusions. But as I am convinced these conclusions are defensible against all the arguments which he advances, and as I intend at present never more to resume the subject, I shall wave the advantage which I might take, and proceed to consider the whole of the Appendix.

In opposition to the citations which are adduced to support my construction of the text, it is proposed in the Appendix to set wholly aside the authority of ancient Commentators and Fathers of the early ages.

To this I can by no means consent. Ought we, with respect even to any individual among them, to place dependence in no case upon his opinion, because we cannot universally depend upon it? And can we then, because each individual among them may separately have erred, think ourselves justifiable "in setting wholly aside their authority," in a case where they all agree in their interpretation, and where they p d 4 certainly

certainly are not chargeable with any of a "those "gross mistakes, and notorious mistranslations," for which their authority is to be annihilated? If such conclusions are to be admitted, we ought at once to give up all deference to the judgment of others. For where is the man, whether ancient or modern, how superior soever his abilities, and how eminent soever his learning, who has not erred even grossly? And, moreover, the sacred interpreters, to whom I appeal, are not all to be considered as ancient Commentators and early Fathers: among them are to be found some of the most eminent Critics of later

ages.

In explaining the words of the text, Dr. B. depends principally upon the observations which he introduces concerning the angel by whom they were delivered. This is a subject upon which I did not at all enter. Besides, he by no means correctly states by whom they were actually delivered. What is faid in his Appendix to be b " as clear as clear can "be" appears to me, and must appear to every one who confiders the subject with attention, in a totally different light. To me it appears most evident, that the actual deliverer of the text, or d "the im-"mediate speaker," is not, as Dr. B. contends, "the "angel to whom another angel has given orders;" but an angel who was acting in a much higher capacity; he is the person who is called "another " angel," and was himself giving orders to the angel who talked with Zechariah.

The truth is, in the delivery of the text to the Jews, three persons were to be concerned; the angel who first communicated it; an angel who received it from him; and Zechariah to whom this latter an-

App. p. 80.

gel

App. p. 79.
See from ver. 3, to the end of Zech. ii.

gel before talked, and to whom he was to convey the information he received.

The Chaldee Paraphrast and Lowth, against whom my observations were directed, consider the last, or the Prophet, as the person who delivers the text; Dr. B. ascribes the delivery to the second; but the actual deliverer of it, or the immediate speaker, is the first. This must be seen at once on a perusal of the passage in Zechariah. The only real difficulty discovers itself when we attempt to ascertain who this first person is.

It is certain however here, that the fecond chapter of Zechariah, from which the text is taken, is connected with the first; as it opens with the introduction of a man with the line in his hand to measure Jerusalem, which it was before expressly said should be stretched forth upon it. And it is no less certain also, that in the first chapter a great person, who is f called Jehovah and the Lord of Hosts, not only employs, in the conveyance of information to the Prophet, the same angel that is used for this purpose by the immediate speaker in the text; but also the information itself is exactly of the s same kind, with respect both to the denunciation of God's displeasure against the enemies of Jerusalem, and the future profperity of Zion. The only difference in the conveyance of this information from them is, that in the first chapter Jehovah is merely said to have communicated " "good words and comfortable words," leaving it to the angel who talked with the Prophet to give them at full length; whereas, in the fecond, the great person, who is called "another angel," himself delivers at full i length the information, which he orders the angel who talked with the Prophet to

convey

^{*} Zech. i. 16. f Ver. 12, 13.

⁸ Compare Zech. i. 15-17. with Zech. ii. 8-11.

h Ver. 12

i See from ver. 3. to the end of the chapter.

convey to him. These circumstances are besides the more worthy of our notice, since even Lowth him-fels k insists that Jehovah or the Lord of Hosts, who is described as acting thus in the first chapter, is,

in that very chapter, called also "an angel."

We might fafely therefore infer, that the Person, called Jehovah or Lord of Hosts, and also an angel in the first chapter, is the same with him who is called another angel in the second.—But whether we actually drew this inference or not, we may affert with considence, that the person, thus called another angel, gave orders (contrary to the affertion of Dr. B.) to the angel who talked with the Prophet in the text, and directed him to deliver the whole, agreeably to the opinion maintained by the great theologians of former ages, in the name of the Lord of Hosts, as sent by the Father; or, to use the term which Lowth himself says was applied to him in the preceding chapter, as his angel.

Dr. B. indeed afferts, that the angel who delivers the text repeats it partly in his own words, and partly in the words of the Lord of Hosts. But his i distri-

¹ Zech. ii. 8—11. is thus translated by Dr. B.

8. For thus hath Jehovah of Hosts said, Sending me after the glory

Unto the nations that spoiled you,
"Surely he that touched you hath touched the apple of
"his eye."

9. For behold I am about to wave my hand over them, And they shall be a spoil to their servants.

And ye shall know that Jehovah of Hosts hath sent me.

 Sing and rejoice, O daughter of Sion, For, "Behold I am coming,

" And I will dwell in the midft of thee, faith Jehovah.

11. "And many nations shall be joined

"Unto Jehovah in that day,

"And shall become a people unto me;

" And

Lowth fays, on ver. 12, "The angel, mentioned ver. 9, "makes his supplication to the superior angel, ver. 8, 10.; who was indeed the Logos or Son of God, being called by the name of Jehovah here," &c.

bution of it between them is fanctioned by no author rity; and the interpretation of the original language, by which it is supported, appears to be itself by no means well founded. For the construction by which ישלדוני is rendered fending me, is proposed in opposition to every other version no less than to the Hebrew punctuation. Besides, there appears to be no reason why '2, which is rendered "For" at the beginning of the text, should be made to fignify "Surely" immediately afterwards; or why I should be rendered at the conclusion "Then," and not, as before, fimply "And."-Doubtless it must be allowed, that preceding translators knew all the fignifications of these particles, on which fo much is now made to depend: and yet no translator, even if we include the learned Primate himself, has ever before assigned to them in this passage the different senses, in which Dr. B. here uses them.

I am also not in the least disposed to subscribe to the inference, which we are lest to draw from the sentence which closes in his Appendix the observations upon Zech. ii. 8—11. "There is indeed good reason to believe that no part of the revelation of the Old Testament was delivered through the immediate ministry of the Son of God, since that is cited as the distinguishing characteristic of the Gospel dispensation. Heb. i. 1, 2."

In these two first verses of the Epistle to the Hebrews the author speaks of the immediate communication of the will of God to the Jews through the Prophets, and to Christians through his Son: but he

" And I will dwell in the midst of thee."

Then shalt thou know that Jehovah of Hosts hath sent me unto thee.

How far either this translation, or the arbitrary appropriation of its different parts to the different speakers, who are distinguished by the inverted commas, appears to be natural, or just, every reader must determine for himself.

by

by no means fays, or infinuates, either that God did not employ his Son to make known his will to the Prophets, before they communicated it to the Jews, or that the Son was not actually fent to the Prophets and others, both to declare and to execute his Father's will. Bishop Bull very fully afferts, m " Ubi- " cunque non merum angelum sed ipsum Deum aparuisse liquet, ibi non Patrem sed Filium intellim gendum esse primævæ antiquitatis consentiens ju- " dicium religiose sequentes constanter affirmamus."

As to Zech. iii. 2. which is the fecond passage I attempt to vindicate, or the first of those which I use to confirm my explanation of the text; the two suppositions, as Dr. B. calls them, which he as says aparameter and user problematical even at the best, and lose even the shadow of probability when we render are in the future, must have been assumed as evident and unquestionable by the learned Primate, on whose translation my observations were made; otherwise he could not possibly have introduced the angel of before Jehovah: nor can I at present see any reason for rejecting them. I have already spoke concerning the repetition of the word Jehovah, Zech. ii 11.

Little or nothing is said in Dr. B's Appendix concerning the third passage by way of reply to the authorities, upon which I have assigned a meaning to the noun which I have assigned a meaning to the noun different from what belongs to the preposition property, upon a supposition that both have the same origin. It is therefore hardly necessary for me to add, in vindication of this difference of meaning, that the two words are not even derived from the same root by Simonis in his new and very valuable Hebrew Lexicon. To preclude the inference which is drawn from Zech. xiii. 7. in support of our Saviour's equality with the Father, Dr. B. declares,

"he

^{**} Bulli Fid. Nic. Def. Sect. 4. c. 3. §. 16.

* App. p. 81.

See note p. 386.

" he is fully persuaded the Redeemer is not meant, and his sufferings not spoken of in this place." The contrary opinion is founded, on a direct application of this Prophecy by our Saviour himself, to his own sufferings. If this is not to be numbered among the passages, of which our Saviour is made to say by another Evangelist, " all things must be suffilled which were written in the law of Moses and in the Prophets, and in the Psalms concerning me," how can we ever ascertain what those passages are?

The ground upon which the Bible-Translation of the last passage, or Zech. xii. 10. must now be defended, is totally changed. Dr. B. confesses, "Were "the matter to be decided by the number and weight of authorities, I am of opinion with De-"Rossi, that the scale would turn in favour of "Rossi, that the scale would turn in favour of "Rossi, "Or against "Rossi, I doubt not, Dr. B.'s new post will be found in the end as untenable, as that which the learned Primate occupied.

Defirous to reconcile אלי to St. John's citation, De-Rossi conjectured, with Calusius, that possibly St. John might cite from a manuscript, which omitted the את, and read אלי pointed like the simple prepo-

₽ App. p. 82.

4 Compare Zech. xiii. 7. with Matt. xxvi. 31.

In his Notes on Zech. xiii. 7. p. 68, Dr. B. fays that "our Sa"viour refers to this passage, as to a proverbial saying, (just as we
"might do, if we had met with one to our purpose in any pro"same author,) laying it down as a matter of course, for the fol"lowers to disperse when their leader was taken off." A different
explanation of our Saviour's reference might surely have been expected after the very just remark which we find in Dr. B.'s Preliminary Discourse: "It must be acknowledged, that, next to Isaiah,
"Zechariah is the most evangelical of all the Prophets, having
"more frequent and more clear and direct allusions to the cha"racter and coming of the Messiah, and his kingdom, than any of
"the rest." p. 15.

Luke xxiv. 44. Notes, p. 63.

Whether points were at all introduced in the Hebrew Language

^{*} The Hebrew words, which we render, "and they shall look upon me whom they have pierced," divested of their points, are והביטו אלי את אשר דקרו.

" they pierced." I shall say nothing of the reasons which Calusius and De-Rossi had for omitting * no before they offered any conjecture concerning the conftruction of אלי by St. John: neither shall I inquire whether they thought the Evangelist, whom they supposed to be so far left to himself, as to use a corrupted copy with respect to the omission of me, ought not to have confidered the alteration, prefumed in the punctuation of אלי, as erroneous, rather than the word itself, as a fimple preposition; because the argument, which I have to urge on the subject, will I think effectually set aside their opinion (if it were theirs) and also Dr. B.'s, that be, even if we pay no attention whatfoever to the points, might itself have probably been used by Zechariah in this passage as a simple prepofition. It certainly is fometimes used in this manner in the Book of Job: but it is well known that many words, derived from the Arabic, are found in that book, which are not to be found in any other part of the Old Testament; and y this perhaps is one of them.

I do not however presume to affert absolutely, that is not so used in any other part of the Old Testa-

ment:

guage when St. John wrote his Gospel is uncertain. But, as my argument is not affected by the question, I have not adverted to it.

* In Dr. B.'s Notes (p. 64.) IN is first said to be "simply the "sign of the accusative case," and afterwards it is classed among the prepositions: but no proof is brought that in and much less in its ever used as a preposition before it.

א Be it remembered that I am now speaking of אלי unconnected with any affix.

ment: for what information can carry our knowledge of the use of a preposition to such an extent? As far as my own observation goes, and as far as I can infer from the citations which are sound in some of the best Lexicons and Concordances, this use of it is confined to the Book of Job.

But I will affert absolutely, that it is not so used in any passage of Zechariah. Nor let this be thought to arise from hence, that Zechariah seldom introduces the simple preposition, and seldom the word which is compounded of that preposition and the pronominal affix. In is used by him 39 times; and in other not less than 23.5% is uniformly and simply rendered "to;" and, in 22 out of 23 times, in rendered by Dr. B. himself "to me." The question then is, how ought it to be rendered in the remaining place, or Zech. xii. 10? There appears not the shadow of a reason, why it should not be rendered here, as it is every where else in Zechariah.

Both the Septuagint-interpretation before the introduction of the points, and the points themselves which were afterwards annexed, demonstrate, that from the beginning the Jews were convinced it ought in this place to be rendered "to me." Indeed the Jews appear at all times to have been borne down, and silenced, by the irresistible force of this conviction. Whatever other attempts they have made to get rid, either of the change of person in the words "look upon me" and (those which follow) "mourn for him;" or of the arguments pressed upon them by Christians, in consequence of being here translated "to me;" they have never once ventured to propose a different translation.

When Dr. B. brings forward the reason which I affign why the Evangelists and Fathers may be sup-

posed

^{*} The only three passages, in which I find 'be used (as it is here) before Fin, are Deut. ix. 10. Jos. x. 22. and 1 Chron. xiii. 12. in all of which it is translated " to me."

posed not to cite this passage with exactness, "be"cause they often cite the general sense of a passage
"without attending to the person of whom it is
"spoken, when their argument depends not upon
"such attention;" and adds "but here if ever the
"argument does depend upon such attention;" he
appears to have entirely misunderstood my meaning,
as the argument, to which alone I can admit that St.
John attended, is not directed to prove that Christ
is God, but only "that he was pierced." That the
difference between St. John's citation and the BibleTranslation may be thus accounted for, and that this
method of accounting for it may also be supported
by the examples which I adduce from two other
Evangelists, I still contend.

The change of person in our translation of Zech. xii. 10. was alluded a to, when I said De-Rossi supposed the Jews to have corrupted the passage "from attention to the analogy of construction:" and I should have said more on the subject, had the learned Primate, whose translation I was considering, mentioned it, even in the slightest manner, as a rea-

fon for the alteration which he introduced.

Somewhat might be taken from the harshness with which it strikes the ear, were the verse to conclude in the Hebrew with יקרו, and in our translation with the words "whom they have pierced." Such a conclusion appears to be advisable, if not necessary; because a different part of the same great subject is introduced in the words which immediately follow, namely, "the mourning for the Messiah;" which is pursued through all the subsequent verses, nor is even an allusion to his being pierced afterwards found in the chapter.

But this change of person, however harsh it may sound, was known to the Jews; and the very harsh-

² John xix. 37.

ness

y App. p. 83. P. 397.

ness of it not only proves, that they were convinced the present Hebrew text could not be rendered otherwise than we have it in our Bible; but also that from the beginning they actually found whe and not whe in their copies; for doubtless they would not designedly have introduced a new reading, which must destroy the uniformity of so important a passage in their Scriptures. It was known also to all the great divines of our Church, and did not alter their opinion concerning the Hebrew text, or our translation of it. And does not Dr. B. himself, without the sanction of any authority, introduce in his explanation of Zech. ii. 8—11. an interchange of speakers, at least as undefirable in a controverted passage of Scripture, as the change of person which is here defended by so many men of learning.

I shall take no notice of the few observations, which Dr. Blayney published in answer to this Appendix, except merely to state,—that it is a matter of very much greater consequence what angel is the speaker in the text, than Dr. B. admits; -that, in p. 83, when he fays "Dr. E. allows אל is fometimes, "though seldom used, as a simple preposition," he ought to have faid, that I allow this with regard to the Book of Job folely;—that, when in the same page he fays, that " Dr. E. has not objected to the use of ist before another preposition, as no he could not have adverted to my Notes p. 412 and 413. Though I may admit, that אלי, not אלי, is used before certain other prepositions, yet not one of them; nor will any one who confiders the meaning of the prepositions be and no readily admit, that they can ever be made to coalesce so as to be used together.

SERMON XII.

IN WHICH IS PROPOSED

A NEW INTERPRETATION

OF THE

LXXXVIIth PSALM.

SERMON XII.

Psalm lxxxvii. 7.

As well the fingers as the players on instruments shall be there: all my springs are in thee.

THE Pfalm, of which these words form the conclusion, may be justly reckoned among the most difficult parts of the inspired writings: for unquestionably no part of these writings has more engaged the critical ingenuity of translators, paraphrasts, and commentators, nor with less success. From the days of the Septuagint-translators to the present hour, no one, among all the different classes of learned expositors, appears to have discovered for the whole of this Psalm a clear and satisfactory meaning.

The explanations, given of the persons said in the 4th, 5th, and 6th verses to be born, appear not only to have misrepresented those parts of the Psalm, but also to have occasioned a total inattention to the true meaning of the

re 3 7th,

or last, verse, which has just been recited to you.

Numberless indeed are the sources, from which arise the difficulties attendant on a just explanation of that invaluable portion of holy Writ which is contained in the book of They may arise from ignorance of the time when, the person by whom, or the occasion on which, the particular composition was imparted to the chosen people of God; or, to omit various other fources, they may arife from inattention to those historical allufions, which, under different forms, constitute the principal substance of these sacred hymns; and which very often have respect to the miraculous interferences, manifested of old by God in favour of his people, either to humble their oppressors, or to exterminate those wicked nations whose lands they were themselves to poffess and inhabit.

Whether the difficulties, which are to be encountered by the theological critic in the present instance, arise from any one, and particularly from the last, of these sources, we shall better be enabled to judge, as we proceed with our subject.

In doing this, I shall FIRST take a view of the Pfalm, as it stands in our English *Bible;

THEN,

a The Bible-Version has been used in all the passages eited from the Psalms in this discourse.

THEN, confining myself to the parts which appear to have been improperly rendered, I shall assign reasons for a different interpretation of them; and LASTLY, having substituted this new interpretation, I shall repeat the whole Psalm, and conclude with an observation or two upon the important subject at large.

- 1. "His foundation is in the holy moun-
- 2. "The Lord loveth the gates of Zion" more than all the dwellings of Jacob.
- 3. "Glorious things are spoken of thee, O "city of God.
- 4. "I will make mention of Rahab and Ba"bylon to them that know me: behold Phi"liftia, and Tyre, with Ethiopia, this man
 "was born there.
- 5. "And of Zion it shall be said, This and that man was born in her: and the Highest himself shall establish her.
- 6. "The Lord shall count, when he writeth up the people, that this man was born there.
- 7. "As well the fingers as the players on "instruments shall be there: all my springs "are in thee."

This Psalm was evidently written in praise of Zion, and, as mention is made in it of Ba-E e 4 bylon, bylon, it must be supposed to have been written after the Babylonish captivity; probably at no great distance from the time when that other pathetic and inimitable composition was delivered: b" By the rivers of Babylon, there "we sat down, yea, we wept when we remembered Zion."

The subjects in praise of Zion, on which the Psalm insists, or rather to which it alludes, (for the whole of it appears to be allusive,) are the selection of Zion by God for the seat of his temple, for his sanctuary or church; and the glory to which it had been advanced by him in the sight of the surrounding nations, who had, in a certain sense, been born in this place, and had been expelled from it to make way for the Jews.

The three first verses are well-translated, and easily understood, in our Bible. But when, at the conclusion of the fourth verse, mention is made of the nations, whose birth-place Zion had thus been, the misinterpretation appears to commence. Before however the commencement of this misinterpretation, the Psalmist, speaking in the first person, marks himself out as a Jew or Israelite, and exalts his nation at large, without particular reference to Zion, by introducing the 4th verse

b Pf. cxxxvii. 1.

with

with this declaration: "I will mention Ra-"hab, that is 'Egypt, and Babylon to them "that know ME,"—an allusion, than which no stronger could be used, to recall to the minds of all, who knew the chosen people of God and were acquainted with their history, the exaltation of this people in the fight of those great nations; to recall to their minds the wonders performed by Moses before Pharaoh: and not only the miracles wrought in Babylon by the prophet Daniel, but also the victories obtained over the Babylonians by Cyrus. who, by difmissing the Jews without ransom, acknowledged that these victories were obtained by him, through the Almighty aid of that Jehovah, who, long before his birth, called him by his name, faying expressly of him, "d I will go before thee and make the crook-"ed places straight, that thou mayest know, "that I, the Lord, which call thee by thy " name, am the God of Israel. For Jacob my " fervant's fake, and Ifrael mine elect, I have " even called thee by thy name."

After this mention of Rahab or Egypt, and Babylon, the Psalmist, reverting immediately to his original subject and confining his allusions to Zion, proceeds, at the conclusion of the 4th verse, according to the misinterpreta-

c Pf. lxxxix. 10. If. li. 9. 4 If. xlv. 2, 3, 4.

tion (as it appears) of our Bible-version, "Be"hold Philistia, and Tyre, with Ethiopia, this
"man was born there." Whereas, if Ethiopia
be no longer inserted, as our Bible has it, instead of the original word "Cush," and persons be used by us for the places inhabited by
them, the passage ought perhaps to be rendered, "Behold the Philistine and Tyrian, with
"the Cushite," מה יבלר שם, "each one of these
"was born there," that is, in Zion.

Whether the Hebrew words, which I have cited, will bear this meaning, depends folely upon the pronoun m, which in our Bible is rendered, "this man."

Unquestionably, the pronoun has the vowelpunctuation of the masculine gender, and is commonly used in the singular number. It is evident therefore, that it may be rendered, "this man."

But even when it is thus pointed, (if in reality attention is here to be paid to the points,) in is variously used in construction. It is used with a feminine noun in the Book of Joshua. It is also often used with plural nouns. In the first book of Samuel it is used separately in the same passage with both the Hebrew words which denote "days and

"years,"

e Josh. ii. 17. f 1 Sam. xxix. 3.

נים and במים .

"years," and in each case rendered "these." And, in the Book of "Numbers, it is expressly applied at the same time to three different occurrences, and there also "these" is the word by which it is rendered. Besides, in the Septuagint, Vulgate, Ethiopic, and Arabic versions, in has, in this passage, a plural sense given to it. In the same sense also it is explained by Michaelis in his German translation, "Behold," as he expresses it, "the Philistines, Tyrians, and Ethiopians were born "there."

But as the verb, with which m is connected, is of the fingular number, the Hebrew words may perhaps be more properly rendered, as is here proposed, "each one of these was born there." Nor is this version new, or unsupported by critical authority; since m is rendered in this passage, "Quisque horum," by a foreign oriental 'scholar of distinguished eminence.

Moreover, that these Philistines, Tyrians, and Ethiopians or Cushites, may in a certain sense be said to have been born in Zion, or

Digitized by Google

h Numb. xxii. 28. ינה שֶׁלשׁ רֵנֶלִים "these three "times." See also הוֹ used in the same manner, Num. xxiv. 10.

i John Henry Michaelis, the celebrated father of the great orientalist of that name, who has just before been mentioned. In Hagiographos, Halæ 1745.

to have derived their origin from thence, is capable of very fatisfactory proof.

The inhabitants of Canaan are well known to have been expelled by Joshua from their original fettlements in the interior parts of the country adjoining to Zion; and they were also driven by him from thence amidst fuch alarming kdifplays of divine vengeance, as long to make them retain a remembrance of the glory to which Jehovah was at that time pleased to raise his people. In consequence of this expulsion, they rushed upon the bordering nations, and either forced them to quit their habitations and feek for other abodes, (as many northern nations were forced to do in subsequent times, and colonies of Phoenicians are faid by profane authors to have actually done at this very period,) or they compelled the original inhabitants to admit them to a participation of their territories.

In either of these cases, the expelled Canaanites, though they afterwards took the names of the people, into whose countries

they

i Cananzi mediterranea cedere Judzis coacti, cum in terram Canaan illos introduxit Joshua. Bochart, vol. ii. fol. col. 309.

k See Joshua x. 11.

¹ With Cadmus, &c. See also Bochart, vol. ii. col. 309. l. 42.

they fled, might without impropriety be said to have been born in Zion; which was the most important place in the country, from which they came; and of which the name, like that of Babylon, might be used to denote the country at large of which it was the capital: not to mention the additional importance, which, during the latter part of the Jewish history, was ever attached to Zion, as the city of David.

Doubtless, it cannot require any authority from ancient writers, nor any proof from argumentative discussion, to establish the extreme probability, that the towns of Philistia, which lay on the sea-coast and immediately to the west of Zion, should have been thus occupied by many of these fugitive Canaanites.

And that Tyre also, which was situated on the same coast to the north of Philistia and considerably within the borders of mancient Canaan, should in a similar manner have been occupied by them, may be shewn to be no less worthy of belief. For, that this city was one of the places into which the Canaanites sled to save themselves from Joshua, must be thought exceedingly probable by every one,

who

m Moses makes Canaan to extend from Zidon to Lashah. Gen. x. 19.

who considers the "direction in which the Canaanites were driven after their dreadful overthrow at the waters of Merom, and who admits, that Tyre was founded before that time, and was the city mentioned by that name in the Book of Joshua.

But even if Tyre was pnot actually founded, and erected into a city before that time, still it must then have been (as a Vitringa contends and as its Hebrew name imports,) a strong hold, and a most convenient place of refuge. And, besides, in that case also we may urge the express and important authority of Bochart, for considering it as one of the cities, which were originally founded by those Canaanites, who were driven out by Joshua, and who afterwards took the name

of

ⁿ To great Zidon. Josh. xi. 28. O Josh. xix. 29.

P See Sir John Marsham, Bp. Patrick, &c. Josephus says (Hudson's Ed. p. 341.) that Tyre was founded 240 years only before the building of Solomon's temple; and on this occasion he must mean Old Tyre, or that on the continent: for had New Tyre, which was built in an island, been founded so many years before the days of Homer, it would very probably have been mentioned by him.

⁹ See the "Observationes sacræ" of Campegius Vitringa, book i. chap. 1.

יצר י

⁵ See Bochart, vol. ii. col. 309. l. 33.

of 'Phœnicians, " propter anathema contor-"tum in patrem suum Canaan, maxime cum "viderunt a Judæis se bello peti interne-"cino."

At all events therefore, the Tyrians, or at least a very considerable part of them, may be said to have originated in, or near, Zion; and long must their descendants have retained a traditional remembrance of the dreadful overthrow, which compelled their ancestors to leave with such precipitance the place where they were born, and to seek for a new habitation.

It hath been already noticed, that the word, in the Psalm under our consideration, which our Bible renders "Ethiopia," is in the original language who. In our old version it is rendered "Morians." But certainly the original term ought to have been retained in both versions. Of the Cushites there were (as is "generally agreed) three different nations: one was settled in Africa: another on the east side of the river Euphrates, and near its

mouth:

t See Bochart, vol. ii. col. 301. l. 44. Thus Shaul is faid in one passage of the Septuagint (Gen. xlvi. 10.) to be the son of a Canaanitish, and in another (Exod. vi. 15.) of a Phoenician woman. And Canaan in Hebrew is actually rendered "the land of the Phoenicians" in the Septuagint. Josh. v. 12.

u See Bryant and Wells, no less than Bochart.

mouth: and the third, or those with whom we are here concerned, inhabited the country immediately fouth of Judæa, and between that kingdom and Egypt. That the country thus inhabited by them was the boundary of Egypt on the fide opposite to Syene, or on the same fide with Judæa, must be inferred from that passage of "Ezekiel, in which Jehovah says, "I will make the land of Egypt utterly waste " and desolate, from the tower of Syene even "unto the border of Ethiopia, or Cush."-When also Isaiah denounces, "*Wo to the " land shadowing with wings, which is beyond "Cush, or Ethiopia," how can the Prophet, as is generally agreed, mean Egypt on this occasion, unless the inhabitants of the country between that kingdom and Judæa were Cushites?-Besides, certain Arabians are not only faid to have been fituated near these Cushites. but also Bochart contends at 'large, that these Arabians and Cushites were one and the same people. And so immediately adjoining to the fouthern borders of Judæa was the habitation affigned to the former, that the town of

Elusa,

^u Ezek. xxix. 10. ^x If. xviii. 1.

y 2 Chron. xxi. 16.—Bochart adverts to this passage, vol. ii. col. 211. l. 74.

² See Bochart, vol. ii. col. 209. l. 45. and col. 212. l. 60. but rather fee the whole of book iv. chap 2.

*Elufa, which belongs to Palestine, was formerly considered as a part of Arabia; and, what applies most directly to our subject, the town itself is moreover actually said by Bochart to have been built by Canaanites, who sled thither to escape from the Jews. There can be no doubt therefore, that the Cushites mentioned in our Psalm lived so near to the Canaanites, that many among those of the latter people, who were expelled by Joshua, may, with the highest degree of probability, be supposed to have taken resuge in their cities.

We may safely therefore affert of Tyre and Cush, what is afferted of them by different commentators, that they lay north and south of Jerusalem, whilst Philistia is well known to be situated on the west of it. And with no less safety may we affert also, that these nations, or at least a very great number of their inhabitants, were driven from their birth-place in, or near, Zion, by Joshua, who advanced from the east upon them, and forced them to escape for their lives in these three different directions.

F f

Mofes

^a "Ea civitas est nunc Palestinæ, quondam Arabiæ, "teste Stephano." See Jerome's works, Schol. in vita Hilarionis, vol. i. p. 254. Ed. Basil. 1565.

b Bochart, vol. ii. col. 308. l. 20.

^c See Poole's Annotations.

Moses informs us, with regard to the route by which he had been commanded to conduct the Jews, that God "led them not through "the way of the land of the Philistines, "though that was near; but God led the peo-"ple about." In the same manner, Joshua was commanded to lead them from the east through Jordan to attack the Canaanites.

Nor can it be doubted, that the mention, made in the inspired writings, of the birth of these nations in Zion, must have redounded to her glory; not simply, as she was the mother of them, but also, as the very allusion to this circumstance was calculated to excite a recollection of their having been driven from their original habitations, in consequence, not of their sins only, but also of the adoption of Zion by Jehovah for his own residence.

Besides, allusions of the same kind, with those for which I am here contending, occur not unfrequently in other parts of the same inspired compositions. Though, as these parts were written before the Babylonish captivity, we can expect no allusion in them to the Jewish history, as connected with Babylon.

The selection of the tribe, to which mount Zion belonged, for the depositary of the true religion, and the miraculous interposition, by

d Exod. xiii. 17.

which

which the Israelites in general were delivered from Egyptian flavery and were led to poffess the land of Canaan, are not less evidently pointed out by allusion in the 114th Psalm, than in that which is now more immediately under our confideration. "When Ifrael went "out of Egypt, the house of Jacob from a " people of a strange language, Judah was his " fanctuary and Israel his dominion. The " fea," that is, the red fea, " faw that and fled; " Jordan was driven back."—Here Judah, the fanctuary of God, is united with the miracles both of Moses and Jothua: and allusion is evidently made to the very same parts of Jewish history, which are supposed to be alluded to in the Pfalm from which my text is taken.

At the same time explicit declarations on these subjects are not wanting in others among the same inspired compositions.

In the 135th Pfalm, those who stand in the courts of the Lord are called upon to praise him: "Because he had sent tokens and won-"ders into the midst of Egypt, upon Pharaoh and upon all his servants:"—and "because he had smitten great nations, and slain mighty kings, Sihon king of the Amorites, and Og the king of Basan, and all the kingdoms of Canaan; and given their land for an heritage, even for an heritage to Israel his people."

In other parts also of the same inspired of the same in

compositions still fuller mention is made of the great circumstances, to which our Psalm is supposed to allude. "The marvellous "things, which the Almighty did in the land "of Egypt, in the sield of Zoan"—"the "casting out of the heathen before his peo-"ple"—"the dividing of an inheritance for "them"—and "the choosing of the tribe of "Judah, and mount Zion, which he loved, "and in which he built a sanctuary"—all these, amidst many other such circumstances, are most explicitly set forth, and declared, in the 78th Psalm.

It being then the prefumed sense of the latter part of the 4th verse of our Psalm, "that "the Philistines, Tyrians, and Cushites derived "their origin from Zion, or the country "which surrounded it;" the 5th verse forcibly confirms this sense, and may be thus rendered: "Accordingly, of Zion it shall be said, "that all these different men," "who, "were born in her: and the Highest himself "shall establish her." And this rendering of the redoubled word was comes recommended by its consistency with the genius of the 'He-

e The Hebrew conjunction y may unquestionably be rendered, "accordingly."

f Nomen fubstantivum sæpe geminatur, ut signissicetur universitas, singula ejusdem generis comprehendens; ut print vir, vir, unusquisque vir, nemine excepto. To which

brew language, and by the connection, or rather identity, which is established between the persons mentioned in the 4th, and those mentioned in the 5th verse, through the renewed mention of the former which is immediately subjoined. For the Psalmist reverts immediately in the 6th verse to his declaration concerning them; and, agreeably to what is faid in the Book of Beuteronomy with regard to the division made by God to the nations of their inheritance, afferts, that "the Lord shall "count, when he registers the nations, that "each one of these was born there;" concluding the affertion with precifely the fame words which were used before in the 4th verse, and which I have above cited, הַר יַבֶּר שָׁם.

The explanations of these three verses, which have commonly been given by such learned men, as make it apply, not to any person, or thing, expressly mentioned in them, but to some external and illustrious individual, are doubtless forced, and suggested by the misinterpretation, which our Bible and old translation, no less than various other translations, give of these verses. Nor is the con-

sequent.

which is also added, עיר וְעִיר urbs et urbs, i. e. omnes et singulæ urbes. Ezra x. 14. Schroder's Heb. Grammar, p. 226.

g Deut. xxii. 8. See also Acts xvii. 26.

h See Hammond, and also Patrick on the Psalms.

fequent part of such explanations, that one such person only was born in the heathen countries there mentioned, whilst many were born in Zion, consistent, in any respect, with an enlarged and comprehensive view of the historical subjects commonly introduced in these parts of the inspired writings. Moreover, what ought to be thought most important, if not decisive, on the subject, the persons, said in this Psalm to be registered, are nations, and not individuals, such as many if rendered this man, must indicate.

The last verse, or the passage itself with which I introduced the subject, is certainly not less misinterpreted, than any of those verses which precede it. The former part of it is rendered, in our old version, "the singers also, and "trumpeters, shall he rehearse;" and in the Bible-version, "as well the singers, as the play"ers on instruments, shall be there." Indeed all the old versions appear to consider the Hebrew words,

fome

i Even if it could have been intended to declare in the 4th verse, as commentators suppose, that "this man, or "fome one great man only," was born in Philistia, Tyre, and Cush; it by no means appears, that there would have been sufficient importance in the declaration to account for the solemn repetition made of it in the 6th verse, whilst no new mention whatever is made of those more numerous persons of note, who, according even to this supposition, are said to have been born in Zion.

fome way or other, of gladness and rejoicing. Dr. 'Kennicott, notwithstanding, aware that much stress is not to be laid on the points which are used in the Hebrew language to distinguish the sound of letters, and that the word שַּׁרִים may have the point differently placed on the first letter, and be rendered, as the Syriac Version has actually rendered it, "princes;" and thinking also that בְּחֹלֵילִים has been corrupted by an insertion of the second ', and besides, that it ought to be divided into two parts, בְּחֹל יִם, renders the clause, "The "princes shall be as the sand of the sea."

In reality however, the words, as they now stand, may, in direct and full confirmation of the meaning above ascribed to the preceding verses, be rendered, "But princes are as slain "men;" that is, but all the glory, which can be derived from princes, or the most illustrious persons connected with Zion, is as nothing.

Why the Hebrew conjunction , which I render "But," appears to be passed over unnoticed in our own and other Versions, I shall not inquire; it is sufficient for my purpose, that it makes part of the Hebrew text, and may undoubtedly be rendered, "But." That שרים also may be rendered "princes," has just been declared. And that

without

k See Dr. Kennicott's posthumous works.

without points, is properly rendered l"flain," appears from the very next Pfalm, at the 5th verse of which it occurs, under the same form of construction, and with the same particle of comparison before it, as in the present case, except that the paragogic ה is added, and, what is of very little consequence, the points are somewhat varied, בחללים; and there the passage is expressly rendered in our Bible, "like the slain."

The last verse then, after thus afferting, "But princes are as slain men," concludes, "all my springs, or all my hopes, are in thee;" that is, in Zion, as the sanctuary of God, the holy church, the only seat of true religion: most agreeably to what the Psalmist says on another occasion, "Put not your trust in "princes, nor in the son of man, in whom "there is no help. His breath goeth forth, "he returneth to the earth."—"Happy is he, "who hath the God of Jacob for his help: "whose hope is in the Lord his God."

m Pf. cxlvi.

Accord-

It may perhaps be thought worthy of observation, that it is a participle of the verb קל, which is used when Isaiah is speaking of the victory, which the arm of Jehovah had obtained for his people over Rahab, or the Dragon, that is, over Egypt: "Art thou not it that hath "cut Rahab, and הליל wounded, or slain, the Dragon?"

Accordingly, our whole Pfalm may be thus rendered.

- 1. His foundation is in the holy mountains.
- 2. The Lord loveth the gates of Zion, more than all the dwellings of Jacob.
- 3. Glorious things are spoken of thee, O city of God.
- 4. I will mention Egypt and Babylon to them that know °ME; behold the Philistine, and the Tyrian, with the Cushite; each one of these was born there.
- 5. Accordingly, of Zion it shall be said, That all these different men were born in her: and the Highest himself shall establish her.
- 6. The Lord shall count, when he registers the nations, that each one of these was born there.
 - 7. But, 'princes are as 'flain men: all my fprings are in 'thee.
 - n Or that of his temple. See Poole's Annotations.
 - · As a Jew or Israelite in general.
 - P That is, in Zion.
 - 9 That is, the most illustrious persons connected with Zion.
 - r As dead or unprofitable men.
 - In Zion, as the fanctuary of God, &c. See the preceding page.

G g The

The interpretation here given of this Pfalm is not derived from the affistance of any conjecture, any new reading, nor indeed of any alteration whatever of the original text. giving to the pronoun m a meaning, which, independently of fimilar acceptations of it in other passages of the Old Testament, is justified by ancient versions, no less than modern commentators; by confidering the birth of persons in Zion, as allusive not merely to their origin, but also to the wonderful manner in which they were expelled from their birth-place by Joshua; and by explaining the first words of the last verse in a sense, which, when proposed, every man, who is in any degree acquainted with the original language, must at least confess may properly be affixed to them; the whole difference of interpretation has been produced.

Whether the interpretation here given be in itself clear and satisfactory, and much more whether it be the true one, must be left to the decision of others. Where so many learned men have failed, there must be great difficulties, and perfect satisfaction is scarcely to be expected. The sense, now ascribed to this Psalm, is doubtless consistent with what we find expressed in various other Psalms. This is evident even from the passages in these writings

writings to which I have above appealed, on account of the allusions and declarations contained in them.

It may perhaps also be justly assumed, that what has been here proposed is both calculated to do away, as far as it extends, those scoffs of infidels, which arife from apparent inconfistencies, or from want of perspicuity, in the holy Scriptures; and, at the same time, to enforce on the pious and devout reader that admiration of the wonderful dispensations of the Almighty, and that confidence in him and the church he has founded among men, which must raise us far above all human dependencies, and excite in us a portion of the same holy ardour in the worship of God with that which formed fo diffinguished and fo acceptable a part of the great Psalmist's character.

In no part of the facred volume does there shine forth more fervent piety, and zeal for the honour of God and for his church, than in the Book of Psalms. Happy indeed would it be for us, were we to apply all, that we indisputably understand of these admirable compositions, to excite the same piety and the same zeal in ourselves.

Such conduct however would doubtless not draw us off from attempting to understand still more and more of their invaluable contents. tents. It would in reality produce the contrary effect; it would make us not rest satisfied till we had explored, as far as our means and abilities extend, the true sense and intention of whatever the Almighty has been thus pleased to impart to us, that we may apply the whole to the infinitely important purposes for which it was imparted.

With fuch views may all our investigations of the true sense and intention of Scripture at all times be conducted; and, whatever in other respects may be the success of our labour, we shall then be enabled to assure ourselves, that we shall obtain by it the favour of that great Being, on whom our ultimate and best success, both in this world and the next, must without doubt solely and eternally depend!

THE END.



